Q1 In what region do you live?

Answered: 253 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Africa	0.79%	2
Asia	17.39%	44
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania	3.16%	8
Europe	31.23%	79
Latin America (Mexico, CentralAmerica, South America,Caribbean)	3.16%	8
Middle East	2.37%	6
US and Canada	41.90%	106
TOTAL		253

Q2 Which of the following applies to you:

Answered: 236 Skipped: 18



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Working group chair	33.47%	79
Author of a published RFC	57.63%	136
Author of an active Internet-Draft	66.10%	156
Have posted messages to an IETF mailing list	91.53%	216
Total Respondents: 236		

Q3 How useful to you were the following aspects of IETF 103?

Answered: 254 Skipped: 0



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	NOT AT ALL USEFUL	NOT APPLICABLE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Formal technical discussions (e.g. working groups)	46.43% 117	50.40% 127	1.59% 4	0.00% 0	1.59% 4	252	1.60
Informal technical discussions (e.g. casual hallway conversations)	60.96% 153	25.50% 64	3.19% 8	0.00% 0	10.36% 26	251	1.73
The opportunity to present new ideas and/or suggest new ideas and technology	33.07% 83	44.22% 111	1.99% 5	2.39% 6	18.33% 46	251	2.29
Social Interactions	39.02% 96	46.34% 114	3.66% 9	1.22% 3	9.76% 24	246	1.96

Q4 If you attended the following events, how useful did you find them?

Answered: 245 Skipped: 9



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	SOMEWHAT USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	DID NOT ATTEND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Host Speaker Series (Challenges of Evolution Towards Autonomous Network)	3.81% 9	11.44% 27	2.97% 7	81.78% 193	236	4.44
IETF Hackathon	20.75% 50	16.18% 39	0.83% 2	62.24% 150	241	3.67
Sunday Tutorials	8.82% 21	10.08% 24	0.42% 1	80.67% 192	238	4.34
HotRFC (Sunday evening lightning talks)	14.64% 35	16.74% 40	1.67% 4	66.95% 160	239	3.88
Hackdemo Happy Hour (Monday evening hackathon demo)	5.04% 12	11.76% 28	1.68% 4	81.51% 194	238	4.41

#	SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THESE EVENTS (PLEASE MAKE SURE TO NOTE WHICH EVENT YOUR COMMENT PERTAINS TO):	DATE
1	In HotRFC the order was quite random and given the limited time for each presentation the switch over was often quite hard... maybe there could be some categories like BoF announces, proposed research work, proposed new work, gathering interest....	11/28/2018 4:08 AM
2	Enjoyed IEEE and IETF workshops on Saturday and Sunday	11/27/2018 7:44 PM
3	Some careful thinking --and clear pre-announcements-- about remote participation might encourage remote participants.	11/27/2018 6:08 PM
4	FWIW, I would have loved to see Nalini talk at HotRFC. I hear it was something special.	11/27/2018 5:13 PM
5	The hackathon is very much an example of the tail wagging the dog.	11/19/2018 10:42 AM
6	should add "HotRFC topics" to be added to Monday Hackdemo Happy Hour	11/19/2018 10:18 AM
7	HotRFC should be recorded -- wanted to refer several participants to certain talks, but didn't have a video to point to.	11/15/2018 10:24 AM

8	Maybe hackathon/etc intro video or something? Are there mailing lists on what is being hacked on? Maybe send the links for those along with the welcome message for the IETF-NNN list?	11/14/2018 10:50 AM
9	I remain unconvinced that 'hackathons' (high-speed coding contests) benefit the IETF's purpose.	11/13/2018 10:14 PM
10	might be hard to do but I'd love to see HotRFC happen in the middle of the day instead of at the end of it.	11/13/2018 9:30 PM
11	I attended remotely this time and would have enjoyed attending some of the listed events remotely, if that was even possible.	11/13/2018 3:42 PM
12	It was a pity that only very few projects were present at the Hackdemo Happy hour, and that was a feeling that others shared as well..	11/13/2018 9:12 AM
13	The HotRFC session is brilliant. This should happen twice durning the event so as to cover more content. I also think there should be a session that is hosted by WG chairs that gives 10 minute overviews of their working group, what is being debated, what has already been resolved, how people can get involved.	11/13/2018 8:24 AM
14	1) hackathon is not about competition. 2)	11/13/2018 6:27 AM
15	Host Speaker Series requires very high quality speakers and topics, with good linkage to the work or interests of the IETF/IRTF. It should not be just a general-purpose presentation by someone from the Host organization. Hackdemo Happy Hour was interesting but a bit limited for the technical/social interactions. However it was really nice to have food/beverage provided.	11/13/2018 6:02 AM
16	The multi-floor multi-tower setup did not suit hallway conversations as much. the central lounge area was good though.	11/13/2018 3:08 AM
17	Avoid overlap of HotRFC with the reception.	11/13/2018 2:30 AM
18	Hackdemo was somewhat dissapointing.	11/13/2018 2:20 AM
19	The host speaker series had ups and downs in the past. When I got some work to do, I will skip it. The hackathon is usually great (attended bunch) but this didn't fit my travel schedule this time.	11/13/2018 1:32 AM

Q5 How did you participate in IETF 103

Answered: 254 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In person in Bangkok	82.68%	210
Both in person and using remote participation tools while in Bangkok	4.72%	12
Using remote participation tools (Meetecho) while not in Bangkok	12.60%	32
TOTAL		254

#	PLEASE SHARE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT YOUR USE OF MEETECHO FOR IETF 103	DATE
1	I had no problem with the video & audio quality	11/29/2018 2:03 AM
2	Keeps getting better but we need to get more control over AV equipment and its management. I can remember incidents of microphones not working due to dead batteries over a decade ago, but we should not hear that excuse, or stories about hotel staff running the systems and not being on top of things, with current meetings and choices of venue.	11/27/2018 6:08 PM
3	It seems to do the job and to be reliable.	11/27/2018 4:57 PM
4	I have been facing Voice problem from the meeting room during the meeting sessions.	11/20/2018 6:04 AM
5	Meeting adjacent to IEEE was very helpful	11/19/2018 11:23 AM
6	It might have been my imagination but I felt we got far less view of the room. Previous IETF Meetechos switched between mic line and presenter more. I found that useful - gave a better feeling of being in the room.	11/19/2018 11:21 AM
7	too far away	11/19/2018 10:18 AM
8	excellent	11/19/2018 10:18 AM
9	As WG Chair, had Meetecho participants. Worked great.	11/16/2018 7:24 AM
10	Meetecho is much more convenient than Jabber. I question the continued need for a "Jabber scribe" or "Jabber relay" when the Meetecho tools allow remote participants to observe and speak directly.	11/15/2018 10:24 AM
11	Seemed pretty good. Maybe it would be interesting to incorporate chat text onto the meetecho screens in meeting rooms?	11/14/2018 10:50 AM
12	Meetecho was a great tool in IETF103	11/14/2018 5:03 AM

13	Worked well. On one occasion the chairs had trouble enabling the mic but the meetecho staff fixed the issue after several minutes.	11/14/2018 2:01 AM
14	It was very useful. Until Meetecho for IETF 103, I was wondering how internet and other related standards were made and how ideas and latest technology were brought to one common platform. This meeting made it clear, and I disseminate same information to my department back home.	11/14/2018 12:34 AM
15	It was unfortunate that almost no side meetings could participate remotely. Although Meetecho is recommended, audio stream and jabber chat room would be enough to participate side meetings.	11/13/2018 10:36 PM
16	Meetecho worked pretty well, from the standpoint of presenting audio, video, slide view, mic view, all in one interface. However - and not surprisingly - not all sessions and rooms had the same level of audio/video support. For one of the breakout BoF sessions, we resorted to using WebEx instead, which worked pretty well. One of the MeetEcho streams that I joined had very low quality, and I quit out of that session and had to dial back in for better quality, but all the rest delivered high quality and nicely intelligible audio. Because the "shared slides" are typically from a camera pointing at a screen, there should be a mode in MeetEcho that codes the slide differently and assumes no movement, except for builds or slide advances; there were definitely many situations where the slide got unreadably blurry because the MPEG (or whatever encoding was being used) tried to partially update the slide and it appeared off from the original frame and therefore was too blurry to read. Not sure how to fix, but as technologists, we should be able to tell MeetEcho that its camera stream is meant to be largely static slides and it should behave differently.	11/13/2018 3:42 PM
17	We used MeetEcho in our working group, and had people using it that commented in real time. It seemed fine.	11/13/2018 12:33 PM
18	I'd love to have an option to disable the chat window inside Meetecho. I prefer to use my own jabber client to the IETF xmpp server, so I'd like to avoid the duplication of connections.	11/13/2018 11:58 AM
19	Browser communication broke several times.	11/13/2018 9:50 AM
20	Meetecho setup tests could be improved by allowing a tester to jump to a particular test - for instance, mic or full media record and playback.	11/13/2018 8:56 AM
21	Excellent system. But I didn't spot a way to mute my microphone while I was the designated participant; this can sometimes be handy.	11/13/2018 7:59 AM
22	There seemed to be an issue in some rooms with Meetecho for a remote participant speaking. It was not possible to hear on Meetecho. This likely is audio room setup and not Meetecho.	11/13/2018 7:44 AM
23	As WG/RG chair: had some issue with the video and audio streams of one remote presenter. Other than that the use of Meetecho is nice and easy. An interesting feature to develop would be to have a checked list of sessions I plan to attend for the week and have the corresponding Meetecho page load when the session starts in order to avoid loading new tabs and credentials for every sessions again. Thanks!	11/13/2018 6:02 AM
24	No issues whatsoever - it worked fine.	11/13/2018 5:32 AM
25	I had to present remotely and Meetecho did not work.	11/13/2018 4:57 AM
26	There were issues with Meetecho audio not being broadcast to the room in a couple of cases.	11/13/2018 4:46 AM
27	I was presenting an talk with my co-worker over a remote connection. If doing a remote presentation with another person, it would be nice to see in Meetecho if that person is still in Meetecho or has pinged out. Especially over long distance connections. I had no good indicator if the person was still in Meetecho or not. Making the talk quite hard. I also didn't see any slides, that the presenter was generously showing and sharing his screen. I only saw it, until I pinged out and had to refresh the screen. I found Meetecho quite hard to work with all with all :(	11/13/2018 4:42 AM
28	Occasional audio problems, but no worse than other times. Face it - the tools still suck and are highly sensitive to both the remote user's audio environment and their internet connectivity.	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
29	unfortunately video (uplink) didn't work for me ….	11/13/2018 3:25 AM
30	A little unclear whether jabber is still used as a channel or the chat in Meeecho	11/13/2018 2:32 AM
31	It was good, had trouble only for few minutes. Thats OK.	11/13/2018 1:48 AM
32	Meetecho is hands-down the best meeting tool I've ever used.	11/13/2018 1:31 AM

Q6 How would you rate the location? (Bangkok)

Answered: 222 Skipped: 32



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	46.40%	103
Very good	26.13%	58
Good	14.86%	33
Fair	7.66%	17
Poor	4.95%	11
TOTAL		222

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT THE LOCATION THAT PROMPTED YOUR RESPONSE.	DATE
1	While the hotel was fine, the seediness of the area was entirely offputting, especially with child prostitution right out the front door.	11/29/2018 2:31 AM
2	I love Thailand, but the lack of non-stop flights from anywhere in North America made it slightly more difficult to get to and thus less than excellent for us. (Vs. Singapore, Hong Kong, or Korea, for example.)	11/28/2018 10:41 AM
3	Centrally located, very good venue (AC just a little cold in larger rooms), good food in and around the venue.	11/28/2018 10:06 AM
4	Very far (air travel)	11/28/2018 9:08 AM
5	Good value, and excellent meeting facilities.	11/28/2018 5:47 AM
6	Bangkok is obviously not close to Europe or the US, but it's reasonably close to other Asian cities, and in the interest of balancing the burden of long distance flight, it made IMO sense to meet in Bangkok.	11/28/2018 2:15 AM
7	Nice to experience some culture and different way of living. Not Excellent because the visa process (or if I needed one) was not clear.	11/27/2018 11:49 PM
8	Good hotel area with cheap food, good hotel space	11/27/2018 6:38 PM

9	Just not a nice place. Dirty crowded and just didn't feel comfortable walking around.	11/27/2018 5:43 PM
10	Good hotel, good food, good transportation, good weather.	11/27/2018 5:42 PM
11	The hotel was great (the food was beyond incredible, even too much), but it was extremely difficult to get to other parts of the city. Traffic, air quality, and pedestrian safety all were pressures that kept us in the building.	11/27/2018 5:17 PM
12	The meeting rooms at the venue itself (hotel) were too sparsely located; no real "common" area where all attandeed flooded into after each session. Made "hallway" discussions and bumping into other attendees rather difficult.	11/27/2018 5:10 PM
13	The hotel was perfect.	11/27/2018 4:57 PM
14	Meeting Hotel Venue was OK, but the neighborhood was not that nice.	11/20/2018 2:12 AM
15	Brought the family. They loved it. Unique location, not the usual Prague, Montreal, etc.	11/19/2018 9:30 PM
16	Costs were low after arriving, travel cost was not low!	11/19/2018 12:55 PM
17	Bangkok was a great host city.	11/19/2018 12:53 PM
18	Good space and an affordable destination. The flights from NA were long but that's otherwise expected with Asia.	11/19/2018 12:30 PM
19	Bangkok in general is kind of a mess and the hawkers trying to get me into various massage parlors whenever I went out to eat got old really quick.	11/19/2018 11:59 AM
20	Too long a journey for too many people	11/19/2018 10:43 AM
21	Great hotel, fantastic food, good meeting rooms. Neighborhood around was not the best for walking, which made it difficult for some.	11/19/2018 10:27 AM
22	Traffic was a big problem and wasted lots of time to go to locations outside the hotel and restaurants.	11/19/2018 10:23 AM
23	24+ hours of travel is a brutal way to start a meeting. Major hubs (Tokyo, Seoul, Shanghai, Hong Kong) would be significantly better than those destinations that require two or three hops for most or all of the US.	11/19/2018 10:23 AM
24	very good location, just a little too far to travel to.	11/19/2018 10:18 AM
25	The best location ever	11/19/2018 10:15 AM
26	The immediate neighborhood of the hotel were slums and massage shops. I didn't feel very safe when walking around the hotel at night. Meeting rooms were to cold for me. On the other hand, hotel breakfasts and meeting catering was excellent.	11/19/2018 4:55 AM
27	Great hotel, uninteresting area around the hotel	11/18/2018 6:13 PM
28	The place was easy to find, I felt safe to move around at any time, food was excellent. I really loved it!	11/18/2018 1:38 AM
29	Relatively inexpensive to get there (compared to other places in Asia), very inexpensive lodging alternatives very nearby, and good food options. If it wasn't for lower attendance, I'd say this was an excellent return location.	11/16/2018 2:28 PM
30	It was hot, which was uncomfortable, but there was so much local opportunity for food and social interactions it was worth it.	11/16/2018 7:27 AM
31	Food was excellent and plentyful, people were friendly, hotel staff worked quite well. Splitting over multiple floors was bit annoying, but still bearable.	11/15/2018 9:43 PM
32	Venue was excellent with amazing food and friendly hotel staff. Location close to the BTS was great!	11/15/2018 5:28 PM
33	Excellent hotel at a good price, excellent food both in and out of the hotel. Best break catering ever!	11/15/2018 1:14 PM
34	I mean the hotel was awesome. The food was out of this world. It's a long-long way for me, but it's always a long-long way for somebody.	11/15/2018 11:45 AM
35	Venue was excellent and area was low-cost. However, it was more challenging to travel to Bangkok (both flights and clarity of visa requirements) than other locations have been.	11/15/2018 10:25 AM

36	Lots of good, reasonably priced food near meeting hotel. Hotel venue was excellent, even if meeting rooms were spread out - very good food and level of service.	11/14/2018 4:01 PM
37	In-hotel restaurant options were good but limited, and pretty expensive. Might just be a Bangkok thing.	11/14/2018 10:51 AM
38	The hotel venue was quite ok. I did enjoy the fact there were always places available for ad hoc meetings. People everywhere were incredibly friendly and helpful, the weather, the food was great!	11/14/2018 5:18 AM
39	The meeting site was fine, but the general neighborhood was slightly seedy. Also I am not a big fan of the genera smells associated with hot humid locations. (Note: I did not notice them in Singapore.)	11/14/2018 4:52 AM
40	I think the venue was perfect-- the best conference venue I've ever been to. It was also so affordable. I think this venue should be used again in the future.	11/14/2018 3:35 AM
41	Very appropriate place	11/14/2018 12:35 AM
42	Good mix of big and small meetings rooms. Sadly a bit spread out across different floors.	11/14/2018 12:00 AM
43	The hotel was very nice -- accommodations, coffee/tea and food in breaks, meal availability, and the surrounding area had a lot of inexpensive but serviceable shops and restaurants. Getting between the hotel and airport could be slow at some times of day, and the hotel had drawbacks in that the lobby/common spaces for the meeting were on multiple levels making it difficult to run into everyone I wanted to see. Also, if the hotel is going to have staff in the back of the room by the audio mixer, they should notice when there is the start of feedback or a speaker is too loud or someone is talking into a microphone that's muted/off.	11/13/2018 7:45 PM
44	Great hotel and food, reasonably priced. Distance a bit far from USA.	11/13/2018 4:03 PM
45	The venue itself was great -- probably one of the best ever. The city was difficult to navigate.	11/13/2018 3:10 PM
46	Closer to where I am than most places. Relatively cheap.	11/13/2018 2:10 PM
47	Location was fine. Visa requirement was not. It was unclear. Getting the visa was very time consuming.	11/13/2018 1:20 PM
48	It was relatively inexpensive. It was a 20 hour airplane ride.	11/13/2018 12:35 PM
49	For me, it was very hard to get to Bangkok and since I did not stay past Saturday. It was one hell of a lot of travel for 4 days of meetings.	11/13/2018 10:27 AM
50	traffic was a real problem. location in city was poor. hard to reach southeast asia reasonably.	11/13/2018 10:05 AM
51	Extremely friendly and helpful staff (at the venue and my hotel - 4 Points) Great and affordable location No crowd despite high attendance Plenty of places to seat and do work in between sessions	11/13/2018 9:48 AM
52	Too long to get to. Not a nice location. Didn't feel comfortable walking. dirty, grungy and nothing good to say about it	11/13/2018 9:18 AM
53	Set aside the travel effort from Europe (which is identical for any Asia location), this was an excellent meeting	11/13/2018 9:13 AM
54	Too far away (long flight).	11/13/2018 9:06 AM
55	I would not go back to Bangkok again, even if IETF is hosted there again. Singapore, Tokyo, Australia, area all viable places. But Bangkok is not a good place.	11/13/2018 8:26 AM
56	Prefer hub airports or cities where I have other business. Location was peripheral to city.	11/13/2018 7:16 AM
57	Hope to return again	11/13/2018 7:15 AM
58	overflow hotel was too far away.	11/13/2018 6:28 AM
59	Probably the worst city i've ever been to	11/13/2018 6:15 AM
60	The hotel was extremely good and location is also good. I think going back to this location again is nice idea.	11/13/2018 6:14 AM
61	The hotel was very nice and overall provided very good services (rooms, meeting spaces and restaurants...). Location was fine. The fact that meeting rooms were spread over multiple floors with sometimes rooms difficult to find the first time and elevators not connecting all floors, made it less convenient to reach the sessions and to interact outside the sessions/meeting rooms.	11/13/2018 6:07 AM

62	Having meeting rooms on different floors a bit inconvenient, but the hotel was a great venue overall.	11/13/2018 5:54 AM
63	The only things that weren't excellent about the location were the air pollution, the travel distance, and the low attendance. This is the first IETF I've ever been to where I could eat lunch in a hotel restaurant, which was really convenient.	11/13/2018 5:44 AM
64	Only concern was the reaction some folks had to mold in the hotel.	11/13/2018 5:42 AM
65	I thought the city, venue, hotel, food, and really everything were quite good. The only downside from my perspective was the travel time from North America and the confusion about visa requirements.	11/13/2018 5:07 AM
66	Great hotel, value, & food. The trip to get there was excessively long. I'd prefer someplace closer to the us or Europe.	11/13/2018 4:56 AM
67	Good service, good value, good food, easy transportation to/from airport.	11/13/2018 4:51 AM
68	Very far away. longer than 24 hour travel time. Hot weather confined me to hotel most of the time, although the hotel was in fact quite nice. Food was a plus.	11/13/2018 4:36 AM
69	Very welcoming location, excellent service, plenty to see and do outside work, and good value for money.	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
70	It was too hot outside and sometimes too cold inside.	11/13/2018 4:05 AM
71	Meeting rooms were a bit spread out across the floors, which made hallway discussions more random. But all other aspects of the setup were great: meeting rooms, HVAC, food, lunch, etc.	11/13/2018 3:48 AM
72	I would consider skipping attending (which is not good) if held here again. It's just too far away (2+ days of travel over 28+ hours/4 legs for me). Singapore is only slightly better b/c it's 1 less flight leg, but it's still horrible. Japan is so much better for everyone flight wise. Please consider picking Japan/Korea instead of SE Asia.	11/13/2018 3:12 AM
73	The hotel was excellent, but I found walking around the neighborhood problematic. Many of the massage parlors were aggressive, and that was bad. The air quality was bad until the rain.	11/13/2018 3:04 AM
74	Good hotel, good food at the hotel and outside	11/13/2018 2:52 AM
75	Good hotel and good accommodations, the surroundings I did not have much time to explore.	11/13/2018 2:46 AM
76	Excellent location and place but less people coming hence not noted as 'excellent'	11/13/2018 2:33 AM
77	The food during breaks was good and lunches/coffee using coupons were very nice too. The irony of meeting rooms were overly cold didn't escape me.	11/13/2018 2:32 AM
78	Spacious meeting rooms, good (and plentiful food), reliable networking.	11/13/2018 2:25 AM
79	The surroundings were not hygienic. Too much traffic congestion around. I was utterly uncomfortable when I saw live flesh trade deals being carried out few strides from the hotel while I was travelling to my hotel, which was nearby, after a long day in the meeting.	11/13/2018 1:49 AM
80	Bangkok is great, but the distance results in rather low on-site participation.	11/13/2018 1:48 AM
81	Compared to the outside temperature, the meeting rooms were too cold.	11/13/2018 1:46 AM
82	Reachability ok except slight inconvenience for the last mile (applies to both trains and taxi). The hotel facilities were very good.	11/13/2018 1:42 AM
83	The hotel venue was just stellar and set a new standard for sensible and healthy break food. (I don't wanna see mostly cookies and loads of sweet stuff anymore.)	11/13/2018 1:33 AM
84	Very nice hotel, very good food	11/13/2018 1:33 AM
85	Good, convenient conference hotel. Multiple hotel alternatives nearby.	11/13/2018 1:32 AM
86	Need great thought for safety and well-being of those who stay outside the main meeting hotel.	11/13/2018 1:32 AM
87	Hotel surroundings weren't great and that weather doesn't suit me - it discourages wandering.	11/13/2018 1:31 AM
88	The hotel was very good. The city, bad traffic, pollution, prefer other Asian cities	11/13/2018 1:30 AM
89	Good hotel & venue with excellent breakfast. I would rate "Excellent" if there is no confusion on visa requirements.	11/13/2018 1:29 AM
90	The traffic on the roads is terrible. It is hard to buy railroad tickets (very long line).	11/13/2018 1:29 AM

91	Struggled with the climate, and walking outside much less pleasant than Singapore (where there are many more connected malls with A/C)	11/13/2018 1:27 AM
92	Weather, food, prices, friendliness of locals, easy access from many hotels around the venue.	11/13/2018 1:27 AM

Q7 Did you stay at Marriott Marquis Queen's Park in Bangkok?

Answered: 221 Skipped: 33



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	64.71%	143
No, I stayed at one of the IETF overflow properties (4 Points Sheraton)	4.07%	9
No, I stayed at a non-IETF property	31.22%	69
TOTAL		221

Q8 Do you think the IETF should return to Marriott Marquis Queen's Park for a future meeting?

Answered: 220 Skipped: 34



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	69.55%	153
No	7.73%	17
Maybe	22.73%	50
TOTAL		220

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	The Centara Hotel in Bangkok is also good but I don't know if it is big enough.	11/28/2018 8:55 PM
2	If in Bangkok then yes - Maybe not Bangkok anymore - too far.	11/28/2018 9:08 AM
3	In my opinion the place is overpriced and we could have very productive and significantly cheaper meeting elsewhere. Besides other things half of hackaton was distrupted by very loud music from Marriott-organized party literaly behind the meeting room. That's not level of service I expect based on the cost (for attendee).	11/28/2018 4:04 AM
4	Maybe, IFF the meerting rooms can be brought closer (i.e. not on 5 floors, across two towers)	11/27/2018 5:10 PM
5	If we return to Bangkok, which I hope we do not.	11/19/2018 10:43 AM
6	The Marriott was a good hotel and venue, but I would not return to Bangkok.	11/19/2018 10:18 AM
7	Absolutely! Goji!!!	11/19/2018 10:15 AM
8	I only care if a location sucks. This did not. Please continue to do what you do when selecting locations.	11/16/2018 7:27 AM
9	If in Bangkok, then yes but I prefer easier to get to Asian locations.	11/13/2018 10:27 AM
10	waiting to hear reports from the 150 missing people	11/13/2018 9:51 AM
11	don't go back to Bangkok	11/13/2018 9:18 AM
12	While the Marriott was amazing and a perfect hotel for such events, I would not return to Bangkok.	11/13/2018 8:26 AM
13	Breakfast was awesome. Easy to access station and shopping mall.	11/13/2018 7:22 AM

14	The hotel was wonderful, it's the city that was a nightmare	11/13/2018 6:15 AM
15	I personally (usually) appreciate when IETF goes to different locations. But I know that we also often return to previous locations that were considered successful. And I'd certainly consider the Bangkok Marriott Marquis Queen’s Park to have been a successful venue.	11/13/2018 5:07 AM
16	If Bangkok, the hotel is quite nice but very inconveniently located. One on the river would have been much more pleasant	11/13/2018 4:36 AM
17	I thought the hotel was excellent. Clean, comfortable, very good service, good choice of places to eat and meet, and the food was varied and good (especially in the meeting breaks).	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
18	venue was fine - and food was excellent. Travel to this location is too long from Europe for a work trip.	11/13/2018 4:24 AM
19	If we absolutely have to go to SE Asia it's a good choice.	11/13/2018 3:12 AM
20	The overflow hotel was good but too far	11/13/2018 2:33 AM
21	Compared to other hotels in Bangkok, the Marriott was quite expensive!	11/13/2018 1:46 AM
22	It might also be good to look at the Sheraton Royal Orchid and the Shangri-La	11/13/2018 1:34 AM
23	A fine hotel, but in the wrong city	11/13/2018 1:32 AM

Q9 At IETF 103 we provided Chromebooks for chairs and presenters to use in their sessions. Did you find the Chromebooks to be:

Answered: 167 Skipped: 87



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very helpful	44.91%	75
Somewhat helpful	43.11%	72
Not helpful	11.98%	20
TOTAL		167

#	COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR HOW TO MAKE THIS BETTER / EASIER NEXT TIME:	DATE
1	Not for me to judge though.	11/28/2018 9:08 AM
2	I did not have a session, and never used chromebook, so I cannot answer.	11/28/2018 5:09 AM
3	In general it's the right approach (also to encourage chairs to upload all the material to the data tracker before the meeting). Some people want to use Powerpoint for animations etc, but the auto-conversion of PPT files is not working great. If we could come up with a better presentation facility for PPT files that would be great. I guess it has to be OpenOffice for now...	11/28/2018 2:15 AM
4	We didn’t meet , N/A	11/27/2018 6:38 PM
5	I did not use them but maybe most people already have computers to use.	11/27/2018 5:42 PM
6	When the WG chairs had had time to become familiar they were great. That is, except for presentations that had been shared as "raw" PPT vs PDF'ed.	11/19/2018 12:30 PM
7	They are a bit "fiddly" to use, getting in an out of full screen mode. They would be better if there was a pdf based presentation application.	11/19/2018 12:25 PM
8	The lock on the back made it difficult to use on a podium because the screen could not be angled for appropriate viewing by the presenter	11/19/2018 11:50 AM
9	A real problem if your slides are in PowerPoint and have animations	11/19/2018 10:44 AM
10	I was aware of them and didn't use them. But there seemed to be some technical issues in terms of scrolling.	11/19/2018 10:21 AM
11	No opinion	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
12	Chairs need training - IRTF chairs in particular	11/19/2018 10:18 AM

13	I had the feeling that some chairs had problems with the chromebooks, but I don't know...	11/18/2018 1:38 AM
14	There were no instructions in my meeting room, so I just used my own laptop. When PPT was used, it didn't display very well. We WG chairs definitely need a training session.	11/15/2018 1:14 PM
15	I originally thought this was the dumbest idea ever. I was wrong - dead wrong.	11/15/2018 11:45 AM
16	I wasn't made aware of these, even though I made 4 presentations. Whatever, what is the idea of offering them anyway? Most of us have laptops.	11/15/2018 6:27 AM
17	Some trial/error was involved in getting the Chromebook to work, especially to get the remote to advance slides. Online video of what to do might have helped, although I'm not sure that I would have taken the time to watch one.	11/14/2018 4:01 PM
18	Maybe, pay attention to the remote presentations. Remote presentations had connections problems.	11/14/2018 5:18 AM
19	The set up is perfect. Please don't mess with it!	11/14/2018 3:35 AM
20	often saw that the slides were not on fullscreen but otherwise it seems like a good device to use and serves its purpose	11/13/2018 9:31 PM
21	A reminder just before the meeting week to the wgchairs list to use the in-browser "fit to page" button as well as the "F4" full-screen button to present PDF slides would be helpful.	11/13/2018 7:45 PM
22	they choked on ppt.	11/13/2018 1:20 PM
23	We had slides in powerpoint and adobe formats. I wound up projecting from my laptop. Note that at IETF 102 we converted from powerpoint to adobe, and that worked.	11/13/2018 12:35 PM
24	I kind of like a setup where you are able to see current and next slides on the presenter screen - that is not easily possible with that setup.	11/13/2018 11:22 AM
25	This was a disaster for presentations that weren't converted to PDF.	11/13/2018 10:27 AM
26	I, as a presenter, didn't know about that.	11/13/2018 9:06 AM
27	They seemed to cause more problems then they resolved. Several sessions struggled with them, and then had to just revert to their own computer.	11/13/2018 8:26 AM
28	I had to run a session alone (co-chair was absent) so the chromebook is very useful to "offload" my personal laptop. What I found under-optimal was the behavior of tabs (open in a new tab), quite different from my configuration so had to play with it a few minutes to open all tabs correctly. The clicker worked well with the PDF files displayed but did not work with the PowerPoint slides.	11/13/2018 6:07 AM
29	We use only PDF for presentation, and it would have been nice with a better PDF viewer than the web browser. E.g needed to go in and out of full screen for each presentation (especially if 4x3, 16x9)	11/13/2018 6:07 AM
30	I actualy have no insight into this, but didn't have any option to opt out.	11/13/2018 5:54 AM
31	Make sure the chairs know how to maximize slides. I had to show several chairs how to do this.	11/13/2018 5:44 AM
32	The one instruction I was looking for, but couldn't find (maybe it was there, but I couldn't find it in the long set of instructions) was the buttons to push to make slides advance page-by-page (instead of scroll). I had to be told. The arrow pointing to the important "full screen" button was very useful. The instructions weren't very useful. They were "TL;DR".	11/13/2018 4:51 AM
33	hopeless for powerpoint (or anything with animations). Otherwise a minor useful addition.	11/13/2018 4:36 AM
34	Each iteration gets better, instructions improve, etc. Keep it up.	11/13/2018 4:12 AM
35	Maybe some training/tutorial videos. A few of the first-time users seemed to struggle a bit with the manipulation.	11/13/2018 3:48 AM
36	PDF worked well which I'm fine with mandating; PPT not so much. Let's mandate PDF.	11/13/2018 3:12 AM
37	We have a routine in our wg that we run the agenda and timing on one of the chairs and the slides on the other chairs, we have not changed that.	11/13/2018 2:46 AM
38	My wg did not meet, but heard good comments.	11/13/2018 1:47 AM
39	did not use	11/13/2018 1:43 AM

40	I didn't even know about/notice them in any way (except the note about them in the hallway). Perhaps this answer could be taken to mean that there was no significant improvement compared with the earlier IETFs.	11/13/2018 1:42 AM
41	People still need a minute's "training" to use them.	11/13/2018 1:32 AM
42	Did not use	11/13/2018 1:27 AM
43	I didn't see any difference compared with the older system...	11/13/2018 1:27 AM

Q10 There were no official working group sessions on Friday; instead eight meeting rooms were made available for unofficial side meetings. Did you feel that this additional unstructured agenda time was:

Answered: 213 Skipped: 41



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very valuable	14.55%	31
Somewhat valuable	36.15%	77
Not at all valuable	49.30%	105
TOTAL		213

Q11 How valuable do you think it would be to have unstructured time in the IETF meeting agenda if it were scheduled mid-week rather than on Friday?

Answered: 211 Skipped: 43



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very valuable	29.86%	63
Somewhat valuable	49.76%	105
Not at all valuable	20.38%	43
TOTAL		211

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	It depends. The side meeting I attended happened during lunch at another day altogether. What I liked on the Friday slot, I could leave on Friday which was a cheaper flight than on Saturday.	11/28/2018 9:11 AM
2	I think having the unstructured time at the end of the week is more helpful; it means people who don't want to use it can leave.	11/28/2018 5:48 AM
3	Many people seem to have used the unstructured time on Friday for sightseeing for just for returning home. I personally had productive meetings on Friday morning, so I think that having a slot for unstructured time during the week could work better.	11/28/2018 2:17 AM
4	Would be good for scheduling misc meetings	11/27/2018 6:39 PM
5	Better in the middle than at the end.	11/27/2018 5:44 PM
6	But there were several times that I used as unstructured time.	11/27/2018 5:43 PM
7	Maybe consider deconflicting some key working groups entirely for these times. People not interested in that work could use the free time for side meetings (open the other rooms for side meetings). Having broad attendance for some meetings might be good.	11/27/2018 5:19 PM

8	Most of the interaction happen on the side the same day of existing meetings. If you take time before or after, people will just arrive or leave later but not interact more. Having rooms available monday-tuesday and thursday-friday would be valuable. Wednesday is better than friday or monday for free time, but with the current half-week focus of many working groups not sure it would matter. Also, the pricing model might not incentivise people that would only come two days to add an extra day.	11/27/2018 5:00 PM
9	Friday is best	11/19/2018 9:31 PM
10	I'm accountable to my employer for the time that I mark off to be at the conference, so I would prefer to have concrete meetings scheduled in advance for each official conference day.	11/19/2018 12:57 PM
11	It will take effort/time for people to take best advantage of unstructured options. Friday is a bad choice. A few open hours outside mealtimes midweek could potentially work well.	11/19/2018 12:32 PM
12	Not if it takes away from w.g. meeting time.	11/19/2018 12:26 PM
13	if it was one morning I think it would be useful.	11/19/2018 12:00 PM
14	The Friday experiment was a good idea to try, but IMO didn't work out as expected. I believe the meetings on these unstructured time should be better advertised and minimum effort should be put into having meeting agendas.	11/19/2018 10:27 AM
15	Most folks can find a suitable time to meet anyway. If midweek I would think that the meeting rooms would get very over subscribed quickly.	11/19/2018 10:22 AM
16	should consider longer breaks, where people can schedule unofficial meeting easier. spread the agenda over five days, so people don't leave the IETF hotel	11/19/2018 10:20 AM
17	Half day and include proposed sessions on the agenda	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
18	Most of the side meetings and other events were scheduled in the evenings at eateries or during the day during the week because a significant number of people scheduled flights home on Thursday night.	11/16/2018 1:02 PM
19	Putting it at the end is a sure way to reduce its value. So many people have limited agendas at the IETF so why stay an extra day. In the middle is a better way to "force" some usage if there is to be significant usage at all.	11/16/2018 7:29 AM
20	Anything would be better than what we did on Friday. I'm against unstructured time if it causes as many conflicts within an area as we had in Bangkok. But I don't know how to create unstructured time without causing more conflicts.	11/15/2018 1:18 PM
21	Shortening the agenda made the visit more economical for many of us, who already had been there for six days because of Hackathon.	11/15/2018 12:47 PM
22	If there's like a break on Wed, my wife will be like WTF you're taking a vacation day in the middle of f#*^@! week!	11/15/2018 11:46 AM
23	I left Thursday night, as did many others. While my family appreciated having me home a day early, this is effectively just making the week shorter.	11/15/2018 10:33 AM
24	The goal for unstructured meeting time is to maximize the chances that all desired attendees can attend. I've never had a problem arranging ad hoc meetings within the schedule - there are always slots not of interest to nearly every desired attendee. However, setting aside the Friday for ad hoc meetings works less well, cos it's much more likely some people will have left. A good idea in the past has been to start a little late (e.g. 09:30) to give time for ad hoc meetings early each morning.	11/15/2018 6:33 AM
25	I attended one such side meeting that was extremely valuable.	11/14/2018 10:52 AM
26	A lot of people had departed by Friday, because there was nothing planned on their agenda. By putting real business on Friday, we would have kept folks around; this would have the affect of making "unstructured" meetings more well attended.	11/14/2018 10:29 AM
27	On the last day, people travel back and make it difficult to participate in these meetings.	11/14/2018 5:23 AM
28	The time might be more usefully spent as a full day would be available for meetings. This would be especially true if it was mid week. I ended up with either sessions or 1 on 1s for the entire day anyway.	11/14/2018 4:54 AM
29	I would be worried about this. Depending on the location, I need to leave on Friday so that I can make it home to my family on Saturday.	11/14/2018 3:36 AM

30	We couldn't schedule an informal meeting on Friday because one person we wanted to be there would already leave on Friday. Having unstructured time mid-week solves this problem. I like the idea.	11/14/2018 12:02 AM
31	I think many people left in advance of Friday.	11/13/2018 4:05 PM
32	Probably a better experiment than doing it on Friday.	11/13/2018 3:10 PM
33	v6ops usually meets for 2.5 hours or twice, and this time met once for two hours. At the time that we sign up for meeting time, we can't really plan what will happen, and have to take a "best guess." This time, it meant that we refused three drafts for discussion that we might have taken if we had had time.	11/13/2018 12:37 PM
34	For myself, Friday allowed for return travel time which, given the distance to Bangkok, was appreciated.	11/13/2018 9:41 AM
35	Please keep friday "free" of regular WG meetings, as this was always a "slow" day, and every WG chair sighs as soon as their WG turns out to be scheduled for friday. The number of meeting rooms for those side meetings could be (obviously) significantly reduced.	11/13/2018 9:16 AM
36	With lunches and evenings after sessions, particularly if no social event and/or Bits and bites take place, we have, I believe, enough time to have small group discussions.	11/13/2018 9:09 AM
37	The unstructured Friday session this IETF meant that everyone just went home a day early. So I consider that to be a fail. Please do not do that again.	11/13/2018 8:28 AM
38	As RG chairs, I've already organized side meetings / interoim meetings on Saturdays/Sundays and Fridays of IETF meeting weeks. These are very good time for such "informal" meetings. I think unstructured time for the IETF meeting agenda is extremely useful. If set on mid-week it could enable more value because the outcome of the discussion or work achieved mid-week can be exploited further by the end of the week. Another set-up I found very useful was when one of the IETF started at 10am (I think it was once in Berlin) which allowed a lots of small meetings to be organized at breakfast time and helped solved many issues/points of discussion during the week, without stretching the days too much and relaying on the evening/dinner meetings for such purpose.	11/13/2018 6:11 AM
39	I really liked the fact that we side-meetings were a part of the IETF meeting. We arranged one ourselves. And very nice for a WG meeting early in the week, to use as overflow. But I think I'd be happier with it overlapping with existing WG slots / being done on evenings. Cause Friday felt like a wasted day.	11/13/2018 6:08 AM
40	It may provide for more off-line discussions and collaborations that could be directly feed back into a WG	11/13/2018 5:55 AM
41	I think that isolating it to the end of the week doesn't work well, because it's unrealistic to think people will stay that extra day, and therefore unreasonable to stay that extra day. I elected to go home early, and don't feel that I missed out on much. It had already been a long week.	11/13/2018 5:45 AM
42	While there's huge value in informal discussions and side meetings and those kinds of things, trying to structure or schedule unstructured time often is a fraught endeavor. So I guess I'm a bit skeptical about how this would actually play out and at the expense of what.	11/13/2018 5:22 AM
43	I find that it's possible for specific groups of people to create their own unstructured time when the overall meeting is more spread out, time-wise. It's easier to find session times where the necessary people don't have to be in a scheduled session.	11/13/2018 4:54 AM
44	The Friday position creates a big conflict with travel planning, since whether I would have to attend is unclear until the last minute - even later than the finalization of the formal agenda.	11/13/2018 4:38 AM
45	50:50; presumably this would mean extending "full" IETF to 5 days again, and there are already usually clashes between parallel sessions I would - ideally - like to attend. On the other hand, a little flexible time mid-week might make IETF less intense; it is always fairly draining.	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
46	IETF-103 agenda seemed cramped - many times I needed to be with talks at the session in different WG meetings - I am not sure the extra time was do valuable largely because the WG meetings themselves needed 1/2 hour extra agenda time? That could have been the real issue.	11/13/2018 4:26 AM
47	I usually manage to find time for unofficial meetings without having unstructured time.	11/13/2018 4:08 AM
48	Having no WG on Friday was great, especially when the meeting is a long way from EU and North America	11/13/2018 3:49 AM

49	The compression of WG time made me miss WGs that I am actively involved in due to conflicts. This is *not* better at all. Please desist.	11/13/2018 3:14 AM
50	fridays are at a great risk because people leave before, during the week would avoid that.	11/13/2018 2:53 AM
51	Friday being free meant that I left Friday morning. Friday is normally a wash without little on my schedule. This time I missed side meetings I should have been at. Free time seems like a good idea, but Friday doesn't seem to have worked as well.	11/13/2018 2:52 AM
52	The "Friday-experiment" was a monumental fiasco, please don't repeat. The question above about "usefulness" is very misleading, it was outright hindering us to do a good job.	11/13/2018 2:46 AM
53	A couple of 1 hour blocks on Tuesday and Thursday would be much better than a whole day.	11/13/2018 2:29 AM
54	We managed to arrange a (very fruitful) unofficial side meeting mid-week already so we didn't need to use the Friday's time. But if we couldn't have had our schedules to match, we certainly would have used the slots at the Friday. Normally though I try to run into people in the hallways and resolved things outside "schedule" anyway if possible so I don't find the unstructured time in general all that useful. So this time it was untypical for me and I anticipated that we might really need to have that unofficial side meeting. I've feeling though that the shortened schedule, in general, increases likelihoods of cross area conflicts which is very relevant to me currently because there are a few items relevant to me in core (ART) whereas most of my interest is in TSV stuff so the normal session conflict avoidance procedures do not cover these cases.	11/13/2018 2:07 AM
55	Having room for Friday meetings is good but it is more useful to have those at other times, too. Many people may have to or decide to leave already on Friday if there is nothing scheduled. I tried to ask for a room and didn't even get a response. Luckily, from the day before I knew where rooms could be found and we just picked an empty one. Room planning needs to improve.	11/13/2018 1:35 AM
56	The Friday seems to have failed, with many people either flying off or using the day for tourism.	11/13/2018 1:33 AM
57	If I knew the schedule in September, I would plan to leave Friday morning.	11/13/2018 1:30 AM
58	However, the IESG need to take pressure off the agenda by not letting WGs meet that don't use their time productively. In other words, don't make Friday a full day if you do this.	11/13/2018 1:29 AM
59	Unstructured time on Friday provides flexibility for attendees that might want to get home earlier, and gives more time to accumulate things to discuss over the week and finally discuss them on Friday.	11/13/2018 1:28 AM

Q12 Please describe your perception of working group conflicts within the 103 agenda, i.e., how many times did you find that working groups that you participate in were scheduled in the same time slot, in conflict with each other?

Answered: 220 Skipped: 34



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Never (0 times)	37.27%	82
One (1) time	17.73%	39
Two (2) times	22.73%	50
Three (3) or more times	22.27%	49
TOTAL		220

Q13 Which sessions?

Answered: 134 Skipped: 120

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	core-tsvwg tcpm-irtfopen t2trg-maprg lwig-tsvwg	11/30/2018 10:04 AM
2	opsawg with t2trg dots with suit emu with irtfopen	11/29/2018 2:34 AM
3	dnsop v bess, mpls v quic, intarea v babel, sfc v saag	11/28/2018 9:00 PM
4	spring/bier, rift/babel, detnet/lsvr/sfc	11/28/2018 4:53 PM
5	Pals and Teas	11/28/2018 12:45 PM
6	tsvarea and cfrg dnsop and tls and tsvwg httpbis and ippm maprg and secdispatch tls and tsvwg intarea and taps (I'm passively participating)	11/28/2018 10:12 AM
7	DMM DetNet IRTFopen	11/28/2018 6:11 AM
8	nvo3, ipsecme, homenet. It happens.	11/28/2018 5:11 AM
9	Don't remember	11/28/2018 4:29 AM
10	none	11/28/2018 4:09 AM
11	I can't remember	11/27/2018 11:50 PM
12	Cant remember	11/27/2018 10:24 PM
13	lpwan and ippm	11/27/2018 10:19 PM
14	dnsop - ipsec, TLS	11/27/2018 6:39 PM
15	IPWAVE and TEEP	11/27/2018 6:14 PM
16	don't remember right now... basically wireless stuff.	11/27/2018 5:43 PM
17	6man, sidrops	11/27/2018 5:26 PM
18	Tue morning 1, Thu morning 2, Thu afternoon 1 & 2	11/27/2018 5:20 PM
19	pals/teas mpls/pim bier/quic (personal interest, not active)	11/27/2018 5:19 PM
20	.	11/27/2018 5:01 PM
21	6man & PIM; intarea & rift	11/22/2018 9:10 PM
22	tls & nmrg, httpbis & dots	11/20/2018 11:29 PM
23	HTTP	11/20/2018 7:36 PM
24	SPRING. TEAS	11/20/2018 10:56 AM
25	tsvwg & tls intarea & taps ipsecme & homenet ippm & httpbis	11/20/2018 8:44 AM
26	Tls-dsnop 6man-quic Dprc-quic	11/20/2018 6:31 AM
27	DetNet/DMM/SFC	11/20/2018 2:18 AM
28	Mpls 6man	11/20/2018 12:57 AM
29	bier vs. spring Both technologies follows a similar concept, just for different casts (multicast vs. unicast). I think it's obvious that they would attract the same participants.	11/20/2018 12:38 AM
30	ops-anima "Autonomic Networking Integrated Model and Approach" vs. ops-dnsop "Domain Name System Operations"	11/19/2018 7:34 PM
31	RTGWG & TCPM, LSR &TCPM, PIM & QUIC, SPRING & QUIC, BIER and QIRG	11/19/2018 1:29 PM
32	grow/tsvarea; sidrops/6man intarea/taps	11/19/2018 12:59 PM
33	OPS Area and *.wg	11/19/2018 12:56 PM

34	6man & sidrops maprg & t2trg	11/19/2018 12:43 PM
35	These were invariably in "routing" area. Don't recall off top of my head and cannot take time now to dig back through the agenda. Sorry ..	11/19/2018 12:34 PM
36	6man, TSVWG, ...	11/19/2018 12:26 PM
37	EMU and MLS	11/19/2018 12:01 PM
38	1. dmm - detnet	11/19/2018 11:43 AM
39	rats bof and quic	11/19/2018 11:21 AM
40	DETNET & SFC BIER & CBOR & SPRING LISP & NETCONF NETMOD & IPPM	11/19/2018 10:51 AM
41	CFRG & GROW LSVR & SAAG	11/19/2018 10:46 AM
42	There were several examples in RTG	11/19/2018 10:45 AM
43	PANRG/IPSECME, INTAREA/TAPS	11/19/2018 10:29 AM
44	I was in nomcom all week, didn't track this properly.	11/19/2018 10:27 AM
45	SEC, TEEP and SUIT	11/19/2018 10:25 AM
46	SIPCORE / MLS	11/19/2018 10:25 AM
47	dnsop,tls	11/19/2018 10:21 AM
48	plenary	11/19/2018 10:20 AM
49	Security related and sec vs Transit	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
50	netconf/v6ops, netmod/lsr, bier/spring, detnet/lsvr	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
51	Security Area	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
52	Don't remember	11/18/2018 6:14 PM
53	.	11/17/2018 8:22 PM
54	OPSAWG, MBONED	11/17/2018 1:08 PM
55	icnrg vs, httpbis and jmap vs. wugh	11/16/2018 2:34 PM
56	ACE and ACME.	11/16/2018 1:02 PM
57	secdispatch vs t2trg; dots vs suit; dnssd vs saag (saag really should stand "alone" in my opinion)	11/16/2018 7:31 AM
58	tls, lwig, lamps, lpwan, mls, 6lo	11/15/2018 9:48 PM
59	hrpc/v6ops, core/dnsop, wugh/homenet, dprive/cbor	11/15/2018 2:18 PM
60	Monday: pals and teas; bess and pce. Wednesday: ccamp and spring. Thursday: detnet, sfc, and lsvr.	11/15/2018 1:21 PM
61	IRTF Open mtg vs TCPM	11/15/2018 6:34 AM
62	SFC LSVR	11/14/2018 5:51 PM
63	Regext, quic, gaia.	11/14/2018 10:29 AM
64	core vs tls t2trg vs secdispatch cbor vs teep	11/14/2018 4:57 AM
65	QUIC/Regext/GAIA (triple conflict for me)	11/14/2018 3:36 AM
66	IPv6	11/14/2018 12:36 AM
67	netmod,ntp,ccamp,bier, nmrg	11/13/2018 9:56 PM
68	oauth/hrpc/dispatch rats/quic teep/quic tokbind/httpbis ace/sacm	11/13/2018 7:47 PM
69	don't remember.	11/13/2018 3:11 PM
70	mile, mls and emu at the same time as irtfopen suit at the same time as dots	11/13/2018 2:13 PM
71	sidrops and regext.	11/13/2018 2:11 PM
72	1. bess/pce 2. lsr/ippm 3. mpls/6man	11/13/2018 1:59 PM

73	hrpc	11/13/2018 12:37 PM
74	bess and pce	11/13/2018 11:15 AM
75	netmod against both LSR and Routing Area Open Meeting	11/13/2018 10:29 AM
76	dnsop with tls	11/13/2018 10:06 AM
77	hrpc/dispatch	11/13/2018 9:52 AM
78	LSR / IPPM	11/13/2018 9:50 AM
79	Pretty much every slot was double, triple, or quadruple booked for me. I think this is what happens if one in interested in stack of layers rather than one horizontal layer of more closely related interests.	11/13/2018 9:43 AM
80	dnsop vs tls	11/13/2018 9:18 AM
81	6man vs. mpls vs. pim bier vs. spring detnet vs. sfc (had presentations at each WG session)	11/13/2018 9:13 AM
82	Far to many to count, I would need to go through and map it. But there were at least 4 sessions I could not attend.	11/13/2018 8:28 AM
83	dns-sd and hrpc side meeting	11/13/2018 7:24 AM
84	Regext sidrops	11/13/2018 7:17 AM
85	None	11/13/2018 7:12 AM
86	homenet:ipsecme:wugh anima:core 6man:gaia:rats 6tisch:ace	11/13/2018 6:29 AM
87	Netmod and RTGAREA	11/13/2018 6:21 AM
88	mdns / secarea mls / irtf	11/13/2018 6:16 AM
89	Mon: ART dispatch/HRPC; TLS/TVVWG; RTG WG/MLS Tue: MPLS/QUIC/RATS BoF Wed: MPLS/TLS/TSVWG;; PEARG/I2NF Thurs: DMM/SFC	11/13/2018 6:01 AM
90	0900 monday (hrpc/v6ops) 1350 monday (core/anima/dnsop/tls) 1350 tuesday (6man/gaia) 1350 wednesday (jmap, homenet) 1540 wednesday (intarea/pearg)	11/13/2018 5:52 AM
91	All the security sessions on Thursday afternoon	11/13/2018 5:43 AM
92	6TiSCH overlapping ACE; and TLS overlapping CoRE	11/13/2018 5:17 AM
93	** secdispatch and maprg (Tuesday) ** dots and suit (Thursday) ** 6tisch, ace, acme and sacm (Thursday) In fairness, I don't thing many of these were listed as conflicts during scheduling.	11/13/2018 5:14 AM
94	Taps, pearg and intarea; dnsops and Tsvwg, wugh and panrg,	11/13/2018 5:02 AM
95	CBOR and TEEP, ACME and ACE, HRPC and OAuth	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
96	IntArea and TAPS 6MAN and QUIC Don't recall the other	11/13/2018 4:27 AM
97	I don't recall details, and I'm not sure it's that important. As a WG chair I felt crowded. As an attendee, almost every session had overlaps for me, security and security, or security and something else. Unstructured time can happen any time on your own.	11/13/2018 4:14 AM
98	MLS-TCPM, RATS-QUIC, TLS-LWIG, MLS-QIRG	11/13/2018 4:10 AM
99	regext, quic, gaia	11/13/2018 4:10 AM
100	IoT and ICNRG	11/13/2018 3:42 AM
101	email mailstore and extensions to revise or amend and extensions of scalable DNS service discovery	11/13/2018 3:39 AM
102	lsr (Chair) and netmod (active and author of active draft) netmod + rtgarea A bunch of others that I dont' *have* to attend but wanted to (e.g., spring + quic + bier)	11/13/2018 3:19 AM
103	ACME and RTCWEB	11/13/2018 3:05 AM
104	can't remember	11/13/2018 2:53 AM
105	TAPS -> INT AREA QUIC -> 6MAN	11/13/2018 2:53 AM
106	Mon: TCPM, IRTF Open Tue: AVTCORE, TCPM Thu: RMCAT, QIRG	11/13/2018 2:50 AM

107	13:50-15:50 Monday Afternoon session I 7 Meeting 2 rtg bess BGP Enabled ServiceS 3 Boromphimarn 4 rtg pce Path Computation Element 9:00-11:00 Wednesday Morning session I 3 Boromphimarn 3 rtg bier Bit Indexed Explicit Replication 3 Boromphimarn 4 rtg ccamp Common Control and Measurement Plane 2 Chitlada 2 rtg spring Source Packet Routing in Networking 13:50-15:50 Thursday Afternoon session I 2 Chitlada 2 rtg detnet Deterministic Networking 2 Chitlada 3 rtg sfc Service Function Chaining	11/13/2018 2:48 AM
108	N/A	11/13/2018 2:46 AM
109	netmod and RTGAREA on Thy. 16:10-18:10	11/13/2018 2:36 AM
110	Do not remember	11/13/2018 2:34 AM
111	HTTPBIS/LAMPS and JMAP/SAAG (the last one was not marked as a conflict, but should be). There might have been a few more, but not more than usual for me.	11/13/2018 2:34 AM
112	6 Tisch and EAP	11/13/2018 2:33 AM
113	stir and cfrg; tls and dnsop; emu and mls; sidrops and rats and quic; depriv and teep; dots and suit; acme and ace	11/13/2018 2:32 AM
114	hprc/v6ops core/dnsop regext/6man	11/13/2018 2:25 AM
115	core, tsvwg, lwig, rmcat. In addition (less important ones and more understandable to conflict with something from time to time): irtfopen (with tcpm) and a would have confict if would not have been cancelled: iccrg (with rtcweb).	11/13/2018 2:15 AM
116	mpls, 6man	11/13/2018 1:59 AM
117	CoRE and QIRG	11/13/2018 1:50 AM
118	dinrg and httpbis were both scheduled on Tuesday morning.	11/13/2018 1:50 AM
119	pals, teas pce, bess lsr, ippm 6man, mpls spring, bier lsvr, sfc	11/13/2018 1:49 AM
120	sfc versus saag Babel versus intakes Dnsop versus bess	11/13/2018 1:47 AM
121	anima and core; 6tisch and -----	11/13/2018 1:45 AM
122	BIER	11/13/2018 1:40 AM
123	t2trg/secdispatch, extra/saag, jmap/wugh. It’s particularly hard to deconflict BoFs, and also area meetings such as saag.	11/13/2018 1:38 AM
124	AVTCORE - TCPM GAIA - QUIC LWIG - TSVWG ICNRG - DTN WG	11/13/2018 1:38 AM
125	Uhhh I don't remember and I hosed my calendar already :{	11/13/2018 1:37 AM
126	PCE/BESS MPLS/6MAN OPSAWG/TEAS CCAMP/SPRING	11/13/2018 1:37 AM
127	Monday: 9-11 dispatch+hprc. 1350-1550 tsvwg+tls. 1610-1810 irtfopen+tcpm Tuesday: 0900-11 httpbis+dinrg Wednesday: 1120-1200 tsvwg+tls. 1350-1520 panrg+homenet+wugh. 1540-1710 pearg+taps+intarea Thursday: 1350-1550 saag+mmusic	11/13/2018 1:37 AM
128	tsvwg-nmrg; maprg-opsawg;ippm-netmod; tsvwg-bess	11/13/2018 1:36 AM
129	don't remember	11/13/2018 1:33 AM
130	saag and dnssd, maprg and side meeting, homenet and wugh	11/13/2018 1:33 AM
131	anima, core	11/13/2018 1:32 AM
132	forget	11/13/2018 1:31 AM
133	TCPM and 6Lo. Generally, this conflict is fine for most people, but I happen to be presenting a draft in both WGs currently.	11/13/2018 1:29 AM
134	Like I can remember now, yeesh	11/13/2018 1:29 AM

Q14 Did any of the sessions you attended run out of time to complete their meeting?

Answered: 215 Skipped: 39



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	36.74%	79
No	63.26%	136
TOTAL		215

Q15 Which session(s)?

Answered: 82 Skipped: 172

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	cor ?	11/30/2018 10:05 AM
2	emu	11/29/2018 2:35 AM
3	bier, rift	11/28/2018 4:54 PM
4	IPPM	11/28/2018 11:15 AM
5	I think some of them ran out of the	11/28/2018 10:13 AM
6	DetNet	11/28/2018 7:44 AM
7	TBD	11/28/2018 6:29 AM
8	quic (but I guess that normal)	11/28/2018 4:10 AM
9	"resolverless" side meeting	11/28/2018 4:05 AM
10	EMU and TLS	11/27/2018 6:14 PM
11	... sorry would need to look it up...	11/27/2018 5:44 PM
12	QUIC Tue afternoon 1	11/27/2018 5:20 PM
13	.	11/27/2018 5:01 PM
14	HRPC, TLS	11/21/2018 12:45 PM
15	Quic	11/20/2018 6:32 AM
16	DetNet	11/20/2018 2:18 AM
17	Spring	11/20/2018 12:57 AM
18	pim	11/19/2018 9:31 PM
19	Cannot remember (I think DMM got a bit rushed, so did RIFT)	11/19/2018 1:29 PM
20	6man	11/19/2018 12:31 PM
21	NETMOD, second session	11/19/2018 11:53 AM
22	Sorry, do not remember.	11/19/2018 10:49 AM
23	MSL	11/19/2018 10:29 AM
24	v6ops	11/19/2018 10:27 AM
25	grow	11/19/2018 10:24 AM
26	Netmod II (Thursday)	11/19/2018 10:22 AM
27	I2NSF WG	11/19/2018 10:20 AM
28	netmod, rtgwg.	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
29	Most of them	11/19/2018 10:19 AM
30	DNSOP went up to the limit, could have used more	11/18/2018 6:14 PM
31	HRPC	11/16/2018 1:02 PM
32	apologize for my bad memory	11/15/2018 2:19 PM
33	detnet didn't complete its agenda.	11/15/2018 1:23 PM
34	QUIC	11/15/2018 12:47 PM
35	RTCWEB ran out of time, but that was probably a good thing.	11/15/2018 11:47 AM

36	TAPS	11/15/2018 10:33 AM
37	detnet, tsvwg	11/14/2018 4:02 PM
38	GROW, I think; it was short (1 hour only), no time for questions on many presentations.	11/14/2018 10:53 AM
39	hrpc, quic.	11/14/2018 10:30 AM
40	This is in hindsight w/o being able to remember well. All I know is that my session ACE did not. cfrg, t2trg	11/14/2018 4:59 AM
41	HRPC GAIA	11/14/2018 3:37 AM
42	In TAPS we didn't finish our agenda, because earlier discussions took too much time, but that was okay with the Working Group.	11/14/2018 12:04 AM
43	spring	11/13/2018 9:58 PM
44	quic and httpbis	11/13/2018 9:32 PM
45	oauth pearg	11/13/2018 7:48 PM
46	DetNet	11/13/2018 4:05 PM
47	i2nsf, tls (first session)	11/13/2018 2:14 PM
48	quic	11/13/2018 2:03 PM
49	ippm spring	11/13/2018 2:00 PM
50	Spring	11/13/2018 10:29 AM
51	DTN and MANET	11/13/2018 10:01 AM
52	spring	11/13/2018 9:50 AM
53	spring, ippm	11/13/2018 9:14 AM
54	Many of the session had a hurry up or we will be out of time. Or, we need to cut of this discussion because we will not have enough time to work on the other agenda items. Everything felt like it was in a rush.	11/13/2018 8:29 AM
55	SPRING	11/13/2018 7:17 AM
56	anima, but this was largely due to poor planning	11/13/2018 6:29 AM
57	ANIMA OPSA	11/13/2018 6:12 AM
58	6man	11/13/2018 6:08 AM
59	I don't remember. It was the usual running five minutes past the end of the agenda thing, not a serious issue (IMO).	11/13/2018 5:52 AM
60	OAUTH WG	11/13/2018 5:22 AM
61	Taps	11/13/2018 5:02 AM
62	TSVWG, 6MAN, INTAREA - all cut the short presentations at the end of the discussion	11/13/2018 4:27 AM
63	don't recall details. see previous comment on why that doesn't matter to me.	11/13/2018 4:14 AM
64	-	11/13/2018 4:01 AM
65	The group I chair (LSR) was only able to get enough time to present b/c of another groups cancellation. So this format did not work for us as we can't count on cancellations.	11/13/2018 3:20 AM
66	Time is fungible and we tend to expand to fill the slots. Some sessions landing in shorter slots put pressure on their agendas	11/13/2018 2:54 AM
67	coin	11/13/2018 2:54 AM
68	QUIC	11/13/2018 2:50 AM
69	ccamp, netmod	11/13/2018 2:37 AM
70	core (slightly), something else did too but I don't remember anymore which one as it was then about less interesting topics.	11/13/2018 2:27 AM
71	Don't remember - it was no more than 10 mins and it didn't bother me.	11/13/2018 2:25 AM

72	QUIC	11/13/2018 2:16 AM
73	SPRING	11/13/2018 2:00 AM
74	spring, lsr	11/13/2018 1:50 AM
75	core, that is endemic	11/13/2018 1:45 AM
76	Source routing	11/13/2018 1:40 AM
77	1 or 2, but I don't remember which ones.	11/13/2018 1:39 AM
78	GAIA RG	11/13/2018 1:38 AM
79	Many but this happens always during meetings...	11/13/2018 1:38 AM
80	avtcore	11/13/2018 1:38 AM
81	Don't remember	11/13/2018 1:34 AM
82	QUIC	11/13/2018 1:29 AM

Q16 How productive was this meeting compared to other IETF meetings you've attended?

Answered: 215 Skipped: 39



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
More productive	17.67%	38
About the same	60.47%	130
Not as productive	13.49%	29
Not applicable (i.e. This was my first meeting)	8.37%	18
TOTAL		215

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	The joint meetings with IEEE are great.	11/28/2018 4:55 PM
2	WGs tended to be crisper because they had shorter and/or fewer slots. There was less waffle and WG chairs seemed to be paying more attention to time management.	11/27/2018 10:26 PM
3	Less productive than Montreal: less N.Am. net ops present Informal hallways were all in hotel lobby: not the best location	11/27/2018 5:22 PM
4	With such an enjoyable location I wasn't rushing to get home. I came early and left late, it was great. More similar warm/tropical locations would be appreciated.	11/19/2018 9:33 PM
5	Uncertain why, but there was better conversational engagement on the presentations.	11/19/2018 12:34 PM
6	Due to not having session on Friday.	11/19/2018 12:31 PM
7	Not holding IDR put a real blocker.	11/19/2018 10:49 AM
8	lots of sitting area in the lobby for unofficial discussions	11/19/2018 10:21 AM
9	Some working groups are just staying open for the sake of staying open and should be closed. MILE and SACM are two that really just need to be closed.	11/19/2018 10:20 AM

10	Several key people from NETCONF and NETMOD working groups chose either to participate remotely or not at all. This made especially unofficial discussions less useful. In my view, this is caused both by the location and the availability of Meetecho.	11/19/2018 5:02 AM
11	Just because of the conflicts and shorter session times.	11/15/2018 1:24 PM
12	A number of the key people didn't show up.	11/15/2018 6:35 AM
13	Joint workshops w/IEEE 802 over the weekend following the meeting were a very good idea.	11/14/2018 4:02 PM
14	I didn't feel that the shorter meeting time slot was a good idea. We really need that time for discussion and presentations.	11/13/2018 4:06 PM
15	A got done considering the long travel time and only 4 days of scheduling.	11/13/2018 10:29 AM
16	Meetings did not have enough time, the work week was condensed into 4 days instead of 5 which made things really hard. Many of the normal individuals did not come and will not come to that location. I just think that this was one of the least attended IETF sessions. We need more people attending, not less.	11/13/2018 8:31 AM
17	It felt like a lot of flying for not much benefit. I'm not sure why it felt this way this time, but I suspect it was because there just weren't enough random participants. The hackathon was the least energetic hackathon I've been to.	11/13/2018 5:54 AM
18	A couple of working groups moved several RFCs to WGLC, and one WG concluded its work altogether.	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
19	important work got done in tls and acme, but overall that was a f--kload of travel for four days of in-person meetings.	11/13/2018 4:15 AM
20	Lower attendance cuts both ways. More focused discussions (because percentage of experts was higher) but fewer voices. Also: VERY disappointed that DPRIVE was cancelled with no notice or discussion.	11/13/2018 3:51 AM
21	Friday was wasted.	11/13/2018 3:21 AM
22	Fewer key people came or were available remotely, so it wasn't as productive	11/13/2018 3:05 AM
23	Less productive than Montreal, the lounge on the same floor as most of the meetings was excellent	11/13/2018 2:55 AM
24	"About the same", as many of us have the routine to solve the issues on the floor, the agenda with many collisions was this time note helpful.	11/13/2018 2:49 AM
25	We managed to clear up one large misspecification related to one ID that has been looming there since IETF101. We've used the side meeting rooms both in Montreal and now for it so thanks for providing them! This time core had enough time so we could present our work there (in Montreal core ran very badly out of time but given the amount of work done there it's not a big surprise).	11/13/2018 2:37 AM
26	I find November meetings are more productive for me, as they are slightly less busy, so I can do some technical work. Even though, I think I only had 1 slot when I didn't have any session to attend to.	11/13/2018 2:35 AM
27	Not too many participants	11/13/2018 2:35 AM
28	Too missing persons (do not know why)	11/13/2018 2:31 AM
29	personally, it was very productive, but that was chance	11/13/2018 1:46 AM
30	WG sessions appeared more constructive than usual. Unclear why, but may be that lower attendance resulted in fewer presentations of marginal documents.	11/13/2018 1:40 AM
31	Asia meetings are less well attended, leading to some WGs missing key participants. I think promoting remote participation would alleviate this.	11/13/2018 1:36 AM
32	Smaller attendance than ususal	11/13/2018 1:29 AM

Q17 Which of the following best represents your reason(s) for not coming to Bangkok (check all that apply):

Answered: 32 Skipped: 222



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Registration cost too high	18.75%	6
Travel cost too high	31.25%	10
Hotel cost too high	15.63%	5
Total cost too high	28.13%	9
Overly long travel time	37.50%	12
Remote participation is sufficient for my level of involvement	28.13%	9
Other	43.75%	14
Total Respondents: 32		

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Visa conditions were not clear enough	11/29/2018 2:04 AM
2	Minimizing travel outside of Europe. Carbon footprint is an issue.	11/26/2018 6:42 AM
3	N/A	11/20/2018 6:04 AM
4	A major issue for nonprofit OSS - limits community and decreases relevance. Its like health care in the US. We can't afford it so we just don't do it, and everyone says its our problem. Also, the whole passport/visa discussion put a level of complexity in place that we don't need in our lives. Didn't seem very welcoming either.....	11/19/2018 11:32 AM
5	There is currently insufficient activity in the IETF to justify attendance in person.	11/19/2018 11:22 AM

6	Time zone was only 4 hours behind Sydney so it was reasonable for remote participation. Avoid the 8 hour flight and have budget for IETFs in Europe/America where the time zone difference makes remote attendance harder.	11/14/2018 2:05 AM
7	My health condition was improper for traveling abroad.	11/13/2018 10:41 PM
8	I have no funding for long distance travel.	11/13/2018 9:51 AM
9	Other concerns: local political conflicts (warnings against wearing certain color shirts), local government (military junta, inadvertently insulting royalty, police shakedowns) public health issues such as water quality. Concerns about having medications/medical equipment confiscated.	11/13/2018 9:01 AM
10	I planned to attend but couldn't, due to a family emergency.	11/13/2018 8:00 AM
11	For those without corporate sponsors, the registration fee is just too high to justify for all the meetings. Add that to the time and cost of traveling halfway around the world and it's just not sensible. The other reason for me personally was concern over potential mold in venue given the similarity in climate to Singapore and knowing that Bangkok was not as westernized as the US.	11/13/2018 7:47 AM
12	Medical issues preclude me from attending meetings outside of the Southern California area.	11/13/2018 5:33 AM
13	I had too much travel during the same time. I'll come next time :)	11/13/2018 4:57 AM
14	Just wasn't the right time	11/13/2018 4:42 AM
15	Fellowship process somehow complex and tricky for newbies not necessarily NextGen	11/13/2018 1:42 AM
16	I do not travel to military dictatorships.	11/13/2018 1:32 AM

Q18 How many IETF meetings have you participated in?

Answered: 229 Skipped: 25



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
IETF 103 was my first meeting.	4.37%	10
5 or fewer	17.47%	40
More than 5	78.17%	179
TOTAL		229

Q19 If you participated in the following programs, how useful were they?

Answered: 61 Skipped: 193



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	NOT AT ALL USEFUL	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Pre-meeting Newcomers Webinar (24 Oct and 26 Oct)	9.84% 6	16.39% 10	1.64% 1	0.00% 0	72.13% 44	61	4.80
Newcomer's Quick Connections (Sunday)	21.31% 13	14.75% 9	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	63.93% 39	61	4.34
IETF Guides (formerly IETF Mentoring)	13.11% 8	11.48% 7	3.28% 2	0.00% 0	72.13% 44	61	4.79
Newcomers Dinner (Monday)	13.11% 8	11.48% 7	1.64% 1	0.00% 0	73.77% 45	61	4.84
Newcomers Tutorial (Sunday)	8.20% 5	16.39% 10	1.64% 1	0.00% 0	73.77% 45	61	4.89
Newcomers Meet & Greet (Sunday)	27.87% 17	16.39% 10	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	55.74% 34	61	3.95
Newcomers Feedback Session (Thursday morning)	8.20% 5	18.03% 11	1.64% 1	0.00% 0	72.13% 44	61	4.82

Q20 What other information would have been helpful to you in preparing for IETF 103?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 242

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Visa formalities were a huge mess and it would be much easier if the MICE letter was provided earlier. Other than that I think we had necessary information at hand.	11/28/2018 4:07 AM
2	Some organisational chart of the structure of the IETF / IAB / ISOC. It's pretty confusing to a newcomer what it means to be a chair, AD, board member of ISOC/NomCom/etc.	11/27/2018 11:51 PM
3	N.A	11/27/2018 10:20 PM
4	Maybe a code of conduct and methodology tips for participating in lists and meetings	11/21/2018 12:47 PM
5	N/A	11/20/2018 6:05 AM
6	I appreciated the "Taos of IETF" document. Perhaps a "how to start contributing to IETF" document might be useful. Also, I hope that the community takes the comments made in the plenary about atmosphere very seriously. There does seem to be evidence of systematic problem.	11/19/2018 1:04 PM
7	Earlier release of the agenda	11/15/2018 12:48 PM
8	Looks everything is good	11/14/2018 12:38 AM
9	there isn't any tutorial on RFC editor tool. I personally think there should presentation on it, so if a new comer want to write a draft , he/she has the knowledge which tool to use.	11/13/2018 11:36 PM
10	before the meeting, the meeting email list was ridiculously noisy with visa chatter. Not sure how to help this other than increasingly strong statements from the secretariat.	11/13/2018 3:52 AM
11	I lately joined and attended BIER, RIFT, SFC & LSR group discussions	11/13/2018 1:50 AM
12	Being selected as a Fellow	11/13/2018 1:44 AM

Q21 Did you apply for a visa to attend this meeting? [Note: please answer 'No' if you obtained a tourist visa upon arrival.]

Answered: 245 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	11.84%	29
No	88.16%	216
TOTAL		245

Q22 Which of the following best describes your experience in applying for a visa:

Answered: 29 Skipped: 225



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
1. Very Easy	17.24%	5
2. Easy	27.59%	8
3. Moderate	31.03%	9
4. Difficult	17.24%	5
5. Very Difficult	6.90%	2
TOTAL		29

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE IN OBTAINING A VISA.	DATE
1	First, it wasn't clear if I needed one. Once I decided to apply one it did take some doing to collect the various paperwork.	11/29/2018 2:37 AM
2	My employer took care of it, I just had to fill out the form.	11/28/2018 9:12 AM
3	The process was simple enough (the form is a marvel of broken English, but it's clear enough). The real problem was in determining whether a visa was necessary or not. This was spectacularly unclear until very late in the process. Knowing early is important, especially because it involves going without a passport for some time.	11/27/2018 5:23 PM
4	Most of all, because I had to aplly for two visas (EEUU and Tailand). And specifically to Tailand I had to go many times to the Embassy because of their restricted times of operation	11/21/2018 12:51 PM
5	It was not clear whether a tourist visa is enough, and I lost a lot of time trying to obtain a business visa, going several times to the embassy, only to eventually be given a tourist visa and being told that's enough (I could just have got one on arrival). It would be helpful to clearly clarify whether a tourist or business visa is needed and document this for attendees. The current information only stated that the meeting was recognized as a MICE event, and directed to the visa page for such events, which did not clearly state what kind of visa is necessary.	11/20/2018 7:53 PM

6	The requirements demanded by the Thai consulate were easy and letters of support were sufficient.	11/14/2018 5:26 AM
7	applied for tourist visa in advance	11/13/2018 9:58 PM
8	Requirements were unclear. My visa company made different requirements on me and other US citizens. I had to get a wet signature on the company letter. Others didn't. One consulate wanted financial statements of my company. Another didn't.	11/13/2018 1:26 PM
9	My company paid for CIBT to get my vIsa. The visa requirement is another reason not to go back to Bangkok.	11/13/2018 10:31 AM
10	A business visa required a lot of information, including a bank statement. It was not hard to collect, but not a good privacy experience.	11/13/2018 3:06 AM
11	Tedious process involving multiple trips. It was only later clarified that I didn't need a visa for the conference.	11/13/2018 1:51 AM
12	I apply for several visas every year (this Thai visa was number 8 I think?) and this is not my first Thai visa so I have both familiarity to process and a comparison to other, more painful countries to deal with. The Thai embassy is close to my home, it's a single page form, next day service, easy.	11/13/2018 1:44 AM
13	Applied for a visa just in case but turned out I didn't need it when the meeting became an official MCIE event. Wish this had been arranged earlier. Used a 3rd party visa handling agent and pretty easy except the inconvenience and cost	11/13/2018 1:41 AM

Q23 In our IETF 104 meeting survey, what additional questions should we ask?

Answered: 58 Skipped: 196

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	- quality of the beverage: I found those in Montreal and Bangkok very good though. I also appreciated that there were less plastic plates, or plastic cutlery. I think we should try to go for 100% re-usable cup, plates, cutlery. - rating how environment friendly is the meeting: This could include, air conditioning : It was very hot outside and so cold inside. This really represents a waste of energy and make the meeting uncomfortable. The hotel green policy and how it was applied, what was used during the beverage. Overall every source of waste. - I would like to use the survey to advertise that badge can be re-cycled, and follow up how many people are using this, or re-using their badge themselves.	11/28/2018 5:28 AM
2	Maybe you could ask about available travel budget people have to get an better idea if current meetings are costly or not?	11/28/2018 4:55 AM
3	- ideas for future locations - ideas for improving presentation tools, AV setup	11/28/2018 2:25 AM
4	How to engage new participants and geographies to IETF work more	11/27/2018 11:02 PM
5	No more	11/27/2018 10:21 PM
6	Ask about the plenaries, how they are run, and how effective they are at meeting community needs. Also ask about training -- both opportunities and what is needed. For remote participants, ask what additional training or materials, if any, are needed for effective use of Meetecho.	11/27/2018 6:20 PM
7	In our IETF 105 meeting survey, what additional questions should we ask?	11/27/2018 6:16 PM
8	Maybe consider asking fewer questions. This was pretty long.	11/27/2018 5:24 PM
9	What other locations do you prefer to have the meetings ?	11/27/2018 5:06 PM
10	The food situation is always a hot topic :-)	11/27/2018 5:01 PM
11	Do you apply this survey to online participants? Taking into account some of the discussions in the list, maybe ir would be a very valuable feedback to improve the streaming tools and dynamics	11/21/2018 12:54 PM
12	Don't have any Idea	11/20/2018 6:15 AM
13	What have you learned from the IETF 104 Meeting?	11/20/2018 5:33 AM
14	Did you find the location safe	11/19/2018 9:35 PM
15	Did IETF provide an inclusive environment?	11/19/2018 1:06 PM
16	It seems we have stayed with Hilton in Prague, but my guess is that some will be more adventurous there, after many visits: Did you choos another hotel based on their loyalty program?	11/19/2018 1:01 PM
17	I hope the Friday experiment won't happen again, so you won't need any questions about that.	11/19/2018 12:32 PM
18	ask how many purchased lunch at the IETF as opposed to eating in the hotel or going out.	11/19/2018 12:03 PM
19	Quality of food or convenience of food locations near the event. Bangkok was exceptionally good for this.	11/19/2018 11:24 AM
20	What new technology areas are of interest for future IETF work.	11/19/2018 11:23 AM
21	try to reduce conflicts in specific areas including SEC. the most conflicts were in SEC.	11/19/2018 10:31 AM
22	Ask whether the trip was cost effective (some of us pay out of our pocket to attend, and it depends on the distance and cost of living in the destination). Ask if the location in the continent was suitable (by way of travel difficultly other than acquiring visas, whether the country has stringent laws that could land some people into trouble - bringing personal prescription medicines, dress code, sexuality, etc.), whether vegetarians and vegans had adequate representation in food (food in IETF 103 in Bangkok was reasonable for vegetarians.. almost all food had vegetarian options, but this is not always so in IETF meetings)	11/19/2018 10:28 AM

23	How many hours did you travel to reach this venue?	11/19/2018 10:26 AM
24	have you proposed new work to IETF? what can be done to make it easier? How to attract more attendees?	11/19/2018 10:23 AM
25	Which area directors and chairs need to be replaced.	11/19/2018 10:21 AM
26	Some questions a about travel time and cost might be informative.	11/16/2018 2:39 PM
27	Nothing applicable.	11/16/2018 7:33 AM
28	How people liked the return to the original agenda format. Also, in this survey, you should have asked people who didn't attend in person why not.	11/15/2018 1:25 PM
29	List the areas that all the work you cover falls into. RTG, TSV, INT, etc. (A list with checkboxes will allow you to see how many people cross multiple areas, and which ones.)	11/15/2018 6:42 AM
30	None at present	11/14/2018 5:53 PM
31	Travel questions, like where did you travel from, how long did it take (total), number of flight segments, how did this compare to other IETFs you have attended?	11/14/2018 10:56 AM
32	What other activities do you suggest that can be done at the meeting?	11/14/2018 5:28 AM
33	* Were you able to find the information you needed about scheduling, side meetings, etc? * Was the meeting team responsive, including the ombudsteam, to any incidents, issues or questions that you had during the meeting?	11/14/2018 3:40 AM
34	don't have any question	11/14/2018 12:38 AM
35	You should ask much more things for improving remote participation, not only vide/audio stream but also mutual communication between room and remote participants.	11/13/2018 11:00 PM
36	Splitting the hotel questions from the city questions might be useful.	11/13/2018 7:50 PM
37	You night ask about our travel/visa experience getting to the venue. There was a lot of thrashing on the 103 list about visa requirements for BKK - maybe this was worse than other locations, maybe not.	11/13/2018 4:11 PM
38	What was the most interesting * of the week? Where * = presentation, discussion, I-D, hallway discussion, restaurant, excursion :-)	11/13/2018 3:44 PM
39	Let's not go back to Prague and Montreal every year.	11/13/2018 2:01 PM
40	The travel time and dates of participation (arrival - departure).	11/13/2018 11:59 AM
41	Too many questions already ;^)	11/13/2018 10:32 AM
42	Is 15 days per year a burden?	11/13/2018 10:07 AM
43	Did you attend meetecho equipment testing session the week before IETF meeting (as a preparation for remote attendance).	11/13/2018 9:54 AM
44	Do last minute agenda changes cause conflicts.	11/13/2018 9:24 AM
45	How well do area directors do their job? How well are chairs doing their job? What things could area directors and/or chairs do better. Which sessions were terrible ? Which groups should really just be closed as they are not working on anything or do not have critical mass. Which sessions are doing duplicative work in different groups.	11/13/2018 8:34 AM
46	how many hours did travel take. how many days were you away from home.	11/13/2018 6:31 AM
47	Maybe something about the different lengths of the sessions (more/less homogeneous, smaller/longer slots...). Experiment with a complete remote meeting.	11/13/2018 6:15 AM
48	Was temperature in the meeting rooms adequately controlled?	11/13/2018 4:33 AM
49	is 2 days of hackathon too much? should it move to mid-week or end-week?	11/13/2018 4:16 AM
50	p2p protocol	11/13/2018 3:03 AM
51	Time to travel in hours to the venue (door to door)	11/13/2018 2:36 AM
52	Where any of the WG's cancelled on a very short notice (like DNSPRIVE, this time)	11/13/2018 2:28 AM

53	Please add some questions so that feedback on the city and surroundings of the venue. Please understand that many people do commute from non-IETF hotels over public transport and streets. The public condition does matter. Sukhumvit was too uncomfortable for me. Especially after the dark.	11/13/2018 2:01 AM
54	How difficult it was to find an accommodation that meets your budget? (Bangkok had super cheap options available as opposed to eg Montreal or London)	11/13/2018 1:51 AM
55	Mentoring support for Remote Users	11/13/2018 1:50 AM
56	"Have you new pieces of work or follow on discussions as a result of face-to-face discussions?" "Are there any new working groups you will follow as a result of attending?"	11/13/2018 1:45 AM
57	Do you have colleagues who have often attended IETF meetings, but who did not attend this meeting? If so, why?	11/13/2018 1:43 AM
58	Should ask about general conditions: networking, food, A/V, air conditioning.	11/13/2018 1:35 AM

Q24 We are continuously working to improve the IETF meeting experience. Please use the box below to make any general suggestions for improvements to the IETF meeting experience.

Answered: 69 Skipped: 185

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I think that focus on professional conduct and inclusiveness is important. Lots of newcomers seem to experience unnecessary harshness when presenting new ideas.	11/28/2018 10:17 AM
2	Please, try to put a reminder for people that wish to use the microphones during sessions. Some of them have no idea how to use them and stick them to their mouth, resulting in _terrible_ _awful_ _hidious_ sounds, breath, spikes, pop, spit, anything goes since gain is that high on IETF microphones. A simple " stand at least 20 centimeters away from the microphone" would result in much more decent sound. The IETF youtube channel is filled with unwatchable videos because of the audio quality. It is not a critic of the people speaking, they are not to blame they don't have feedback of how they sound.	11/28/2018 7:52 AM
3	Considering environment policies of the meeting places would be more than appreciated. There is also the visa issues. To me it is not an issue to have a visa. The issue is more to exactly know what to do. So I believe it would be appreciated to have this fixed - as much we can - long before the meeting. Mostly at the time the meeting is announced. For the bangkok meeting it took time to have the clarification from ISOC about the category of the meeting. To be clear I am not blaming IETF/ISOC for governement's inability to provide clear answers ;-)	11/28/2018 5:28 AM
4	General problem is that meetings are becoming more and more expensive. The costs involved limit my ability to attend meetings - our company simply does not have enough travel budget, and given high value of social/hallway interactions Meetecho simply is not a replacement.	11/28/2018 4:55 AM
5	- Meetecho: since we has some quality problems for remote presentations this time, perhaps we can work on diagnostics, early warning systems etc. (or do some QoS for the chairs' laptops)? Secretariat: Thanks for doing a great job and trying to accommodate all of our short-notice requests -- you are awesome!	11/28/2018 2:25 AM
6	I think the IETF meetings are working great, the conference program is comprehensive and welcoming newcomer.	11/28/2018 12:45 AM
7	Suggest that when someone presents, chairs strictly enforce clarifying questions only until they have finished presenting. Suggest that when the mic line is opened, comments of support for the proposed work are given first, before "big names" get up and comment trashing the work - these few people often sways the opinion of the entire room not through technical argument, but through their early and vocal style.	11/28/2018 12:44 AM
8	Have signs outside each room saying which WG is currently meeting inside.	11/27/2018 10:30 PM
9	The meeting area was too big to have any chance of random encounters. It would be useful if meeting here again to make the area we are in smaller so there is more interaction possible instead of people being scattered over too many floors and wings	11/27/2018 6:41 PM
10	The IETF meeting experience is already pretty darned good. If anything, I just need to find out more about what is actually offered. It is nice to be able to schedule impromptu meetings for ad-hoc committees.	11/27/2018 5:49 PM
11	Could you please arrange more meetings in Japan?	11/27/2018 5:47 PM
12	Vietnam, Taiwan and Hong Kong should be among future locations.	11/27/2018 5:06 PM
13	so far so good.	11/27/2018 5:01 PM
14	I think the meeting is wonderful and the newcomers program too. Thanks for that	11/21/2018 12:54 PM
15	Reduce price.	11/20/2018 10:57 AM

16	Staring committee Yes I want to ask just one thing that if I'ld be the chair of any IETF working groups. I will take a measurement regarding new drafts submission. I have seen so many drafts including the one I have presented in person at IETF 102 DNSOP session II. My suggestions is to please before posting a new draft in the working group document list, any new draft should be reviewed by a staring committee or board of active members from the working group. If they finds a draft worth to be presented in the working group session only then a draft should be listed in the working group document. People like me who always seeking ISOC fellowships has very limited number of opportunities to become part of an IETF meeting. Getting a fellowship from ISOC and then presenting a draft in person is a very difficult challenging task. Please please, before listing a new draft, it should go from an initial review process from the said group of people from that group so that one can come up with an acceptable level of work and idea as per the community consensus.	11/20/2018 6:15 AM
17	No suggestion	11/20/2018 5:33 AM
18	I'd rather have wg meetings Sunday afternoon rather than Friday morning	11/20/2018 12:59 AM
19	Thanks a lot for the good work, making the IETF possible!	11/20/2018 12:39 AM
20	The schedule was fantastic, no conflicts for me and the Friday no meetings was perfect as I was able to attend side meetings (COIN) and internal meetings.	11/19/2018 9:35 PM
21	I was extremely pleased with the attention to dietary restrictions. The conference and hotel did very well on this. Thank you!	11/19/2018 1:06 PM
22	Some improvement in the usability of the crome books.	11/19/2018 12:32 PM
23	a sign in front of the towers telling me which floors I could not get to with those elevators would've been helpful. I think it was late Wednesday before I figured out the floor 7 deal (south tower... or was it north?)	11/19/2018 12:03 PM
24	Regionalize it, and do 1 WorldWide Conference. Seems silly to send a bunch of people all over the place every quarter. That's easily saddling attendees budgets with an additional $4k US - $(skys the limit) US/person/quarter.	11/19/2018 11:37 AM
25	So far so good! Thanks	11/19/2018 11:24 AM
26	I find too many clashes; reduce plenary time, extend some days, fill the Friday, simply to have fewer sessions on at the same time. If nothing is on, people can always do work!	11/19/2018 11:23 AM
27	Please, please avoid the Friday experiment. Unstructured time is great but it should be distributed during the week.	11/19/2018 10:29 AM
28	IETF needs to bring more new work for Digital transformation, Networking to Cloud	11/19/2018 10:23 AM
29	Many people talked in the Plenary about the hostile meetings. This needs to be fixed.	11/19/2018 10:21 AM
30	The meeting room layout in Montreal was amazing, but having the meeting rooms spread out across half a dozen floors was annoying. Likewise, "snack time" is often an informal time for talking to folks and organizing thoughts. Having the snack space broken between floors, while necessary in that space, wasn't quite ideal.	11/16/2018 1:06 PM
31	Nothing applicable.	11/16/2018 7:33 AM
32	snacks provided in the past (beloved veggies) are way more accessible then food provided at IETF103 (allergies, vegetarians & vegans)	11/15/2018 2:22 PM
33	Back to the original agenda!!! I note that this has already been announced for IETF 104.	11/15/2018 1:25 PM
34	What about a bell 5 mins before start and end of each session and break? At least in the main foyers where breaks are held. I know we're all able to check our clocks, but I find I often miss the start of sessions (esp. when hidden away in the terminal room).	11/15/2018 6:42 AM
35	None at present	11/14/2018 5:53 PM
36	It might be good to have AD assistants, who attend WGs in person as AD reps (to provide direct observations to ADs and possibly give guidance if appropriate to WGs or WG chairs)	11/14/2018 10:56 AM
37	Some workshop about how to write a first IETF draft for newcomers.	11/14/2018 5:28 AM
38	I am not sure if I liked the change in meeting lengths or not. In general I think that many groups expand to fill the slot rather than getting a slot and focusing on what is of importance.	11/14/2018 5:02 AM

39	On the registration, I indicated being a vegan. If the hotel provides food, it would be great if you could ask them to have vegan options as well (that are not only fruit), please.	11/14/2018 12:05 AM
40	As discussed on IETF mailing list, I felt following things should be improved in IETF104. - Arrangement of side meeting Number of unofficial side meeting on Friday was the least. This indicates that the scheduling was totally failured. IETF is now officially starts at Saturday, so I recommend IESG try to utilize Saturday and Sunday also for side meetings. As I answered previously, I couldn't participate side meetings remotely. This was very unfortunate situation. I don't request full Meetecho support, but at least, audio stream and jabber chat room should be provided for remote participants. - Video / audio quality Meetecho was marvelous. I could hear speakers very clearly, sometimes I felt it would be clearer than in the room. But it was depended on if people in the room used mic properly. Jump-in conversations couldn't heard. Hums couldn't heard. I hope audio will be able to carry room's atmospher properly in the future.	11/13/2018 11:00 PM
41	Reminding the wgchairs list the week before the meeting that they should engage with meetecho to prepare for remote presentations might increase the compliance rate (and thus the meeting quality for the participants interacting with that remote presentation).	11/13/2018 7:50 PM
42	There are plenty of countries that have much easier visa requirements than Thailand. Otherwise, Thailand is great.	11/13/2018 1:31 PM
43	Best Asian IETF ever was Honolulu, HI.	11/13/2018 10:32 AM
44	The IETF meeting seems to creeping forwards, from Monday to Friday, to Friday to Thursday. Perhaps Thursday to Weds would work better?	11/13/2018 10:02 AM
45	A guideline for better equipment and notebook configuration for a successfull remote attendance. Meetecho equipment testing session the week before IETF meeting (as a preparation for remote attendance).	11/13/2018 9:54 AM
46	This may be my last meeting. There's too much waste. There are certain sessions that I attend. If I knew in advance when the sessions would be held, I could plan my travel schedule accordingly. There's never a meeting where I need to be there for 5 days.	11/13/2018 9:24 AM
47	The plenary can be tiring - but i guess that is well known, and i don't have any practical suggestion ...	11/13/2018 9:20 AM
48	Should we add more WG sessions after 18:00?	11/13/2018 9:16 AM
49	Fix the hostile nature that has become the staple of the IETF. I heard many people complain about this at the plenary. I have also witnessed this increase over the years. If you can not fix that one thing, maybe IETF should be disbanded and let other SDOs pick up the work.	11/13/2018 8:34 AM
50	Schedule of IETF takes more than one week when I attend Hackathon and weekdays, and feels like long business trip. Hackathon is precious opportunity and we should keep it.	11/13/2018 7:27 AM
51	I'm feeling increasing importance on IRTF and its sessions and the conflict between IETF meetings are not negligible, especially IRTF Open meeting. Hope this can be resolved.	11/13/2018 6:17 AM
52	IETF are very well organized and you are doing a great job already!	11/13/2018 6:15 AM
53	I think you need to get serious about collecting data on conflicts, and stop treating it as "business as usual." I do not think the "areas are not interdependent" mode of conflict resolution works _at all_ anymore.	11/13/2018 5:55 AM
54	I like the idea of removing Friday as a WG meeting day. It helps a lot, from a familial point of view, especially when starting on Saturday with Hackathon. Thanks. Having 2h long session in the morning was also a good option to better schedule WG/RG. I hope it will stay like that. And, even if it's less important, having local fruits during breaks was great.	11/13/2018 5:36 AM
55	I, for one, appreciated being able to travel home on Friday and be with my family for the weekend. There are trade-offs for sure but somewhat reducing the burden on the family was nice this time around.	11/13/2018 5:32 AM
56	You should have solicited input from the community before scheduling the open Friday.	11/13/2018 5:04 AM
57	Improve Meetecho	11/13/2018 4:58 AM
58	(As per q.19, I find one of the biggest drawbacks to IETF is constantly going in and out of chilly meeting rooms where I have to sit for an hour or two at a time. I particularly appreciated the fact that most of the public spaces at the Marriott Marquis were not over-airconditioned.	11/13/2018 4:33 AM

59	it is good to try experiments so I'm okay with how this was run. I would not like to see it repeated. I think we need to reconsider 1-1-1 given the attendance changes.	11/13/2018 4:16 AM
60	Not a suggestion: but was impressed that there was an (pair of) ASL interpreter(s) for the participant with hearing challenges. They were amazing to watch operate in such challenging circumstances.	11/13/2018 3:54 AM
61	If we are going to keep meeting in SE Asia I think we need to consider not doing 1-1-1 b/c it's simply too far for many folks to attend. It should not require multiple days to travel to IETF.	11/13/2018 3:23 AM
62	The plenary on a Wednesday seemed a little late.	11/13/2018 2:28 AM
63	I'd rather have WG meeting during the first sunday than during Friday. This would save all of us one WE for family.	11/13/2018 2:01 AM
64	Please present a dashboard on the state of the local participation when IETF goes to an off-beat place. Also, if the venue is repeated than it would be useful to present the trend in increase/ decrease in local participation between consecutive occasions.	11/13/2018 2:01 AM
65	Avoid locations that require many participants to issue visa . Help resolve visa requirement issues. There was a long debate in the attendant list if visa is required or not, many issued a visa when it was not required.	11/13/2018 1:53 AM
66	Mentoring support for Remote Users	11/13/2018 1:50 AM
67	Eliminate the anti newbie bias, specially those who are not NextGen	11/13/2018 1:48 AM
68	Provide good coffee. The hotel was exceptional in many ways but the coffee in the breaks was just nothing to enjoy).	11/13/2018 1:40 AM
69	Please stop organizing meetings in countries that are dictatorships!	11/13/2018 1:33 AM