


1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		8.6%	28
Europe		30.9%	100
North America		56.2%	182
Africa		0.6%	2
Latin America/Caribbean		1.5%	5
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania		2.2%	7
answered question			324
skipped question			0

2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including this one)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		7.8%	25
2 - 5		16.5%	53
6 - 10		16.5%	53
>10		59.3%	191
answered question			322
skipped question			2

3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member	<input type="checkbox"/>	4.5%	10
IAB member	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.8%	4
IRSG Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.7%	6
IAOC-Trust member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.3%	5
Nomcom member	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4%	12
Working Group chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	35.6%	79
Author of active working group draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	66.7%	148
Author of active individual submission draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	66.2%	147
Full Time Student	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.0%	11
answered question			222
skipped question			102

4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8%	12
1950 - 1960	<input type="checkbox"/>	24.4%	77
1961 - 1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	31.4%	99
1971 - 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	33.7%	106
After 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.7%	21
answered question			315
skipped question			9

5. Did you attend IETF 81 in Quebec City?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, on a Day Pass		2.5%	8
Yes		90.7%	294
No		6.8%	22
answered question			324
skipped question			0

6. Which days did you attend?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Sunday		17.2%	52
Monday		38.1%	115
Tuesday		37.7%	114
Wednesday		39.7%	120
Thursday		35.8%	108
Friday		21.9%	66
All		64.2%	194
answered question			302
skipped question			22

7. How long did your travel to the meeting take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		14.0%	41
5 to 10 hours		36.3%	106
11 to 20 hours		36.3%	106
> 20 hours		13.4%	39
answered question			292
skipped question			32

8. Did you have to apply for a visa to attend IETF 81?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		6.5%	19
No		93.5%	273
answered question			292
skipped question			32

9. Would you support having the IETF return to Quebec City for a future meeting?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly support		52.1%	151
Weakly support		33.1%	96
Only if necessary		9.7%	28
No		5.2%	15
	Comments		85
answered question			290
skipped question			34

10. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	0.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.1% (12)	20.3% (59)	74.6% (217)	0.7% (2)	4.70	291
NOC	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.0% (3)	7.3% (21)	34.9% (101)	56.7% (164)	4.78	289
Help Desk	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.7% (2)	5.9% (17)	22.1% (64)	71.4% (207)	4.75	290
Terminal Room	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.7% (5)	15.3% (44)	35.8% (103)	47.2% (136)	4.64	288
							Comments	20
answered question								291
skipped question								33

11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Internet service in my hotel room	10.1% (29)	8.7% (25)	19.8% (57)	22.9% (66)	22.9% (66)	15.6% (45)	3.47	288
Meeting facilities	0.0% (0)	0.7% (2)	9.8% (28)	45.8% (131)	42.7% (122)	1.0% (3)	4.32	286
Venue Staff	0.0% (0)	0.7% (2)	5.9% (17)	45.8% (131)	41.6% (119)	5.9% (17)	4.36	286
Food and beverage	1.4% (4)	6.7% (19)	22.8% (65)	40.4% (115)	26.0% (74)	2.8% (8)	3.85	285
							Comments	57
							answered question	288
							skipped question	36

12. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	7.4% (21)	50.5% (144)	39.6% (113)	2.1% (6)	4.32	285
Power strips availability	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	11.6% (33)	42.8% (122)	43.9% (125)	1.4% (4)	4.32	285
Secretariat staff	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	17.3% (49)	62.0% (176)	19.7% (56)	4.76	284
Registration experience	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.4% (4)	22.5% (64)	75.4% (214)	0.7% (2)	4.74	284
Letter of Invitation handling	0.0% (0)	0.3% (1)	0.7% (2)	4.5% (13)	9.8% (28)	84.6% (242)	4.55	286
Visa processing	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	1.1% (3)	2.5% (7)	4.6% (13)	90.8% (257)	4.15	283

Comments 11

answered question 286

skipped question 38

13. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?

<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/81/meeting-packet.pdf>

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	0.0% (0)	0.7% (2)	7.4% (21)	37.1% (105)	20.8% (59)	33.9% (96)	4.18	283

Comments 22

answered question 283

skipped question 41

14. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 81All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 81Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	0.4% (1)	0.4% (1)	1.8% (5)	6.1% (17)	5.4% (15)	86.0% (240)	4.13	279
mtd@ietf.org	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.5% (7)	5.0% (14)	2.9% (8)	89.6% (249)	4.03	278
81All	0.4% (1)	0.7% (2)	11.4% (32)	39.5% (111)	33.1% (93)	14.9% (42)	4.23	281
81Attendees	0.0% (0)	4.6% (13)	20.5% (58)	36.0% (102)	30.7% (87)	8.1% (23)	4.01	283
							Comments	32
							answered question	285
							skipped question	39

15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Newcomers Training	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	0.4% (1)	3.6% (10)	95.0% (264)	4.50	278
WG Leadership	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	1.8% (5)	5.0% (14)	5.0% (14)	87.1% (242)	4.08	278
Tools for Creating Internet-Drafts	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	3.2% (9)	2.5% (7)	93.2% (259)	4.21	278
Bringing New Work to the IETF	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.8% (5)	3.2% (9)	3.9% (11)	91.0% (254)	4.24	279
Suggestions for Future Tutorials								14
answered question								279
skipped question								45

16. How do you rate the Plenaries?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Monday (Technical)	0.4% (1)	6.2% (17)	18.2% (50)	36.0% (99)	17.5% (48)	21.8% (60)	3.82	275
Wednesday (Administrative)	1.8% (5)	4.0% (11)	23.0% (64)	28.8% (80)	6.8% (19)	35.6% (99)	3.54	278
Comments								35
answered question								280
skipped question								44

17. Registrants were able to provide a link to a profile, like Facebook, LinkedIn, corporate, personal website or blog, for the purpose of improving our knowledge of one another and furthering relationships. Did you provide a profile link?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		28.2%	80
No		71.8%	204
answered question			284
skipped question			40

18. Did you review any profiles?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		8.8%	25
No		91.2%	260
answered question			285
skipped question			39

19. How would you evaluate the usefulness of the Profiles?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Very Poor		3.5%	10
Poor		9.2%	26
Fair		15.9%	45
Good		11.7%	33
Very Good		3.2%	9
N/A		56.5%	160
answered question			283
skipped question			41


20. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	73
answered question	73
skipped question	251

21. Do you plan to attend IETF 82 in Taipei hosted by TWNIC?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		60.4%	174
No		22.2%	64
Don't Know		10.4%	30
Undecided		6.9%	20
answered question			288
skipped question			36


22. Do you plan to attend IETF 83 in Paris hosted by (TBD)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		69.4%	200
No		5.2%	15
Don't Know		16.3%	47
Undecided		9.0%	26
answered question			288
skipped question			36

23. Do you plan to attend IETF 84 in Vancouver hosted by Google?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		67.7%	195
No		1.7%	5
Don't Know		20.5%	59
Undecided		10.1%	29
answered question			288
skipped question			36

24. If you did not attend IETF 81, why not? (Check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		15.0%	3
Expense		65.0%	13
Distance		5.0%	1
Location		10.0%	2
Corporate decision		35.0%	7
Could not get a Visa		0.0%	0
Other (please specify)		20.0%	4
answered question			20
skipped question			304

25. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location using the Jabber room and/or audio streaming?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		60.0%	12
No		40.0%	8
answered question			20
skipped question			304

26. How would you rate the audio streaming and Jabber rooms in support of your participation

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	26.7% (4)	6.7% (1)	33.3% (5)	26.7% (4)	6.7% (1)	2.80	
Jabber room	20.0% (3)	26.7% (4)	26.7% (4)	26.7% (4)	0.0% (0)	2.60	
answered question							
skipped question							

27. Will you be attending IETF 83 in Paris hosted by (TBD)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		25.0%	5
No		25.0%	5
Undecided		50.0%	10
answered question			20
skipped question			304

28. Will you be attending IETF 84 in Vancouver hosted by Google?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		20.0%	4
No		10.0%	2
Undecided		70.0%	14
answered question			20
skipped question			304

29. Will you be attending IETF 85 in Atlanta hosted by North American Cable Industry?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		20.0%	4
No		10.0%	2
Undecided		70.0%	14
answered question			20
skipped question			304

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Quebec City for a future meeting?

1	It's a wonderful city	Oct 20, 2011 7:12 PM
2	Great venue, people, location, food, weather	Sep 28, 2011 1:54 PM
3	although travel was about 11 hours, for me it is about the same time to California. City and facilities for IETF are perfect.	Sep 28, 2011 6:45 AM
4	Hard to get to (relatively), but a great site once we arrived.	Sep 26, 2011 1:45 PM
5	lovely venue! lovely!	Sep 26, 2011 9:49 AM
6	Not my favorite location, but a very good one: meeting facilities and accessibility of restaurants were excellent.	Sep 26, 2011 5:34 AM
7	Nice location for me because of driving distance, probably not so good for IETF in spite of nice city and facilities	Sep 26, 2011 2:47 AM
8	Excellent meeting facilities with good options for outside food and entertainment after hours.	Sep 24, 2011 6:34 PM
9	No choice of airline -- hard to get to	Sep 22, 2011 6:53 PM
10	Quebec City has very limited airline options. This makes it very hard to use a company-preferred carrier or to stay within one's frequent flier alliance.	Sep 22, 2011 6:50 PM
11	Pleasant location, with lots of restaurants nearby	Sep 21, 2011 8:11 PM
12	Nice city, but an extra hop (as it is a smaller airport) was a bit annoying.	Sep 21, 2011 3:02 PM
13	Poor airline connections	Sep 21, 2011 11:29 AM
14	Venue worked well. That is the most important factor. Travel was an annoyance, but travel is *always* an annoyance.	Sep 21, 2011 11:02 AM
15	It was a fine location. I'm happy to go there for another IETF. Is that "strongly support?"	Sep 21, 2011 10:45 AM
16	The meeting location and facilities were nice. However, traveling to and from Quebec was way harder than I expected. This is even more sad as the the meeting was supposed to be easy to travel to from North-America. As it is, traveling to Paris/London/Tokyo would have been easier and cheaper from the west coast US than traveling to Quebec	Sep 20, 2011 11:57 PM
17	My travel time was long because of weather.	Sep 20, 2011 11:12 PM
18	excellent place except poor travel options	Sep 20, 2011 9:39 PM
19	Absolutely. Having the convention centre near restaurants and bars made the meeting very enjoyable, as you were able to have proper breaks between WG meetings, or a good coffee in the morning for a good start of the day.	Sep 20, 2011 8:53 PM
20	From our residential place, scheduled flights are limited and have to wait a long time to transfer at some air port. I hope having direct flight near the venue or having more easy access to the venue.	Sep 20, 2011 8:29 PM

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Quebec City for a future meeting?

21	There has been a lengthy discussion on the mailing list and I guess the IETF as a whole can do without the dinosaurs who believe that their own favorite US city is the center of the world. Also, *NOT* crossing the US border helps reducing the travel time a lot!	Sep 20, 2011 6:40 PM
22	Very poor Internet access from hotel room.	Sep 20, 2011 5:06 PM
23	While it's a lovely city, it's still rather difficult to get to.	Sep 20, 2011 2:00 PM
24	Any Canadian location (quebec, montreal, toronto or vancouver) is ok with me. They are all very friendly places for business/IETF work.	Sep 20, 2011 1:46 PM
25	QC is better than most North American venues in terms of food and wine (which is important for me), but doesn't beat most European destinations. That's the reason for weak support, not cost, travel, ...	Sep 20, 2011 1:30 PM
26	Travel was OK, direct flight to Toronto and connect to Quebec. Hotel was a but expensive. Support meeting in Quebec but only in the July meeting.	Sep 20, 2011 1:28 PM
27	Good venue, a little hard to get too, but good support for the meeting.	Sep 20, 2011 1:00 PM
28	I'd like to put strongly support but it would be for personal reasons	Sep 20, 2011 12:54 PM
29	I'm fine with Quebec, but Montreal would be more convenient.	Sep 20, 2011 12:40 PM
30	Nice city, good to walk around, easy to get to/from airport.	Sep 20, 2011 12:35 PM
31	Good town, nice amenities. A bit pricey, a bit of a pain to get to.	Sep 20, 2011 12:12 PM
32	I thought QC was a great venue. I only weakly support returning simply because I believe a diversity of venues encourages diverse participation.	Sep 20, 2011 11:32 AM
33	just get hotel a bit lower cost. But the city is wonderful to have meeting in - coffee, food is within walking distance. Please no winter IETF in quebec.	Sep 20, 2011 11:24 AM
34	Nice place, not easy to get to.	Sep 20, 2011 10:28 AM
35	not the cheapest flight from Europe, but the venue was great and the town very nice to visit.	Sep 20, 2011 10:11 AM
36	For a North American city, it was difficult to get to since the airport is not an international airport per se. The options for the hop from other Canadian or US cities was very limited and the connections were not convenient for the most part. Also, the airfare was as high as I have paid for European cities. However, the venue was very good and the access to food was exceptional, which are the only two reasons I would support having another meeting at that venue.	Sep 20, 2011 10:07 AM
37	The actual city and location is quite nice...once you get there, which was a bit of a pain. Also, a bit expensive for the current corporate expense budget.	Sep 20, 2011 10:01 AM
38	It was a great location for the meeting, in terms of convenience, restaurants, and being able to run into people. I know some folks had problems with travel.	Sep 20, 2011 9:55 AM
39	Good venue but awkward to get to.	Sep 20, 2011 9:51 AM

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Quebec City for a future meeting?

40	Not easily accessible.	Sep 20, 2011 9:46 AM
41	Less frequent flight to major U.S. cities...	Sep 20, 2011 9:46 AM
42	Travel connections were poor. Facility was ok. Better choices exist.	Sep 20, 2011 9:40 AM
43	Not too hard to get to from Boston.	Sep 20, 2011 9:39 AM
44	Direct flights from home, nice location, great convention center, good choice of (cheaper) alternative hotels compatible with company's policies, french speaking country (of course english is also fine, but e.g. China or Japan were a little more difficult in this regard).	Sep 20, 2011 9:26 AM
45	Lovely city, very nice for after hours activities	Sep 20, 2011 9:23 AM
46	at issue is that the airport is only regional, and there aren't many flights there from gateways or hubs. Once there, it was nice. I prefer large hub cities that are easy to get to and from (Frankfurt, Tokyo, Vancouver, Paris, etc)	Sep 20, 2011 9:23 AM
47	Heard many comments about "I come to work not be a tourist". OK, me too, but I don't work 24x7 and when I have down time it is fabulous to have interesting options close enough that I can take advantage of them.	Sep 20, 2011 9:19 AM
48	It was a beautiful city, but pretty expensive.	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
49	NOT, NOT, NOT during tourist season. PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE convince VIARAIL that we can fill a train from Dorval (Montreal Airport) to Quebec City.	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
50	Very nice city. Used a nice hotel close to conference centre in old town.	Sep 20, 2011 9:07 AM
51	Wonderful location. Plenty of hotels and restaurants within walking distance, and being on the edge of the old city was a major plus. Nice venue - plenty of space. Future locations will have a very hard time matching the fireworks and Cirque shows during the meeting week. The ability to drive from Boston was a plus, although the border wait to re-enter the US (A-55/I-93 crossing at QB/NH border) was long (will go back through Maine next time).	Sep 20, 2011 9:01 AM
52	Travel arrangements for many folks were complex, and the hotel was marginal. The meeting space itself was superb.	Sep 20, 2011 8:49 AM
53	Less accessible than some locations, and hotels / meals rather expensive	Sep 20, 2011 8:47 AM
54	Too many connections Hotels too expensive	Sep 20, 2011 8:46 AM
55	I enjoyed the location, but the travel (hotel, travel, etc) costs have drained the budget, so will not be at the next IETF.	Sep 20, 2011 8:38 AM
56	The connection between NA International hubs and Quebec is problematic	Sep 20, 2011 8:35 AM
57	Nice place, hard to get to	Sep 20, 2011 8:33 AM
58	Not easy to treavel too	Sep 20, 2011 8:31 AM
59	Loved the city, but travel logistics not worth it for a meeting. (Vacation is another	Sep 20, 2011 8:27 AM

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Quebec City for a future meeting?

	matter.)	
60	Loved the city, and easy to get to for me	Sep 20, 2011 8:25 AM
61	Good meeting rooms and nice location with plenty of restaurants in walking distance. Probably summer/fall location than March meeting. March may be a bit sloppy to walk around the area.	Sep 20, 2011 8:22 AM
62	Venue was good; city was very pleasant. Biggest problem is lack of airplane seats to/from Quebec City. Also, it's nice in the summer, not so nice in the winter I hear.	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
63	QC was nice, and I appreciated the food choices within walking distance, but they and the conference hotel were pricey, and though I am on the east coast of the US, this took me nearly as long to travel to as a west coast destination due to the lack of direct flights	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
64	Very nice setup for a meeting—convenient restaurants, good hotel.	Sep 20, 2011 8:11 AM
65	Travel was too difficult and expensive.	Sep 20, 2011 8:08 AM
66	Very nice & affordable city. Lots of different place nearby to eat quickly.	Sep 20, 2011 8:08 AM
67	Quebec City was an excellent venue for IETF on every level.	Sep 20, 2011 8:05 AM
68	It was a nice place to visit, but nothing noteworthy enough to justify the travel complications again	Sep 20, 2011 7:59 AM
69	Great place! Again!	Sep 20, 2011 7:55 AM
70	prefer 1 flight change	Sep 20, 2011 7:55 AM
71	Not in winter. But yes, it was a nice city.	Sep 20, 2011 7:50 AM
72	Alternate transportation informations needs to be made available. E.g., bus transport from Montreal airport to avoid the extremely high air fares direct to Quebec City.	Sep 20, 2011 7:46 AM
73	needed three hops to get there	Sep 20, 2011 7:35 AM
74	generally I am interested in learning lot more about the places other IETF-guys come from - so new places are welcome!	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
75	City and meeting venue were really good, but travel and stay were quite expensive. Long travel time.	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
76	The hotel provided a very good conference venue, and the city itself was a pleasure to be in too.	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM
77	Expensive accommodation :-(Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
78	This was my first IETF meeting, even though I've been working in the field for 20+ years. It felt like a reunion. Also, it was the best *connected* conference I've ever attended (in terms of being able to access the Internet, as well as find	Sep 20, 2011 7:26 AM

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Quebec City for a future meeting?

people to meet with). The Hilton bumped me to an exec floor, so certainly no complaints there! I live in New England but had never been to QC. I'd meet there again (as long as it wasn't winter).

79	I would rather travel by train than get stuck on Detroit airport...	Sep 20, 2011 7:25 AM
80	Nice place but a bit cumbersome to fly into. Meeting facilities and environment were great!	Sep 20, 2011 7:25 AM
81	very difficult to get to, expensive.	Sep 20, 2011 7:24 AM
82	Easier than going to US or some Asian countries	Sep 20, 2011 7:20 AM
83	The location was great but the flight ticket and the stay were more expensive.	Sep 20, 2011 7:20 AM
84	It's a nice -place to visit....but getting there is the harder part - it's off the airline beaten track.	Sep 20, 2011 7:17 AM
85	Hotel was really expensive and travel was quite long.	Sep 20, 2011 7:16 AM

Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

1	The network worked well enough that I didn't need the terminal room or help desk. NOC replied promptly to trouble tickets.	Sep 22, 2011 6:50 PM
2	Used wired in room, but wireless elsewhere was great.	Sep 21, 2011 2:14 PM
3	didn't use the NOC or helpdesk.	Sep 21, 2011 2:36 AM
4	I was in the Hilton so I had zero problems with the wireless.	Sep 20, 2011 11:12 PM
5	In overfilled rooms it was sometimes hard to get a wireless association up or to obtain an IP address.	Sep 20, 2011 8:53 PM
6	Wireless network had some weird issues with some of my devices that I have never seen before in other WLAN deployments (some kind of timeout looking bug that made the device unable to send data after a little while), including past IETFs.	Sep 20, 2011 6:40 PM
7	Rating is for the MEETING VENUE ONLY, not my hotel. Your survey should have had two questions for this to avoid ambiguity.	Sep 20, 2011 5:06 PM
8	Get rid of the terminal room; its soooo 90s.	Sep 20, 2011 1:46 PM
9	Staff are always excellent.	Sep 20, 2011 12:35 PM
10	Since I didn't have to interact with anyone, that means very good, no?	Sep 20, 2011 11:23 AM
11	Wireless "just worked" - didn't need to contact either the NOC or the help desk.	Sep 20, 2011 9:01 AM
12	I didn't talk to anyone in the NOC, Help Desk or Terminal room, hence no rating.	Sep 20, 2011 8:11 AM
13	Internet connectivity was robust and available throughout the venue during the entire event. I go to lots of meetings and the IETF network was noticeably outstanding. I had an unrelated non-network issue with my computer and the people at the help desk were very kind, helpful and knowledgeable in quickly solving my problem. They are great ambassadors for IETF.	Sep 20, 2011 8:05 AM
14	Having the little tables on the side of the terminal room was very good	Sep 20, 2011 7:59 AM
15	Heard complaints about lack of power outlets in terminal room	Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
16	Didn't need the NOC or help desk, which is even better than getting good service from them.	Sep 20, 2011 7:22 AM
17	Had drop-outs from the wireless at various times, but not for long durations.	Sep 20, 2011 7:17 AM
18	I found several places where I could not get an IP, including some of the more remote rooms and in the middle of the big rooms.	Sep 20, 2011 7:16 AM
19	Great location for July IETF meetings. Not in March. not in November.	Sep 20, 2011 7:16 AM
20	term room and break out space were VERY useful and really need to be repeated	Sep 20, 2011 7:15 AM

Page 3, Q11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

1	I was staying at Delta hotel	Nov 8, 2011 12:42 AM
2	I love the city but the actual venue was weird, like a rabbit warren! I didnt really get any particulr help or non help from event staff, honestly, and the f&b was just average onsite. We were at the Delta overflow hotel, where wireless kinda sucked.	Sep 26, 2011 9:49 AM
3	Frequent running out of food during breaks	Sep 26, 2011 2:47 AM
4	There was not enough food during the breaks, such that it ran out if one was delayed in getting out there (by, say, a side discussion after the session).	Sep 23, 2011 12:17 PM
5	Internet in the Delta hotel was sketchy	Sep 21, 2011 8:11 PM
6	Maybe better to not provide breakfast and use funds for better things the rest of the event.	Sep 21, 2011 2:14 PM
7	internet access at the adjoining delta was horrific.	Sep 21, 2011 2:36 AM
8	Internet service in my hotel room was rather slow before the meeting started. Once the IETF took over the uplink for the hotel things were great. And, of course, on F&B, not enough cookies! I managed to miss them every time! (Partly my fault, of course.)	Sep 20, 2011 9:18 PM
9	no wireless in hotel room	Sep 20, 2011 9:18 PM
10	Internet Access in Delta hotel was terrible	Sep 20, 2011 8:46 PM
11	I love ice cream(s) :-)	Sep 20, 2011 8:29 PM
12	Didn't stay at any of the conference hotels.	Sep 20, 2011 7:10 PM
13	Although staying at the delta, I had a quite fair connectivity service. Not that good as the IETF venue of course, but not as bad either. Yet another lengthy discussion that quickly turned pointless. Also, I do not really care about the hotel connectivity as long as I can check my mail.	Sep 20, 2011 6:40 PM
14	I was in the Delta	Sep 20, 2011 6:23 PM
15	I stayed at the Delta Hotel and the Internet service there was unusable.	Sep 20, 2011 5:20 PM
16	I was staying in the an overflow hotel in the centr. No seriousproblems at all with the internet/wireless service there	Sep 20, 2011 4:27 PM
17	The Hilton and the IETF probably had the only mediocre cookies in Quebec City.	Sep 20, 2011 4:04 PM
18	Internet was good in the hotel, but I was not in one of the main hotels	Sep 20, 2011 3:06 PM
19	It was a bit of a haul to get around from anything other than the Hilton.	Sep 20, 2011 2:00 PM
20	My hotel was Le Concorde.	Sep 20, 2011 1:47 PM
21	Onesite food was unacceptable, but (of course) one could go out to get slightly	Sep 20, 2011 1:30 PM

Page 3, Q11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	better choices.	
22	Hotel: Marriot Courtyard ... not one the conference hotels.	Sep 20, 2011 1:07 PM
23	The food breaks ran out of coffee/cookies too early.	Sep 20, 2011 12:53 PM
24	My hotel was not one of the IETF ones. Was good except for Wi-Fi. For Venue, should have been coffee out all day and food was taken away too fast. Otherwise good.	Sep 20, 2011 12:35 PM
25	I have never seen such a confusing set of function rooms.	Sep 20, 2011 12:33 PM
26	Loewe's Hotel	Sep 20, 2011 11:30 AM
27	I've stayed in Delta. Others said it all before ...	Sep 20, 2011 11:29 AM
28	I stayed in Holiday Inn express - a bus ride away and the Internet was perfect in room (and free). Hilton was overly priced for week and declined to stay there. But if it was a bit cheaper it would be good..	Sep 20, 2011 11:24 AM
29	Wired-only access in our hotel rooms is an issue - I had three devices, but only one had an Ethernet adapter, so that was the only one I could use in my room.	Sep 20, 2011 11:22 AM
30	delta hotel internet access was completely unusable	Sep 20, 2011 11:12 AM
31	Was at the Delta	Sep 20, 2011 10:42 AM
32	I was in the backup hotel (Delta?)	Sep 20, 2011 10:40 AM
33	The Delta hotel was fine (not great) and the conference center a little run down, or at least devoid of much ambiance. A little dated. That said, it was clean, and it seemed large enough to accommodate the IETF masses.	Sep 20, 2011 10:36 AM
34	No wireless in Hilton rooms The big hall for food and beverage was really nice	Sep 20, 2011 9:51 AM
35	Don't forget the very rich chocolate brownies. That's how specifications are really written.	Sep 20, 2011 9:44 AM
36	Running out of cookies in the first five minutes?	Sep 20, 2011 9:39 AM
37	Was in the Delta hotel.	Sep 20, 2011 9:21 AM
38	Stayed at the Frontenac not the Hilton. Hotel wireless was acceptable. No worse and maybe better than typical hotel wireless. Thought there was a lot of whining about the Delta. I am probably being unfair to the venue staff based on a single bad interaction. Didn't really interact much with the venue staff so the one bad interaction skews my review.	Sep 20, 2011 9:19 AM
39	food in the facility itself was not so great. No canonical bar!!!! If that big room had been turned into a bar...	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
40	No reason to speak to NOC or help desk' 'It just worked'.	Sep 20, 2011 9:07 AM

Page 3, Q11. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

41	Hotel: Marriott Courtyard. Wireless data service was good, but the hotel's network could not sustain a GSM UMA voice call. The IETF's meeting network could and did.	Sep 20, 2011 9:01 AM
42	Lots of good restaurants around, but the immediately available food was awful. The Hilton claims they will be installing in-room wifi.	Sep 20, 2011 8:49 AM
43	Breakfasts terrible compared to European and Asian venues	Sep 20, 2011 8:47 AM
44	Good to have enough simple food at the IETF - trendy food is cool, but only if there is also basic food to keep going when you miss your intended feeding time. Breadsticks, Muffins, cookies, etc are all desirable.	Sep 20, 2011 8:38 AM
45	Stayed in Conference Hotel, so my wireless was good in the room. I guess that was not the case everywhere.	Sep 20, 2011 8:22 AM
46	note: I didn't stay in one of the IETF recommended hotels.	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
47	venue had very little variety in the food provided, otherwise a good space. As always, those stackable chairs are uncomfortable for the long durations that we have to use them, especially for tall folks.	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
48	I didn't stay at the venue as there were cheaper options elsewhere (\$120/night, and a \$5 taxi ride). Meeting facilities were acceptable but we had some issues with room dimensioning. Food and beverage was of good quality but in poor availability - insufficient cookies, and need more access to constantly available coffee.	Sep 20, 2011 7:50 AM
49	Venue layout complex/confusing, exits blocked causing unnecessary backtracking on breaks.	Sep 20, 2011 7:35 AM
50	no hotel within IETF pool	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
51	A/C was too cold.	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
52	In hotel dining was average, but plenty of very good options just a few steps out the door.	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM
53	Some meeting rooms were an odd shape.	Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
54	more then one meeting was overflowing, i could not even get into one bof. Poor ventilation	Sep 20, 2011 7:24 AM
55	Hotel PUR (very good)	Sep 20, 2011 7:20 AM
56	I did not stay in one of the show hotels, so my room's Internet service is likely not relevant.	Sep 20, 2011 7:18 AM
57	Good locale for various food alternatives, i.e. not marooned on a convention island with no where else to eat but the usual hotel or convention fare.	Sep 20, 2011 7:17 AM

Page 3, Q12. How would you rate the following?

1	this stuff is always good, for me	Sep 26, 2011 9:49 AM
2	I was not a WG Chair at time of meeting.	Sep 21, 2011 2:14 PM
3	I did not need a visa to get to Canada from the US, but I have to say that immigration experience to and from Canada from North America is not getting better and contributed to my non optimal travel experience. I did miss my flight due to slow immigration into the US and the process from the US to Canada took me 2 hours at 4.30am in the morning and I would not have made my flight were not for the incompetence of Air Canada that has not managed to make a single flight leave in time in the last 10 flights that I was forced to take with them. Eg, Canada has some serious issues to deal with to get people to travel there including a terrible immigration experience and a near monopoly airline that is expensive and incompetent. Quite frankly, US immigration is a breeze for non US citizens compared to Canada and even the legacy airlines do better than Air Canada	Sep 20, 2011 11:57 PM
4	coming from Japan, no VISA involved.	Sep 20, 2011 6:40 PM
5	Secretariat staff is good.	Sep 20, 2011 11:24 AM
6	Projection screen too small in some rooms.	Sep 20, 2011 10:06 AM
7	emailed questions to the Secretariat got prompt complete and accurate answers. Can't ask for more than that.	Sep 20, 2011 9:19 AM
8	microphones not well distributed around room.	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
9	there needs to be info on the proper supported resolution for each projector so that presenters know exactly which settings to use - this will speed setup for presentations and ensure that they look correct	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
10	The low ceilinged rooms made the projectors tricky to see.	Sep 20, 2011 7:50 AM
11	For next meetings ... we would like to not freeze or wear warm clothes at the meetings. Why was the A/C turned to so low temperature?	Sep 20, 2011 7:25 AM

Page 3, Q13. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/81/meeting-packet.pdf>

1	I love the program book, though now that there is the iphone app, I use it less	Sep 26, 2011 9:49 AM
2	If you are going to print the thing, print it. If you are going to make a "program book" and then only make it available online, do something that is designed for online access and use, not printing as a book/ brochure.	Sep 26, 2011 2:47 AM
3	I confess I barely look at it -- I mostly use the online stuff.	Sep 23, 2011 12:56 PM
4	Not sure what this was.	Sep 21, 2011 2:14 PM
5	I didn't use it but looking at it now, it looks very good.	Sep 21, 2011 10:45 AM
6	Print is a tad small for my aging eyes.	Sep 21, 2011 4:54 AM
7	Totally unnecessary. The paper minischedule is very useful though.	Sep 20, 2011 11:57 PM
8	I didn't know that there was one. Looking now it looks excellent.	Sep 20, 2011 4:04 PM
9	maybe we can cut this cost now?	Sep 20, 2011 2:37 PM
10	I don't recall seeing the Program Book.	Sep 20, 2011 1:47 PM
11	Why not handle it all electronically?	Sep 20, 2011 11:23 AM
12	It would have been better if I had looked at it before now.	Sep 20, 2011 10:40 AM
13	I didn't receive this at the meeting.	Sep 20, 2011 10:13 AM
14	Never heard of it.	Sep 20, 2011 10:01 AM
15	I probably should have paid more attention to this helpful book when the meeting was taking place.	Sep 20, 2011 9:44 AM
16	It would have been more useful if I had seen a copy of the meeting packet before today.	Sep 20, 2011 9:19 AM
17	... but the iphone app was great	Sep 20, 2011 8:35 AM
18	I did not see this book.	Sep 20, 2011 8:22 AM
19	I never take the program book—it's a waste of paper when everything is online.	Sep 20, 2011 8:11 AM
20	Didn't look at it...	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM
21	I found myself using the iPhone app. I think IETF should put more support behind smart phones (and perhaps cut down on printing and environmental costs).	Sep 20, 2011 7:26 AM
22	Never heard of it.	Sep 20, 2011 7:25 AM

Page 3, Q14. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 81All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 81Attendees@ietf.org list to share...

1	the All list is really useful. Attendees gets silly, but this is hardly your fault.	Sep 26, 2011 9:49 AM
2	We are developing too many of these and their identities are never easy to find when needed. N/S ratio on *Attendees is approaching the astonishing.	Sep 26, 2011 2:47 AM
3	Chatter on 81Attendees is a bit much at times.	Sep 24, 2011 6:34 PM
4	The problem isn't the lists, but the users' behaviour. Not everyone uses the right lists.	Sep 23, 2011 12:56 PM
5	As usual, too much drivel and whining on the "attendees" list.	Sep 21, 2011 8:11 PM
6	81Attendees has some useful discussion, but way too much silly chatter.	Sep 21, 2011 11:02 AM
7	Needs moderation. Duplicate information should be refused and many topics should be moved to a wiki.	Sep 20, 2011 11:57 PM
8	Maybe we should consider a moderated attendees list, as an option. The attendee would be allowed to choose moderated or not, or both and filter as needed/desired.	Sep 20, 2011 9:18 PM
9	Did not know about mtd@ietf.org, and don't think other people did. Problems seem to have been reported to 81Attendees.	Sep 20, 2011 8:53 PM
10	Useful, but prone to silliness.	Sep 20, 2011 5:06 PM
11	I did not know all the mailing lists.	Sep 20, 2011 2:37 PM
12	too much tourist stuff, is this a travel organisation?	Sep 20, 2011 2:19 PM
13	There was also a list 81companions@ietf.org for companions. This mailing-list was very useful, but its archive of this list SHOULD NOT be public as it may contain personal details and only serves to coordinate between companions on a personal level.	Sep 20, 2011 1:55 PM
14	Not sure I knew or noticed I was told about the NOC and mtd lists, but if you could get to these off the ietf.org web site, then it didn't matter.	Sep 20, 2011 10:36 AM
15	low S/N ratio on attendees list, but there's nothing to be done about that I suppose.	Sep 20, 2011 10:11 AM
16	The only one I knew about was 81attendees.	Sep 20, 2011 9:39 AM
17	ticket systems good, but likely the ACK on actions needed to go to 81attendees, so that people know that a problem was acknowledged/fixed.	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
18	Too much griping on 81Attendees.	Sep 20, 2011 9:07 AM
19	Too much traffic on 81attendees that was unrelated to meeting - wound up unsubscribing shortly after meeting to avoid email volume.	Sep 20, 2011 9:01 AM
20	81Attendees list has too much traffic on threads of limited interest	Sep 20, 2011 8:47 AM

Page 3, Q14. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 81All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 81Attendees@ietf.org list to share...

21	Suggest splitting attendees into meeting-related and venue-related rambling (where you get best beer, etc.). The amount of email you get in the attendees list is hard to handle, especially for those who need to keep up with office work while at the meeting	Sep 20, 2011 8:46 AM
22	too much traffic on 81attendees	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
23	I only got four pieces of mail from 81all, so it seems kind of superfluous.	Sep 20, 2011 8:11 AM
24	81Attendees full of people who are scared or incapable of travel with dwindling levels of useful material.	Sep 20, 2011 7:50 AM
25	Didn't know mtd existed.	Sep 20, 2011 7:37 AM
26	Did not get any feedback on NOC request on the said address, nor did I even get a ticket number. (Notwithstanding, NOC itself did a good job, missing feedback was the only issue.)	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
27	81attendees was largely dross. But annoyingly there was also some very useful info on there from the hosts concerning local amenities etc. So you couldn't just ignore it or unsubscribe, you had to navigate the various threads / streams of consciousness that were going on.	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM
28	Too much chatter on 81Attendees to see the wood for the trees in terms of useful info	Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
29	I am not sure that I knew about all of these lists, and some of them I knew about only by extrapolation. Can you make the lists more obvious on the main meeting page?	Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
30	Never had a network issue.	Sep 20, 2011 7:26 AM
31	I stopped subscribing to the attendees list because the signal-to-noise ratio is way too poor. Before, people asked trivia that they could look up on the web or in a guide book and often ratholed on pointless discussions. (Fortunately, there were some funny gems and useful information once in a while, but overall it's not worth it.)	Sep 20, 2011 7:25 AM
32	Too many emails on irrelevant subjects e.g. when the firework display is on	Sep 20, 2011 7:16 AM

Page 3, Q15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

1	Disappointed Tools for Creating Internet Drafts scheduled at same time as other Training I attended	Sep 22, 2011 7:58 PM
2	Announce early enough to plan Sunday travel.	Sep 22, 2011 4:39 PM
3	Although I could not attend them, those titles look very promising, keep them up !	Sep 20, 2011 6:40 PM
4	I didn't know there was a WG leadership class offered	Sep 20, 2011 1:46 PM
5	How about a review of other standards orgs we interact with - people who do could speak briefly about the content and style of their interaction.	Sep 20, 2011 12:35 PM
6	Add the WG training on Wednesday to future surveys?	Sep 20, 2011 11:22 AM
7	I should have payed more attention, All but "newcomers" are classes I would like to attend. Please hold them again!	Sep 20, 2011 9:21 AM
8	Planned to attend some of these, but travel took longer than expected.	Sep 20, 2011 9:19 AM
9	I never seem to make it to the tutorials.	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
10	Good to see these happening, although I did not attend this time.	Sep 20, 2011 8:38 AM
11	Marc Blanchette presented something for new comers in french. Since i'm a french canadian, it was really excellent to get such a tutorial in my mother's tongue.	Sep 20, 2011 8:29 AM
12	Need more variety of tutorials.	Sep 20, 2011 7:46 AM
13	varying informational topics related to different areas (such as intro to general/routing/applications area etc.)	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
14	Didn't attend. I cannot make Sunday sessions if the travel time exceeds a few hours, because otherwise I have to blow an entire weekend.	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM

Page 3, Q16. How do you rate the Plenaries?

1	Plenary time should be halved.	Oct 11, 2011 4:48 PM
2	Im vague on them now but I seem to recall I liked the World IPv6 day stuff particularly	Sep 26, 2011 9:49 AM
3	was expecting more from the technical topic based on the agenda	Sep 23, 2011 5:44 PM
4	The technical plenary topics are getting tedious and repetitive. This audience is well aware of the IPv6 issues and importance, and if we have one more plenary panel on IPv6, I fear rioting and/or mass suicides. The privacy panel was a far better topic, but not as interestingly presented as I'd have liked. But definitely head more to topics such as that!	Sep 23, 2011 12:17 PM
5	Boring!	Sep 22, 2011 6:53 PM
6	Plenaries could be combined.	Sep 22, 2011 6:50 PM
7	Live remote was a good idea, but didn't work perfectly.	Sep 22, 2011 4:39 PM
8	Dragged on far too long on details that were not important to the vast majority.	Sep 21, 2011 2:14 PM
9	hard to make the administrative plenary exciting...	Sep 21, 2011 11:02 AM
10	The privacy one had one too many participants meaning the session ended up too long altogether. However one understands the necessity of having a govt rep when governments often provide funding or other support!	Sep 21, 2011 4:54 AM
11	I was part of the Wed plenary so I'm marking N/A.	Sep 20, 2011 11:12 PM
12	Glen Zorn needs to take a chill pill.	Sep 20, 2011 7:10 PM
13	Don't remember details here.	Sep 20, 2011 5:06 PM
14	I have never been convinced that we need two of them.	Sep 20, 2011 4:04 PM
15	did not attend.	Sep 20, 2011 2:37 PM
16	I always skip the plenaries, except the parts of the technical plenary that I am interested in.	Sep 20, 2011 1:47 PM
17	Long and boring.	Sep 20, 2011 1:46 PM
18	This technical plenary (as many of the previous ones I attended) were plainly useless. I left after 20 minutes.. As for the admin plenary, it could be streamlined (avoid procession of dignitaries etc.).	Sep 20, 2011 1:30 PM
19	Please, please, please: shorter plenaries.	Sep 20, 2011 12:21 PM
20	The IPv6 update and the Privacy discussions were excellent.	Sep 20, 2011 10:36 AM
21	I really think the plenaries take way too long. We should go back to a single plenary and skip some of the summary materials which can be made available only. One person can put forth the highlights - i.e., consider this as providing the key information to execs as opposed to engineers. I think the main topic for the	Sep 20, 2011 10:07 AM

Page 3, Q16. How do you rate the Plenaries?

	technical plenary is also too time consuming. Having the plenaries before dinner helps to naturally curtail the lines which is what caused the past joint plenaries to be so long.	
22	Waste of time	Sep 20, 2011 9:39 AM
23	People were allowed to monopolize the microphones especially Glenn Zorn about hotel choices. At some point they need to get cut off so others can speak	Sep 20, 2011 9:32 AM
24	Very interesting talks on privacy and world IPv6 day.	Sep 20, 2011 9:21 AM
25	The guy from Ontario privacy commissioner was a waste. I've seen him talk before, and he's frankly useless. Embarrassing even as I pay his salary. It scares me that Ontario is considered to be clueful about privacy. We did not seem to really make much use of the fact that we had a plenary. I didn't like that the audio was not hooked up for the plenary. The admin plenary got into the question about hotel rates, but did we actually solve anything during it?	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM
26	Frankly boring this time.	Sep 20, 2011 9:07 AM
27	World IPv6 day feedback, although interesting at first, became rapidly boring. Cisco's presentation was a shameless marketing plug.	Sep 20, 2011 8:25 AM
28	it's been too long - I don't remember	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
29	the world IPv6 day reports were more interesting than the privacy discussion	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
30	Having plenaries during the IETF is difficult; I generally am too tired to go.	Sep 20, 2011 8:11 AM
31	Having the plenary on Monday was not favorable. Better have it later in the week.	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
32	Sadly, missed both because I was busy on those evenings. Usually, I like to attend.	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM
33	It seems to me that the technical plenary presenters are often not very crisp.	Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
34	I also enjoyed the (Thurs?) lunch talk on quantum crypto.	Sep 20, 2011 7:26 AM
35	The "The Web Privacy Tussle" one was horrible. The rest are mostly a waste of time frankly, but the bad-attitudes jabber room makes it worthwhile for entertainment purposes.	Sep 20, 2011 7:19 AM

Page 3, Q20. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

1	Some context on the discussion and issues for the newcomers (ex.: acronym and their signification. There are some many)	Oct 20, 2011 7:12 PM
2	Less plenary time.	Oct 11, 2011 4:48 PM
3	More focus on cost control. Unless a meeting is really close (rare) or I can find travel support (more rare), IETF meetings have just about gotten priced out of a plausible range for me.	Sep 26, 2011 2:47 AM
4	Use more Hilton properties	Sep 22, 2011 6:53 PM
5	More airline choices	Sep 22, 2011 6:50 PM
6	Please try to keep the hotel and registration prices under control. They seem to be growing uncontrollably...	Sep 21, 2011 8:11 PM
7	It was great. I think the Hilton hotel experience has been exceptional at the last couple IETFs. I recommend using them again.	Sep 21, 2011 2:14 PM
8	Reduce overall attendee cost.	Sep 21, 2011 11:34 AM
9	Focus entirely on selecting venues that work during the week itself. That means range of accomdations within walking distance of venue, ample food options within walking distane, keeping hotel fees reasonable. Downplay the whining about the difficulty of travelling to quebec city. A vocal minority is making too much noise and has unreasonable expectations.	Sep 21, 2011 11:02 AM
10	Enforce the policy of having speakers (presenters or folks at the mike) give their names and affiliations CLEARLY, maybe use the technology used at the Hiroshima meeting (RFIDs?). Would it be possible to assign BoFs to rooms that are "expandable" for situations like the REPUTE BoF which was totally oversubscribed for the small room. I'd suggest having a spare large room but suspect it would be difficult to move the group once it had assembled.	Sep 21, 2011 10:45 AM
11	I'm looking forward to the new Friday schedule.	Sep 21, 2011 4:31 AM
12	This survey could come immediately after the meeting, so it would be easier to remember things from the meeting.	Sep 21, 2011 3:10 AM
13	a mid-week newcomers session for new-comers/anyone to come together/share experiences. IETF is still intimidating for new people	Sep 21, 2011 2:36 AM
14	One plenary instead of two	Sep 20, 2011 11:57 PM
15	Is free beer a possibility ;)	Sep 20, 2011 11:12 PM
16	more meetings in asia	Sep 20, 2011 10:01 PM
17	temperature in the meeting rooms could be made more comfortable (a bit cool Quebec meeting)	Sep 20, 2011 9:25 PM
18	I think it's time we gave the host less options. Specifically, instead of saying, for example, you can choose to provide the network these 5 ways, which do you choose?, offer 1 or 2 options. I think we complicate matters for ourselves and	Sep 20, 2011 9:18 PM

Page 3, Q20. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	confuse things for our hosts by trying to be so flexible and accommodating.	
19	This was a really good meeting. I've talked to people who attended other meetings, and they were quite surprised about my description of the venue and how easy it was to get to restaurants etc.	Sep 20, 2011 8:53 PM
20	I'm not suggesting a change, rather something to keep doing. I like how the meetings are rotated among several non-US cities, it makes traveling much more easy for asian participants, and probably does not bother european ones.	Sep 20, 2011 6:40 PM
21	It would be nice to have the microphone stands instrumented with detectors, and badges equipped with (optional) IDs, to augment Q & A during the meetings. Someone needs to do a much better job at estimating attendance at BOFs. Far too much avoidable overlap between related WG meetings.	Sep 20, 2011 5:06 PM
22	Double whatever room size is asked for re a BoF. Outside interest is notoriously underestimated.	Sep 20, 2011 3:59 PM
23	have the same time blocks every day, e.g 9:00 - 10:30, 11:00 - 12:30, 14:00 - 15:30, 16:00 - 17:30, (18:00 - 19:30)	Sep 20, 2011 2:19 PM
24	I would like to have meetings in less expensive venues where rooms are on par with about \$150/night, and flight rates are reasonable as well (i.e.: not asia).	Sep 20, 2011 1:46 PM
25	improve the common area seating... there was not enough and I frequently had to stand...	Sep 20, 2011 1:40 PM
26	More Beer	Sep 20, 2011 1:00 PM
27	Shorter plenaries. Yes, that means dropping some nonsense from the plenaries.	Sep 20, 2011 12:21 PM
28	Roatate meeting location regularly among three continents: America, Europe, and Asia, so to encourage more participants from different parts of the world.	Sep 20, 2011 11:33 AM
29	More flexible time allocation per WG in order to have enough time to discuss all work items.	Sep 20, 2011 11:29 AM
30	I prefer Europe. It is good central meeting place for all parties.	Sep 20, 2011 11:24 AM
31	I could have used a little more information about travel options from MTL to Quebec City - I ended up asking a Canadian co-worker, and not everyone has one of those ...	Sep 20, 2011 11:22 AM
32	Cookies! More cookies! Cookies in the morning, for lunch, at tea time, for dinner and for a midnight meal! Cheaper accommodation. Better content .. but that's not your fault;-)	Sep 20, 2011 11:18 AM
33	The tools to find and track WGs, their charters, meeting docs, meeting notes afterwards, are excellent. It would be great if this same service could be provided consistnetly for all BOFs; they are hard to find let alone track and then there doesn't appear to be any consistent way to join their e-mail lists (some are under the umbrella of IETF others go it on their own), and related documents and charters then are sometimes retrievable but less reliably so. Thanks.	Sep 20, 2011 10:36 AM

Page 3, Q20. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

34	Have them back in the US	Sep 20, 2011 10:28 AM
35	Fresh coffee (but not necessarily snacks) should be available all day long. I have such a hard time finding a cup of coffee at IETF meetings. I've even skipped sessions to leave the venue to get coffee. Given the extremely high cost of registration, this is not an unreasonable request.	Sep 20, 2011 10:13 AM
36	Since cost seems to remain a big issue, I would suggest to cut back on the cookies at break times. Some of us subsidize those anyways and if folks are that hungry, they can do what the rest of us that want healthy snacks do and go shopping (taking a walk would also be a good thing for some folks). Although I do applaud the addition of the healthier foods to the breaks, I don't believe it's a necessity. I also know that some folks on per diem use these snack breaks as an opportunity to stock up on food. I would also suggest that breakfast is *always* negotiated with the hotel or at least the option is available at a reduced rate.	Sep 20, 2011 10:07 AM
37	More meetings in North America.	Sep 20, 2011 9:57 AM
38	Go back to ending at noon Friday. Most IETF meetings requires me to stay over Friday night. Before I could regularly fly home Friday afternoon or evening.	Sep 20, 2011 9:51 AM
39	Hotels are too expensive. Couldn't we get a better deal?	Sep 20, 2011 9:51 AM
40	Go places we have not been to.	Sep 20, 2011 9:46 AM
41	Attendees and discussions. IETF staff. Brownies.	Sep 20, 2011 9:44 AM
42	The possibility of having lunch at the meeting would be very good.	Sep 20, 2011 9:42 AM
43	Survey needs to consider and ask about availability of IETF style bar - not so much for drinking, but for possibility of pick-up meetings and discussions. IETF has always been more about hallway meetings than just the formal WG meeting sessions.	Sep 20, 2011 9:40 AM
44	Check out overflow hotel Wireless, this is critical, don't use a hotel where the wireless sucks	Sep 20, 2011 9:23 AM
45	#1 - make them easier to get to (i.e., have them only at hub cities); #1a - Because of your choice to have IETF82 in Taiwan, I will miss my second meeting since 1999 (first was Hiroshima - that was expensive and also difficult to get to). At least half of the contributing members of each of the WGs I attend do not plan on being in Taiwan either, including both TSVWG chairs (so that WG won't meet). #2 - make them near many places to eat of varying price ranges and conveniences (i.e., dinner is different than lunch, which needs to be bigger and faster);	Sep 20, 2011 9:23 AM
46	fewer presentations. None actually. more communication. The IETF has been server/client. We need to return to End2End. I'm talking about the meetings, not the protocols. try some sessions in a room that is not theatre style. How to do this with 100 people in a room, I'm not sure, but I think we should try. I think we should have fewer sessions, period.	Sep 20, 2011 9:11 AM

Page 3, Q20. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

47	- More meetings in asia (singapore, india, srilanka, malayasia, thailand, korea) - More welcoming to new comers, IETF shouldn't just be an old boys network....	Sep 20, 2011 9:03 AM
48	Make sure coffee is available at all times during the meeting day. There's an old joke with a grain of truth that standards meetings are tools to convert caffeine to text; plentiful supply of caffeine input is important.	Sep 20, 2011 9:01 AM
49	hotel communications and hotel understandings of the discounted rates. I stayed at the Delta.	Sep 20, 2011 8:55 AM
50	Cost is a pressing issue for academics, sometimes I have to pay myself, sometimes sponsorship - over the past 2 years I have often been unable to make all 3 meetings - partly because of higher fares for Summer meeting, and partly because of overall reduction of external funding. It would be as a Chair to be at all my WG meetings :(I've nothing against Minneapolis, Paris, etc if c'n get cheaper tickets to these locations.	Sep 20, 2011 8:38 AM
51	I'm going to IETF just for the meetings and IETF work. It's not a vacation so I don't care if it is in an interesting location. I want it easy to get to with convenient food and hotels.	Sep 20, 2011 8:31 AM
52	support more the new comers.	Sep 20, 2011 8:29 AM
53	- Choose hub cities - Improve transparency of meeting costs, particularly hotel rates - Move costs from hotel rates to registration fees - Don't treat the IETF like a conference "at interesting meeting locations"	Sep 20, 2011 8:27 AM
54	more snacks, coffee at all times	Sep 20, 2011 8:25 AM
55	ban powerpoint and/or devote at least 75% of meeting time to discussion rather than one-way presentations. make IETF more accessible to academics and others not involved in product development. IETF has become rather narrow-minded due to the high expense of traveling to meetings.	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
56	Make I-Ds available in an e-reader friendly format (possibly as a book or chapters by WG). Also, ask members directly if they would prefer to subsidize the costs of the meeting space through higher registration fees or higher hotel costs - hotel costs are getting out of hand when they routinely exceed the US Gov per diem rates. IMO, if it costs more to put on an IETF because of the meeting room rates, the registration fees need to reflect that.	Sep 20, 2011 8:15 AM
57	SIM card kiosk	Sep 20, 2011 8:11 AM
58	For the profile, I have not used it this time, I may try it in next meeting. For the meeting changes, some BOFs meeting use a room too small, allocated a bigger room for BOF (and barBOF too) would be great if the room is available.	Sep 20, 2011 8:10 AM
59	Hotels are too expensive. Flights to non-hub locations are difficult.	Sep 20, 2011 8:08 AM
60	More lunch options.	Sep 20, 2011 8:07 AM
61	None. You're doing a great job.	Sep 20, 2011 8:05 AM
62	More cookies. Constantly available coffee. Projectors that I can see. Less	Sep 20, 2011 7:50 AM

Page 3, Q20. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	whining (I expect this is a hard one to change though)	
63	It would be nice if those that complain the most would attend the least. Can you do anything about that? :-)	Sep 20, 2011 7:49 AM
64	Survey should be sent closer to the end of the meeting. It's hard to remember some items!	Sep 20, 2011 7:37 AM
65	Meet in more touristy locations, please. Yes, I'm serious. Hawaii!	Sep 20, 2011 7:35 AM
66	Keep the host and venue from imposing requirements (e.g. badge police) on us.	Sep 20, 2011 7:32 AM
67	More readily available break-out areas for sidebar discussions, design team meetings and so on. Actually this worked really well at IETF81 as there was a very large common area and plenty of other nooks to hang out in; at most other recent venues, this kind of space has been a bit lacking.	Sep 20, 2011 7:31 AM
68	When we meet in a conference center, we need somewhere to check bags on Friday. I like it when there is automated signage outside a room saying what is meeting. Consider doing this with paper when the venue does not have such signs.	Sep 20, 2011 7:27 AM
69	I'd like to see at least one per year in North America, since I'm attending at my own expense.	Sep 20, 2011 7:26 AM
70	Stop using all of Friday. Meetings don't get better if they go longer. Focusing the work may be more important.	Sep 20, 2011 7:25 AM
71	better room size management. Assign bos the biggest room available	Sep 20, 2011 7:24 AM
72	The selection of provided food was worse than in previous meetings and leaves room for improvement.	Sep 20, 2011 7:18 AM
73	The hotel prices were too high. A reasonable target should be 200 US\$.	Sep 20, 2011 7:17 AM

Page 6, Q24. If you did not attend IETF 81, why not? (Check all that apply.)

1	had little IETF activity at the time	Sep 26, 2011 9:59 AM
2	Stayed home to help with newborn caring	Sep 26, 2011 2:44 AM
3	Pre-existing commitments	Sep 20, 2011 5:55 PM
4	Accademic duties	Sep 20, 2011 2:07 PM