













1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		10.3%	36
Europe		43.8%	153
Middle East		1.7%	6
North America		41.5%	145
Africa		0.6%	2
Latin America/Caribbean		1.1%	4
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania		0.9%	3
		answered question	349
		skipped question	0

2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including this one)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		15.2%	53
2 - 5		17.2%	60
6 - 10		13.8%	48
11 - 19		19.3%	67
>20		34.5%	120
		answered question	348
		skipped question	1




3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1%	13
IAB member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.6%	9
IRSG Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.2%	8
IAOC-Trust member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.4%	6
Nomcom member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.4%	6
Working Group chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	32.0%	81
Author of active working group draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	61.7%	156
Author of active individual submission draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	62.5%	158
Full Time Student	<input type="checkbox"/>	7.5%	19
answered question			253
skipped question			96








4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.9%	10
1950 - 1960	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.4%	57
1961 - 1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	34.3%	119
1971 - 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	32.6%	113
After 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	13.8%	48
answered question			347
skipped question			2





5. Did you attend IETF 83 in Paris?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, on a Day Pass		3.2%	11
Yes		96.0%	335
No		0.9%	3
answered question			349
skipped question			0



6. Which days did you attend?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Sunday		17.5%	61
Monday		35.9%	125
Tuesday		37.4%	130
Wednesday		38.2%	133
Thursday		36.2%	126
Friday		26.4%	92
All		65.2%	227
answered question			348
skipped question			1





7. How long did your travel to the meeting take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		36.7%	123
5 to 10 hours		21.8%	73
11 to 20 hours		37.9%	127
> 20 hours		3.6%	12
answered question			335
skipped question			14



8. Did you have to apply for a visa to attend IETF 83?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		7.2%	24
No		92.8%	311
answered question			335
skipped question			14



9. Would you support having the IETF return to Paris for a future meeting?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly support		59.0%	197
Weakly support		29.3%	98
Only if necessary		8.4%	28
No		3.3%	11
	Comments		63
		answered question	334
		skipped question	15

10. Which hotel did you stay in?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Concorde		77.9%	152
Le Meridien		22.1%	43
	Other (please specify)		133
		answered question	195
		skipped question	154

11. Was this hotel your first choice?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		88.5%	276
No		11.5%	36

If NO what was first choice 28








answered question 312

skipped question 37



12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

		Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
USD		221.07	6,632	30
EUR		161.29	37,741	234
		answered question		258
		skipped question		91



13. Why did you elect to stay at this hotel? (Pick all that apply).

		Response Percent	Response Count
Socialize with other attendees		28.0%	85
IETF Internet		29.6%	90
Convenience/proximity to venue		74.7%	227
Price		35.5%	108
Corporate travel agency requirement/recommendation		20.7%	63
Unavailability of first option		6.6%	20
Hotel awards program points		7.2%	22
	Other (please specify)		51
answered question			304
skipped question			45

14. Knowing what you know now, would you have elected to stay at a different hotel, even if it meant paying more?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		32.5%	100
No		67.5%	208
	Comments?		68
answered question			308
skipped question			41

15. Did you experience any theft or incidence of your guest room having been "gone through"?



		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		7.6%	24
No		92.4%	290

Comments? 43

answered question 314

skipped question 35

16. Did you use an in-room safe



		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		48.1%	150
No		51.9%	162

Comments? 39

answered question 312

skipped question 37

17. Did you leave valuables at the hotel front desk for security?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		5.1%	16
No		94.9%	297
If not, why not?			100
answered question			313
skipped question			36

18. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	4.0% (13)	2.1% (7)	10.1% (33)	30.2% (99)	52.1% (171)	1.5% (5)	4.26	328
NOC	0.0% (0)	1.6% (5)	1.6% (5)	8.1% (26)	33.6% (108)	55.1% (177)	4.65	321
Help Desk	0.0% (0)	1.9% (6)	1.9% (6)	10.4% (33)	18.2% (58)	67.6% (215)	4.39	318
Terminal Room	0.9% (3)	2.8% (9)	10.6% (34)	20.8% (67)	21.7% (70)	43.2% (139)	4.05	322
Comments								66
answered question								329
skipped question								20

19. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Internet service in my hotel room	12.4% (40)	13.6% (44)	23.2% (75)	20.7% (67)	14.9% (48)	15.2% (49)	3.14	323
Palais Meeting facilities	1.2% (4)	7.4% (24)	29.2% (95)	39.1% (127)	20.3% (66)	2.8% (9)	3.72	325
Venue Staff	1.2% (4)	7.4% (24)	26.9% (87)	36.2% (117)	17.6% (57)	10.5% (34)	3.69	323
Food and beverage	4.6% (15)	16.0% (52)	38.8% (126)	25.8% (84)	10.5% (34)	4.3% (14)	3.23	325
							Comments	63
							answered question	326
							skipped question	23

20. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	0.0% (0)	1.8% (6)	14.8% (49)	53.5% (177)	25.4% (84)	4.5% (15)	4.07	331
Power strips availability	0.3% (1)	2.7% (9)	13.8% (46)	48.2% (161)	32.6% (109)	2.4% (8)	4.13	334
Secretariat staff	0.0% (0)	0.3% (1)	2.7% (9)	21.8% (72)	59.2% (196)	16.0% (53)	4.67	331
Registration experience	0.3% (1)	0.6% (2)	3.6% (12)	25.4% (85)	69.5% (232)	0.6% (2)	4.64	334
Letter of Invitation handling	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.5% (5)	4.3% (14)	12.8% (42)	81.5% (268)	4.61	329
Visa processing	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.5% (5)	3.1% (10)	4.9% (16)	90.5% (294)	4.35	325

Comments 21

answered question 334

skipped question 15

21. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?

<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/83/meeting-packet.pdf>

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	0.0% (0)	1.2% (4)	8.1% (27)	29.8% (99)	20.8% (69)	40.1% (133)	4.17	332

Comments 29

answered question 332

skipped question 17

22. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 83All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 83Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	0.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.6% (8)	6.7% (21)	4.8% (15)	85.6% (268)	4.09	313
mtd@ietf.org	0.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	1.6% (5)	5.4% (17)	4.8% (15)	87.9% (275)	4.18	313
83All	0.3% (1)	1.8% (6)	9.5% (31)	36.3% (118)	35.4% (115)	16.6% (54)	4.25	325
83Attendees	2.1% (7)	5.2% (17)	17.5% (57)	34.7% (113)	28.5% (93)	12.0% (39)	3.93	326
						Comments		29
						answered question		329
						skipped question		20

23. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Newcomers Training	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.3% (4)	2.9% (9)	6.1% (19)	89.8% (281)	4.47	313
Newcomer's Training (French)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.3% (1)	0.3% (1)	2.0% (6)	97.4% (298)	4.63	306
Tools for Creating Internet-Drafts	0.0% (0)	0.3% (1)	1.6% (5)	2.3% (7)	3.2% (10)	92.6% (287)	4.13	310
Meetecho Tutorial for Participants and WG Chairs	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.3% (7)	1.0% (3)	4.5% (14)	92.2% (284)	4.29	308
Operations and Management Tutorial	0.0% (0)	1.0% (3)	1.9% (6)	4.1% (13)	3.5% (11)	89.5% (282)	3.97	315

Suggestions for Future Tutorials 18

answered question 318

skipped question 31

24. How do you rate the Plenaries?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Monday (Technical)	0.0% (0)	1.5% (5)	12.1% (39)	40.2% (130)	22.3% (72)	23.8% (77)	4.09	323
Wednesday (Administrative)	0.3% (1)	1.9% (6)	12.0% (39)	30.9% (100)	10.2% (33)	44.8% (145)	3.88	324

Suggestions for Improvement 25





answered question 326

skipped question 23





25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	56
answered question	56
skipped question	293





26. Do you plan to attend IETF 84 in Vancouver hosted by Google?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.5%	222
No		11.1%	37
Don't Know		10.8%	36
Undecided		11.7%	39
answered question			334
skipped question			15




27. Do you plan to attend IETF 85 in Atlanta hosted by North American Cable Industry?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		57.2%	191
No		8.7%	29
Don't Know		21.0%	70
Undecided		13.2%	44
answered question			334
skipped question			15



28. Do you plan to attend IETF 86 in Orlando hosted by (TBD)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		51.8%	173
No		6.9%	23
Don't Know		25.1%	84
Undecided		16.2%	54
answered question			334
skipped question			15

29. If you did not attend IETF 83, why not? (Check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		0.0%	0
Expense		100.0%	1
Distance		100.0%	1
Location		100.0%	1
Corporate decision		0.0%	0
Could not get a Visa		0.0%	0
Didn't have information on time to make a decision		0.0%	0
Other (please specify)		0.0%	0
answered question			1
skipped question			348

30. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location, including another location at the meeting, using the Jabber room and/or audio streaming?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		33.3%	1
No		66.7%	2
answered question			3
skipped question			346



31. How would you rate the audio streaming and Jabber rooms in support of your participation

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	66.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.67	
Jabber room	66.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.00	



Comments

answered question
skipped question



32. Will you be attending IETF 84 in Vancouver hosted by Google?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.7%	2
No		0.0%	0
Undecided		33.3%	1
answered question			3
skipped question			346

33. Will you be attending IETF 85 in Atlanta hosted by North American Cable Industry?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.7%	2
No		0.0%	0
Undecided		33.3%	1
answered question			3
skipped question			346

34. Will you be attending IETF 86 in Orlando hosted by (TBD)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.7%	2
No		0.0%	0
Undecided		33.3%	1
answered question			3
skipped question			346

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Paris for a future meeting?

1	Hearing the multiple problems other people had with thieves and the bad network at the meeting hotel gave a _very_ bad impression. Had no such problems in the hotel I stayed at.	Apr 19, 2012 8:11 AM
2	Just Change the Hotel. And do it in Porte de Versailles - Parc des Expositions.	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
3	Too expensive	Apr 16, 2012 12:03 PM
4	I like Paris, but the hotel and meeting venue were not good.	Apr 16, 2012 4:33 AM
5	If the hotel wifi is still in place and working as well...	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
6	not at this hotel!	Apr 13, 2012 5:10 PM
7	Except not in that hotel. It kinda sucked.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
8	Expense	Apr 11, 2012 4:27 PM
9	Paris in the springtime, what could be nicer? Paris was great. Venue was good.	Apr 11, 2012 2:07 PM
10	Love Paris but it's not cheap and folks on a budget might not be able to come	Apr 11, 2012 12:30 PM
11	Expensive, otherwise a nice place to visit.	Apr 11, 2012 11:21 AM
12	It's probably better than London	Apr 11, 2012 10:37 AM
13	Paris is awesome, but a bit expensive.	Apr 11, 2012 9:10 AM
14	Only if the Concorde is not the major hotel.	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
15	Always better to cut on travel time, and be in a nice location.	Apr 11, 2012 4:00 AM
16	Single hop with multiple flight options.	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
17	disappointing venue	Apr 11, 2012 3:41 AM
18	I'm just not fond of Paris.	Apr 11, 2012 2:20 AM
19	Paris is far too expensive; local people are not very helpful and constantly avoiding to answer in English	Apr 11, 2012 12:57 AM
20	Paris is expensive, but a nice place to go	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
21	Concorde hotel experience a nightmare (network truly was horrible all week, elevators, thefts within rooms, etc), didn't like the theft tendencies around the venue and within the city.	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
22	Paris is an overly-expensive and unfriendly city	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
23	In another venue. This one felt like hanging out in a train station concourse.	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
24	My biggest concern was and would be the pickpockets. I witnessed a pickpocketing on the subway (victim got off the train and after the doors closed I saw the wallet in his hand).	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Paris for a future meeting?

25	The theft problem was a major drawback. I don't know how to avoid that possibility in a city like Paris, but the Concorde hotel seemed especially bad on that point.	Apr 10, 2012 6:35 PM
26	cost of venue hotel too high, does not match my average standards budget	Apr 10, 2012 6:28 PM
27	1. Based on previous choices of venues for previous meetings in Paris the choice will be between a run down stinky convention center attached to a thieving hotel and a run down stinky convention center attached to a thieving hotel. That choice of venues does not sound particularly inspiring. 2. Why should we have a meeting in a country where a local host cannot be found and an external host has to step in on an emergency basis. There is clearly a total lack of local interest.	Apr 10, 2012 5:31 PM
28	But not with crappy Internet access.	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
29	reason: currently living in Paris	Apr 10, 2012 4:50 PM
30	Great city, but it's very expensive, and there are plenty of other less expensive cities.	Apr 10, 2012 4:29 PM
31	Paris itself was fine. The Air France bus to the hotel was great, if a bit confusing at the airport pickup. Metro system was wonderful. Hotel sucked.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
32	I greatly enjoyed the location.	Apr 10, 2012 3:32 PM
33	Different venue please	Apr 10, 2012 3:22 PM
34	Good city to travel to, nice city.	Apr 10, 2012 2:25 PM
35	Paris in Springtime had a really positive feel to it. I liked it. I am assuming a selection of other European venues will have been used before returning to Paris.	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
36	It's not an inexpensive venue, but is convenient for travel.	Apr 10, 2012 1:14 PM
37	Very Expensive	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
38	People hated the Concorde, though...	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
39	Please do not have us stay at a hotel that expects the charge before arrival, and especially not at the Concorde Le Fayette, due to the security issues. Following the IETF I stayed at the Novotel, which didn't require strange payment plans and was a lot nicer.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
40	Several theft incidents in the venue hotel; too expensive, even considering nearby alternatives	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
41	Besides the hotel issues, I didn't really like meeting venue either.	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
42	I would only support a return to Paris if we can find a better venue. The facility was only marginally clean and only marginally safe.	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
43	Horribly expensive city, hotel-rooms too small to double as ad-hoc meeting	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM

Page 3, Q9. Would you support having the IETF return to Paris for a future meeting?

venues. Did I mention that the hotel-rooms are too expensive for what they are.

44	Meeting venue is fine and Paris is a nice city. I did not stay at either of the meeting hotels, and access to/from the venue via Metro was not a problem.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
45	I was rather unhappy with the Concorde hotel for a number of reasons -- its quality wasn't up to the price. I also, as in 2005, found the Palais des Congrès to be somewhat awkward to use and to navigate.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
46	Different conference hotel though, please.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
47	While Paris is charming, finding inexpensive food at dinner time was a challenge. This was a very expensive trip.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
48	But exclude the concord as a hotel binding	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
49	lousy hotel (Concorde)	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
50	I would like to see us go many places.	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
51	Paris yes. Venue was ok. My hotel was fine. (But not a meeting hotel)	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
52	Big theft issue in the main hotel.	Apr 10, 2012 12:09 PM
53	without any security in Paris	Apr 10, 2012 12:08 PM
54	Lots of distractions in Paris, but the facilities were fine, other than hotel wifi inconsistencies.	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 AM
55	City is nice but very expensive compared with other European cities.	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
56	Paris is good, the part of town was well served with restaurants, just the Palais de Congres did not really meet ny expectations	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
57	At a different venue/hotel	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
58	Great location, weather and food	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
59	Expensive city. Theft issues!	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
60	Paris is a great city to visit!	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM
61	Accommodation and Food are expensive compared to other options.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
62	Except we need a different hotel as the primary - e.g., Meridien.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
63	I would change from 'weakly' to 'strongly' if I felt confident the meeting venue and hotel would handle logistics more competently. As it was, I found the logistics to be borderline unacceptable, all credit to the Secretariat for working valiantly to get things fixed.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?

1	local owned accomodation (flat)	May 2, 2012 4:10 AM
2	Small hotel in a street nearby	Apr 30, 2012 5:02 AM
3	Best Western La Pergolese	Apr 23, 2012 10:57 AM
4	Best Western Victor Hugo	Apr 19, 2012 8:11 AM
5	hotel california saint germain	Apr 18, 2012 2:39 AM
6	I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
7	Bastille	Apr 16, 2012 9:31 PM
8	Tilsitt Etoile	Apr 16, 2012 1:37 PM
9	Hotel Harvey	Apr 16, 2012 8:24 AM
10	Prony	Apr 16, 2012 6:09 AM
11	Les Ternes	Apr 16, 2012 3:23 AM
12	Hotel Paris Neuilly	Apr 16, 2012 3:00 AM
13	Don't remember name	Apr 15, 2012 5:24 AM
14	Villa Brunel	Apr 15, 2012 2:36 AM
15	None, I stayed at home.	Apr 13, 2012 12:25 PM
16	A very small hotel nearby	Apr 13, 2012 5:17 AM
17	small Hotel in Paris	Apr 12, 2012 4:53 AM
18	Grand Hotel Dechampaigne	Apr 12, 2012 1:47 AM
19	At my friend's place	Apr 11, 2012 1:51 PM
20	I live in Paris	Apr 11, 2012 11:00 AM
21	Pullman Tour Eiffel	Apr 11, 2012 10:06 AM
22	Hôtel Elysées Flaubert	Apr 11, 2012 9:39 AM
23	Résidence Impériale, 155, Avenue Malakoff, 16.	Apr 11, 2012 9:17 AM
24	Private apartment	Apr 11, 2012 8:54 AM
25	Hôtel Du Roule	Apr 11, 2012 8:34 AM
26	Hotel Royal Saint Honoré	Apr 11, 2012 8:29 AM
27	Apartment	Apr 11, 2012 8:03 AM

Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?

28	Small hotel about 20 minutes walking time away	Apr 11, 2012 8:03 AM
29	Harvey	Apr 11, 2012 7:51 AM
30	Rental apartment	Apr 11, 2012 7:50 AM
31	HOLIDAY INN GARE DE L EST	Apr 11, 2012 7:10 AM
32	Residence Imperiale	Apr 11, 2012 6:54 AM
33	Hotel Elysees Parc Monceau	Apr 11, 2012 6:34 AM
34	Residence Imperiale (rue Malakoff)	Apr 11, 2012 6:11 AM
35	Best Western Bercy Rive Gauche (3 star hotel)	Apr 11, 2012 5:11 AM
36	Live in Paris	Apr 11, 2012 4:48 AM
37	Residence Imperiale	Apr 11, 2012 4:43 AM
38	Friend's house	Apr 11, 2012 4:08 AM
39	no hotel (friends)	Apr 11, 2012 4:00 AM
40	Astrid	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
41	Pullman montparnasse	Apr 11, 2012 3:43 AM
42	near arc de triomphe	Apr 11, 2012 3:41 AM
43	Du Moulins (2* Montmartre)	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM
44	Melia Alexandre	Apr 11, 2012 3:33 AM
45	Royal Saint Honorè	Apr 11, 2012 3:24 AM
46	Private apartment	Apr 11, 2012 3:17 AM
47	Villa Brunel	Apr 11, 2012 3:08 AM
48	Source Hotel, 135 Avenue de Saint-Ouen	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 PM
49	Imperiale, close by	Apr 10, 2012 9:28 PM
50	Hotel Banville	Apr 10, 2012 9:28 PM
51	Hotel Floridor	Apr 10, 2012 8:58 PM
52	forget the name	Apr 10, 2012 8:50 PM
53	Hotel Niel Elysees Etoile	Apr 10, 2012 8:28 PM
54	Hotel la Pointe Rivoli	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM

Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?

55	Astrid	Apr 10, 2012 7:30 PM
56	own apartment	Apr 10, 2012 7:18 PM
57	Some cheap hotel in La Defense	Apr 10, 2012 7:04 PM
58	privat	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
59	Best Western Place elisee	Apr 10, 2012 6:28 PM
60	Villa des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 6:06 PM
61	La Villa des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 5:08 PM
62	Meliá Alexander	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
63	La Villa Malliot	Apr 10, 2012 4:52 PM
64	Acacias Etoile	Apr 10, 2012 4:51 PM
65	royal collisee	Apr 10, 2012 4:13 PM
66	www.hotel-paris-princesse.com	Apr 10, 2012 3:19 PM
67	Saint honoré	Apr 10, 2012 2:45 PM
68	Herse d'Or... over near Bastille	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
69	Hilton La Defense	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
70	Home	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
71	Hotel Magellan	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
72	One Room in Paris (B&B 10mins walk away)	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
73	Rented an apartment	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
74	HOTEL DU CHÂTEAU	Apr 10, 2012 1:10 PM
75	Hotel Royal Magda	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
76	BOTH (2 nights in each); the Le Meridien was far superior to the Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
77	Best Western Regent's Garden	Apr 10, 2012 1:04 PM
78	home	Apr 10, 2012 1:03 PM
79	Villa des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 12:57 PM
80	Ibis	Apr 10, 2012 12:52 PM
81	nearby apartment rented for the week	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM

Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?

82	A small hotel near Etoile	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
83	Hôtel Paris Neuilly	Apr 10, 2012 12:40 PM
84	Small hotel close to the conference building	Apr 10, 2012 12:36 PM
85	Etoile Pereire (~1,1km from the venue)	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
86	Villa de Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
87	Hotel du Roule	Apr 10, 2012 12:26 PM
88	Best Western Sydney Opera (near the Opera)	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
89	Marriot Rive Gauche	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
90	Moris	Apr 10, 2012 12:20 PM
91	Bristol Hotel	Apr 10, 2012 12:17 PM
92	Courtyard marriott	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
93	Best Western Rive Gauche	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
94	Best Western Bercy Rive Gauche	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
95	Villa des ternes	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
96	Hotel Aladin	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
97	pullman montparnasse	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
98	Hotel Harvey	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
99	Courtyard Marriott	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
100	Le Ville des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
101	Hotel Villa de Ternes (around the corner, nice small hotel)	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
102	About 1km away, forget name. Cheap and cheerful though.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
103	Hôtel des Arènes	Apr 10, 2012 12:07 PM
104	Hostel	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
105	Already live in Paris, so did not live in a hotel	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
106	Prince Monseau	Apr 10, 2012 12:05 PM
107	Courcelles Etoile	Apr 10, 2012 11:58 AM
108	Villa des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 11:52 AM

Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?

109	Villa des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
110	etoile saint bernhard	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
111	at my home	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
112	Montfleuri	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
113	Hotel Gabriel	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
114	small and cheap hotel	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
115	Villa De Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
116	Princesse Isabelle, Puteaux	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
117	Marriott Rive Gauche	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM
118	Hotel Aacias Etoile	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
119	other	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
120	rental apartment (Interhome)	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
121	Mercure Monty Opera	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
122	Cambrai	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
123	A very cheap hostel, about 45 minutes away.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
124	Home	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
125	I live in paris	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
126	Villa Brunel	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
127	Hotel Tilsitt Etoile	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
128	Private apartment.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
129	Résidence Impériale	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
130	royal saint honoré	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
131	Hotel Royal Saint Honoré	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
132	At home	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
133	Villa des Ternes	Apr 10, 2012 11:36 AM

Page 3, Q11. Was this hotel your first choice?

1	Concorde	Apr 23, 2012 10:57 AM
2	Concorde	Apr 19, 2012 8:11 AM
3	I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
4	Le Meridien	Apr 16, 2012 1:37 PM
5	unfortunately	Apr 13, 2012 5:10 PM
6	No Hotel (1 day only	Apr 12, 2012 3:40 AM
7	I was not able to go in a hotel, no fund to cover this expenses	Apr 11, 2012 1:51 PM
8	Our travel secretary made the booking, so I got stuck at the expensive conference hotel - anything else would have been better!	Apr 11, 2012 9:10 AM
9	Hotels were full	Apr 11, 2012 8:03 AM
10	Le Meridien	Apr 11, 2012 7:10 AM
11	Concorde	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
12	Les Grandes Ecoles	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM
13	had no first choice (maybe LeMeridien)	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 PM
14	Terness	Apr 10, 2012 8:58 PM
15	concorde	Apr 10, 2012 3:43 PM
16	I would have paid a *bit* more for more comfort, but not the prices of Concorde/Meridien	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
17	A different apartment, but it got pre-empted	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
18	Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 1:06 PM
19	Concorde (for all 4 nights) - but given the Concorde internet/theft issues, I'm glad about what I got	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
20	Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM
21	Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 12:47 PM
22	Best Western Folkestone Opera	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
23	Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
24	regular business hotels, but daily rate was above budget	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
25	The Concorde, but its out of my budget	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
26	Concorde or Meridien	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q11. Was this hotel your first choice?

27	my travel office selected it for me	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
28	Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

USD

5	1300	Apr 18, 2012 2:39 AM
8	0	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
20	180	Apr 15, 2012 2:36 AM
22	170	Apr 13, 2012 5:17 AM
34	240	Apr 11, 2012 1:00 PM
37	275	Apr 11, 2012 10:06 AM
56	100	Apr 11, 2012 4:11 AM
70	300	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
74	170	Apr 11, 2012 12:10 AM
95	150	Apr 10, 2012 7:18 PM
113	235	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
116	227	Apr 10, 2012 3:32 PM
120	234	Apr 10, 2012 3:06 PM
133	220	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
139	200	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
143	315	Apr 10, 2012 1:06 PM
152	230	Apr 10, 2012 12:48 PM
162	219	Apr 10, 2012 12:31 PM
170	250	Apr 10, 2012 12:24 PM
180	390	Apr 10, 2012 12:17 PM
194	177	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
207	0	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
229	179	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
233	229	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
238	180	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
241	35	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

243	0	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
252	227	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
253	200	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
254	0	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
EUR		
1	171	Apr 27, 2012 2:54 PM
2	180	Apr 23, 2012 10:57 AM
3	171	Apr 19, 2012 8:11 AM
4	157	Apr 18, 2012 4:42 AM
6	170	Apr 17, 2012 9:05 AM
7	170	Apr 17, 2012 8:27 AM
8	0	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
9	140	Apr 16, 2012 9:31 PM
10	185	Apr 16, 2012 1:39 PM
11	165	Apr 16, 2012 1:37 PM
12	170	Apr 16, 2012 12:13 PM
13	180	Apr 16, 2012 12:03 PM
14	139	Apr 16, 2012 8:24 AM
15	110	Apr 16, 2012 6:09 AM
16	130	Apr 16, 2012 3:23 AM
17	160	Apr 16, 2012 3:00 AM
18	170	Apr 15, 2012 6:18 AM
19	90	Apr 15, 2012 5:24 AM
21	138	Apr 13, 2012 5:10 PM
23	170	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
24	170	Apr 12, 2012 10:21 AM
25	170	Apr 12, 2012 9:40 AM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

26	170	Apr 12, 2012 4:53 AM
27	170	Apr 12, 2012 3:29 AM
28	245	Apr 12, 2012 3:24 AM
29	180	Apr 12, 2012 2:37 AM
30	140	Apr 12, 2012 1:47 AM
31	170	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
32	230	Apr 11, 2012 4:27 PM
33	0	Apr 11, 2012 1:51 PM
35	230	Apr 11, 2012 12:30 PM
36	170	Apr 11, 2012 11:21 AM
38	180	Apr 11, 2012 9:58 AM
39	152	Apr 11, 2012 9:17 AM
40	60	Apr 11, 2012 8:34 AM
41	215	Apr 11, 2012 8:29 AM
42	50	Apr 11, 2012 8:03 AM
43	130	Apr 11, 2012 8:03 AM
44	180	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
45	159	Apr 11, 2012 7:51 AM
46	100	Apr 11, 2012 7:50 AM
47	219	Apr 11, 2012 7:42 AM
48	155	Apr 11, 2012 6:54 AM
49	120	Apr 11, 2012 6:34 AM
50	134	Apr 11, 2012 6:28 AM
51	155	Apr 11, 2012 6:11 AM
52	180	Apr 11, 2012 5:28 AM
53	82	Apr 11, 2012 5:11 AM
54	170	Apr 11, 2012 5:00 AM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

55	180	Apr 11, 2012 4:43 AM
57	197	Apr 11, 2012 3:46 AM
58	130	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
59	150	Apr 11, 2012 3:43 AM
60	163	Apr 11, 2012 3:41 AM
61	170	Apr 11, 2012 3:37 AM
62	80	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM
63	215	Apr 11, 2012 3:24 AM
64	170	Apr 11, 2012 3:23 AM
65	100	Apr 11, 2012 3:17 AM
66	122	Apr 11, 2012 3:08 AM
67	155	Apr 11, 2012 2:20 AM
68	180	Apr 11, 2012 2:16 AM
69	260	Apr 11, 2012 1:54 AM
71	150	Apr 11, 2012 12:58 AM
72	170	Apr 11, 2012 12:57 AM
73	170	Apr 11, 2012 12:35 AM
75	90	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 PM
76	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 PM
77	180	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
78	170	Apr 10, 2012 10:56 PM
79	180	Apr 10, 2012 10:53 PM
80	170	Apr 10, 2012 10:53 PM
81	194	Apr 10, 2012 10:41 PM
82	200	Apr 10, 2012 9:34 PM
83	160	Apr 10, 2012 9:28 PM
84	190	Apr 10, 2012 9:28 PM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

85	170	Apr 10, 2012 9:24 PM
86	97	Apr 10, 2012 8:58 PM
87	110	Apr 10, 2012 8:50 PM
88	7	Apr 10, 2012 8:28 PM
89	97	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
90	170	Apr 10, 2012 8:05 PM
91	170	Apr 10, 2012 8:00 PM
92	180	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
93	170	Apr 10, 2012 7:28 PM
94	219	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
96	70	Apr 10, 2012 7:04 PM
97	0	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
98	255	Apr 10, 2012 6:29 PM
99	128	Apr 10, 2012 6:28 PM
100	90	Apr 10, 2012 6:06 PM
101	170	Apr 10, 2012 6:02 PM
102	128	Apr 10, 2012 5:08 PM
103	140	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
104	180	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
105	197	Apr 10, 2012 5:04 PM
106	207	Apr 10, 2012 4:53 PM
107	180	Apr 10, 2012 4:51 PM
108	170	Apr 10, 2012 4:29 PM
109	100	Apr 10, 2012 4:13 PM
110	164	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
111	170	Apr 10, 2012 4:03 PM
112	400	Apr 10, 2012 3:54 PM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

113	180	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
114	170	Apr 10, 2012 3:47 PM
115	360	Apr 10, 2012 3:43 PM
117	100	Apr 10, 2012 3:19 PM
118	170	Apr 10, 2012 3:18 PM
119	170	Apr 10, 2012 3:14 PM
121	170	Apr 10, 2012 2:50 PM
122	215	Apr 10, 2012 2:46 PM
123	220	Apr 10, 2012 2:44 PM
124	170	Apr 10, 2012 2:43 PM
125	170	Apr 10, 2012 2:25 PM
126	550	Apr 10, 2012 2:24 PM
127	124	Apr 10, 2012 2:12 PM
128	45	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
129	180	Apr 10, 2012 2:00 PM
130	165	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
131	260	Apr 10, 2012 1:49 PM
132	240	Apr 10, 2012 1:45 PM
134	89	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
135	230	Apr 10, 2012 1:35 PM
136	170	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
137	180	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
138	170	Apr 10, 2012 1:14 PM
140	120	Apr 10, 2012 1:10 PM
141	170	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
142	150	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
144	219	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

145	190	Apr 10, 2012 1:04 PM
146	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:58 PM
147	110	Apr 10, 2012 12:57 PM
148	195	Apr 10, 2012 12:53 PM
149	120	Apr 10, 2012 12:52 PM
150	125	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM
151	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:48 PM
153	287	Apr 10, 2012 12:47 PM
154	215	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
155	77	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
156	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:42 PM
157	127	Apr 10, 2012 12:40 PM
158	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
159	130	Apr 10, 2012 12:36 PM
160	184	Apr 10, 2012 12:34 PM
161	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:33 PM
163	130	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
164	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
165	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
166	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:27 PM
167	50	Apr 10, 2012 12:26 PM
168	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:25 PM
169	250	Apr 10, 2012 12:25 PM
171	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
172	329	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
173	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
174	180	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

175	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
176	155	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
177	152	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
178	188	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
179	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
181	230	Apr 10, 2012 12:17 PM
182	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
183	187	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
184	219	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
185	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
186	187	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
187	84	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
188	219	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
189	80	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
190	176	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
191	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
192	49	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
193	200	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
195	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
196	219	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
197	239	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
198	219	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
199	187	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
200	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
201	120	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
202	110	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
203	180	Apr 10, 2012 12:09 PM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

204	220	Apr 10, 2012 12:08 PM
205	180	Apr 10, 2012 12:08 PM
206	80	Apr 10, 2012 12:07 PM
207	0	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
208	180	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
209	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
210	170	Apr 10, 2012 12:05 PM
211	110	Apr 10, 2012 12:05 PM
212	124	Apr 10, 2012 11:52 AM
213	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 AM
214	180	Apr 10, 2012 11:49 AM
215	127	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
216	100	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
217	160	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
218	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
219	183	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
220	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
221	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
222	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
223	195	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
224	128	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
225	80	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
226	190	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
227	219	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
228	219	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
230	157	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
231	110	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM

Page 3, Q12. What nightly rate did you pay, including Internet and breakfast?

232	180	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM
234	123	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
235	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
236	86	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
237	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
239	130	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
240	65	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
241	26	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
242	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
243	0	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
244	180	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
245	110	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
246	153	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
247	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
248	219	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
249	155	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
250	225	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
251	215	Apr 10, 2012 11:38 AM
254	0	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
255	239	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
256	180	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
257	100	Apr 10, 2012 11:36 AM
258	170	Apr 10, 2012 11:36 AM

Page 3, Q13. Why did you elect to stay at this hotel? (Pick all that apply).

1	Staying in an IETF selected hotel helps offset the cost of the IETF.	Apr 17, 2012 8:27 AM
2	I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
3	Hotel offered a complimentary cancellation.	Apr 16, 2012 3:00 AM
4	Didn't like the hotel the first time IETF was there.	Apr 13, 2012 5:17 AM
5	IETF Hotel	Apr 11, 2012 5:26 PM
6	cancelation policy was much better	Apr 11, 2012 9:17 AM
7	Our travel secretary made the booking, so I got stuck at the expensive conference hotel - anything else would have been better!	Apr 11, 2012 9:10 AM
8	Good review at trip advisor (better than concorde)	Apr 11, 2012 7:42 AM
9	Provided as part of the ISOC/IETF fellowship	Apr 11, 2012 7:35 AM
10	IETF hotel(s) booking and cancellation policy	Apr 11, 2012 6:54 AM
11	I've been in this hotel before, while not exactly close to the IETF meeting it has a very high quality/cost ratio.	Apr 11, 2012 5:11 AM
12	Concorde's rate was too high, and its cancellation policy ridiculous. Astrid's rate was reasonable, WiFi was great, and it was only a 10 minute walk away.	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
13	wish to experience Paris life style	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM
14	Last time experience	Apr 11, 2012 1:54 AM
15	Always like to stay where ever the conference is	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
16	Refused to use booking method for concorde (email/fax credit card number)	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
17	Internet connectivity	Apr 10, 2012 8:28 PM
18	I expected Le Meridian would also have IETF network, but it did not. But the hotel wireless network in my room worked fine all week. (I first tried the wired network in my room, and it failed in ways similar to the main IETF hotel in Maastricht had failed. I was happy that the wireless network in Le Meridian worked so well. Whew.)	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
19	privat	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
20	Better hotel. The Concorde was already a bad hotel the previous time we were there	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
21	It was the conference hotel, unfortunately. If the conference were there again, I'd choose other accomodations.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
22	Free WiFi	Apr 10, 2012 3:19 PM
23	Nicer hotel than the conference hotel and good experience in the past	Apr 10, 2012 2:46 PM

Page 3, Q13. Why did you elect to stay at this hotel? (Pick all that apply).

24	Also Bad experiences previously at Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 2:12 PM
25	Once I'm "offsite", I might as well get a really good deal. I should have looked into a shared apartment.	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
26	It is unfortunate that the IETF no longer has a relationship to Hilton Hotels. I hope a new agreement can be negotiated with them. It is monetarily beneficial to frequent IETF attendees to stick with one hotel chain, and I think Hilton has provided great venues for IETF in the past.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
27	I hate corporate hotels I prefer the personal touch of a B&B	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
28	Nicer than the Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 1:35 PM
29	Employer had a block of rooms there.	Apr 10, 2012 1:26 PM
30	Proximity was the main factor	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
31	Needed multiple bedrooms and kitchen for companions	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
32	I chose a small, friendly hotel about a 10 min walk from IETF venue.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
33	very expensive	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
34	Concorde required non-refundable deposit (in today's climate of govt/business cutting travel, that was a show-stopper); Meridien was expensive; neither sounded particularly nice	Apr 10, 2012 1:04 PM
35	reviews on Tripadvisor	Apr 10, 2012 12:57 PM
36	I just could not take the cancellation policy at Concorde, so waited too long. I missed Le Méridien's cutoff too, so had to pay what rate I could get.	Apr 10, 2012 12:47 PM
37	Larger and nicer rooms than LaFayette, far smaller queues at breakfast (bad experience from last IETF in Paris staying at Lafayette)	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
38	There was no Internet, but that's what SIM cards are for. Great site for a few more days after the meeting.	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
39	The most important reason was that the IETF recommended it.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
40	It was the Hotel chosen by ISOC (I am a returning Fellow).	Apr 10, 2012 12:25 PM
41	Wanted to stay somewhere other than near meeting venue. I'm familiar with and like this neighborhood in Paris.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
42	Brought the family and neither of the conference hotels offered a 4-person room, and the kids are too young to have a separate room.	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
43	Nice small hotel. Has mor eFrench flavor than the big Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
44	Frustration with uber-expensive meeting hotel policy.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
45	Location	Apr 10, 2012 12:07 PM

Page 3, Q13. Why did you elect to stay at this hotel? (Pick all that apply).

46	The IETF hotels where too expensive - more expensive than regular north european business hotels.	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
47	Comfort	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
48	Cancellation policy required no deposits and cancellation by day of arrival	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
49	Close to metro line 1 at a more reasonable price compared to other options.	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
50	Travel with family.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
51	Staying at home near Paris	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 3, Q14. Knowing what you know now, would you have elected to stay at a different hotel, even if it meant paying more?

1	I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
2	For the room I got, it was overpriced. I later found out that higher floor rooms were renovated. Mine had not even been painted for at least 10 years, water changed temperature constantly, etc, etc	Apr 16, 2012 1:39 PM
3	Different hotel yes, pay more no	Apr 16, 2012 12:03 PM
4	Lousy service	Apr 15, 2012 2:36 AM
5	The floor I was on looked like they'd only renovated half of it.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
6	Definitely. This was a HORRIBLE hotel.	Apr 11, 2012 5:26 PM
7	Concorde sucked	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
8	Network issues and pickpockets, poor maintenance. All in all, a dump with problems. Avoid if possible	Apr 11, 2012 2:07 PM
9	Theft	Apr 11, 2012 10:37 AM
10	Paris is already too expensive.	Apr 11, 2012 7:50 AM
11	I was too far away. 45 minutes door to door by metro.	Apr 11, 2012 7:10 AM
12	for a little extra maybe a more "up-to-date" room	Apr 11, 2012 5:00 AM
13	Knowing what I do now, I certainly would not have stayed at the Concorde, with congestion at breakfast, bad Internet and high theft rate.	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
14	probably one paying less	Apr 11, 2012 3:41 AM
15	it was quite noisy at nights	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM
16	The hotel wasn't great and in-room Internet access sucked, but it was ok.	Apr 11, 2012 2:28 AM
17	The room I had was of lousy quality (worst in many many IETFs) and really in need of renovation. I hated to be unusually afraid of in-room theft.	Apr 11, 2012 2:20 AM
18	Le merdien was very good.	Apr 11, 2012 1:54 AM
19	Food options were poor, staff had sticky fingers	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
20	so glad I didn't elect to stay in the concorde - they proved that they're a complete failure in every way possible except the actual act of providing a place to sleep	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
21	Maybe at Le Meridien, but I'm not sure there is so much difference.	Apr 10, 2012 11:28 PM
22	without a doubt, even if I had to pay more	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
23	My experience in Concorde was so terrible with the small room, broken network, and thefts. I will NEVER stay at Concorde again.	Apr 10, 2012 10:41 PM

Page 3, Q14. Knowing what you know now, would you have elected to stay at a different hotel, even if it meant paying more?

24	A hotel with Internet would have been nice. Despite Chris' efforts, I never got the wireless to work and wired was filtered beyond repair	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
25	Bad internet. Ugly hotel.	Apr 10, 2012 6:58 PM
26	not applicable	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
27	The theft issue was like a black cloud overhead, that affected the whole week. I couldn't wait to get out of Paris as a result. I returned to Paris to a different hotel a week later, and thoroughly enjoyed Paris without the hotel lack of security.	Apr 10, 2012 6:35 PM
28	IT WAS CRAPPY!	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
29	Security problems are a deal-breaker, and sadly common in many Paris hotels.	Apr 10, 2012 4:53 PM
30	the hotel was under renovation and had just one functioning floor. The other guests were loud and partying until late night on sat/sunday before the conference (the walls of the rooms were paper thin).	Apr 10, 2012 4:13 PM
31	Should have stayed at Le Meridien, or other local accomodations. The rooms were old, two twin beds equalled a King, A/C problems, in-room safe problems.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
32	The main value of the IETF conference hotel is the IETF internet. It was dismal all week, valiant efforts of the volunteers notwithstanding. If we can't take over the network we shouldn't go to the hotel. Also, the uncomfortably low security posture of the Concorde was disconcerting. I just wonder if a certain level of security can be a requirement put in the contract?	Apr 10, 2012 3:06 PM
33	Poor in-room internet connectivity, plus no more convenient to the Palais e Congres than a number of toehr places, including Le Meridien	Apr 10, 2012 2:50 PM
34	security was a problem	Apr 10, 2012 2:00 PM
35	After seeing all the problems with the IETF sponsored hotels, I was VERY GLAD to have booked the Hilton myself.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
36	My hotel was fine and was secure	Apr 10, 2012 1:33 PM
37	I now believe I could have found a better nearby hotel for less money.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
38	I dont like big hotels as I find service very impersonal.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
39	I would have found a cheaper place (and used the Metro more)	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
40	Given the experience I had, I would have definitely selected a different hotel. Besides all the stealing stories, the hotel staff were really not good. e.g. upon arrival, I had to wait in a long queue to check in, while 3 other reception staff were standing there chatting and laughing and only one person was doing the check in.	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM
41	however, the reports of so many thefts within the hotel was VERY disturbing	Apr 10, 2012 12:45 PM
42	There was a Hilton close by, within my company rates. I didn't have a problem	Apr 10, 2012 12:42 PM

Page 3, Q14. Knowing what you know now, would you have elected to stay at a different hotel, even if it meant paying more?

with the hotel per se, no need to get breakfast in a European city.

43	Beforehand, I would have liked to stay at the actual venue hotel... but hearing about bad network and theft problems, I'm glad I was at Meridien.	Apr 10, 2012 12:34 PM
44	It was a nice hotel with fast Internet connection, staff was very helpful	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
45	The hotel had a bland breakfast and security was an issue. Check-in took over an hour waiting in line.	Apr 10, 2012 12:24 PM
46	I stayed on the fourth floor, where there was no paneling on the walls in the hallway. The room was only marginally clean. Not even a teapot in the rooms. The bar was ridiculously expensive. I paid 8 EUR for a coke	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
47	Le Meridian was 5 times more expensive than, say, an IBIS - which offers about the same level of service.	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
48	Been at other hotels where there were no incidents	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
49	Room quality and theft concerns were primary issues. On the other hand, I guess I could see la Tour Eiffel from my room window.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
50	Rooms were of poor quality given the price paid; theft was a concern.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
51	The theft problems at the Concorde were epic, and I was one of the victims.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
52	Internet worked very poorly in the room; other hotel was very close.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
53	My hotel was pretty far; I was under the impression there were very few hotels close by but that was incorrect.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
54	maybe; room was tiny, service was lousy, internet sucked. No guarantee it'd be better elsewhere.	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
55	The hotel was expensive, even with the IETF discount. I would have gone for a cheaper hotel.	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
56	I would not pay more	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
57	Hotel was expensive, but it's very useful to be next to the IETF meeting venue.	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 AM
58	The hotel was expensive considering the stay and value of the hotel.	Apr 10, 2012 11:49 AM
59	The Concorde was by far, the worst hotel ever.	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
60	I would stay in another hotel, but not pay more. There are a lot of cheaper options with more value for the money.	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
61	Not sure about the 'thief hysteria', but room size at the concorde wasn't impressive	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
62	I was very happy with my choice. The hotel treated me extremely well (status helped!). The only downside was the longer trip to the conference, but that was	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM

Page 3, Q14. Knowing what you know now, would you have elected to stay at a different hotel, even if it meant paying more?

balanced out when people wanted to get dinner closer to my hotel in the evenings.

63	problem with theft; hotel was over-priced for the rooms and service	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
64	The room was substandard for a "business hotel" of this price range. Looks like they are used to a captive audience.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
65	Couldn't afford it.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
66	The Concorde hotel was really a bad choice; overpriced, small rooms, bad Internet connection, crowded breakfast, plus the thefts	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
67	Small hotel, 25 rooms, excellent service, decent price, decent quality, no line for breakfast, no break-ins	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
68	The Concorde is probably the worst large hotel I've stayed in. I have 20 years of regular business travel.	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 3, Q15. Did you experience any theft or incidence of your guest room having been "gone through"?

1	I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
2	My room was too warm all the time, even with AC on	Apr 16, 2012 12:03 PM
3	Uncertain. Closet doors open etc the first two days.	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
4	not that i noticed.	Apr 13, 2012 5:10 PM
5	Opposite in fact. I left my computer out and money in my bag and it was there when I returned. Happened 3 days in a row before I got worried what with all the emails about it.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
6	I also noticed the ever moving zippers on bags. Of course, the bags were always empty, so I didn't think much about it.	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
7	it was a bit annoying that the house keeping staff attempted to get into the room very frequently if one forgets to post the do-not-disturb sign. (And I've heard incidence where the sign was ignored.) What were their intent for checking the room so frequently anyway?	Apr 11, 2012 1:00 PM
8	No loss, but bags were opened and searched on at least two occasions. Things were returned to wrong bags.	Apr 11, 2012 9:58 AM
9	We were very careful to keep everything locked up in the safe or suitcases, especially after reading the comments on the attendees list.	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
10	After changing it directly after the arrival (the first room was not properly made up or the check-in desk messed up the names for my reservation), I had no problems with my room.	Apr 11, 2012 5:00 AM
11	A mysterious human tried to enter my room on afternoon but I was there.	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
12	nothing was stolen, but I kept everything of value locked in safe all the time I was not in room. Upon returning to room, lights were turned on (even though I left DND sign up all week), zippers on suitcases were often in different positions than how I left them, etc.	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
13	I'm not sure, but I once experienced that I retruned to my room the door was opened.	Apr 10, 2012 10:41 PM
14	Someone tried to open the door of my room when I was there.	Apr 10, 2012 8:05 PM
15	Stuff seemed to be in different places than I remember. Also, I found the 'we could not make your room sign' on the bed even though the room had been made.	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
16	I was in Le Meridian, and I am unaware that anyone had such problems in Le Meridian.	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
17	not applicable	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
18	Zips moved, nothing stolen. I am not happy with having to carry 5kg+ of backpack with all my valueables and half of my electronics for 5 days.	Apr 10, 2012 5:31 PM

Page 3, Q15. Did you experience any theft or incidence of your guest room having been "gone through"?

19	We left everything of value in the in-room safe. Once reports of theft began to surface, we left suitcases locked with valuables inside and with zippers in predetermined locations to detect theft. When elected to forgo room service for several days to prevent access. We left radios/TVs/laptops playing music/audio when we left. To our knowledge, no issues.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
20	I had no problems with my room that gave me any concern.	Apr 10, 2012 3:32 PM
21	You don't run this risk in a B&B	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
22	As far as I know, on my floor the cleaning staff was acting to the normal protocol. When I wanted to enter the room when it was been cleaned I was asked to show that my key actually worked on the door.	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
23	But everybody I know at the Concorde had problems.	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
24	From what I read, I'm pleased I did not stay at le Meridien or Concorde. This was not a problem at the hotel I stayed at.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
25	There was a glitch with laundry, but that was a misunderstanding. I asked for a laundry bag to be delivered to the room (which was supposed to be in the room) and when the person brought the bag, they scooped up the laundry that was out and had it washed. I had intended to send more, and do it the following day.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
26	No theft.	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
27	One evening when I came back, door was open (no signs of intrusion)	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
28	But I did see people being forceably removed from the lobby on two occasions.	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
29	Yes, it was gone through, but nothing taken since everything was locked or pockets were already empty to begin with.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
30	Not detected.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
31	Maid took room key on two occasions.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
32	Nearly US\$1,000 of items were removed from my room.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
33	Someone tried to pick pocket me between the palais and the metro but I caught him. (If this was specific to hotel rooms that should have been more clear.)	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
34	The doors didn't lock like normal doors, so Paying Attention was required. Also, the maid once left the master key in our room (!!)	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
35	lobby staff even recognized me from day 2 onwards.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
36	But strange knock at door.	Apr 10, 2012 12:09 PM
37	that was at "the other" hotel	Apr 10, 2012 12:08 PM
38	Hate this hotel, not safe	Apr 10, 2012 12:08 PM
39	I lost money.	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM

Page 3, Q15. Did you experience any theft or incidence of your guest room having been "gone through"?

40	I even left my kindle and bose headphones out the first 2 days	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM
41	Had somebody enter room with a card key while I was in it. Confirmed with hotel it was not hotel staff.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
42	I had 5 other sharing the room with me in the hostel, nothing was touched.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
43	That is inadmissible for any hotel.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q16. Did you use an in-room safe

1	I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
2	The safe was big enough for my laptop, this is important.	Apr 16, 2012 12:13 PM
3	Took all valuable belongings with me.	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
4	After 4 days.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
5	However, after becoming suspicious and then hearing about thefts, I elected to take anything of value with me at all times.	Apr 11, 2012 5:26 PM
6	sometimes, not always	Apr 11, 2012 12:30 PM
7	As always.	Apr 11, 2012 2:20 AM
8	I believe any hotel we choose in the future this MUST be mandatory in-room feature!!!	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
9	It doesn't work!	Apr 10, 2012 9:24 PM
10	None was provided	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
11	safe looked dysfunctional	Apr 10, 2012 8:00 PM
12	I did wish that the in-room safe had been larger so that my laptop computer might have fit in it.	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
13	not applicable	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
14	Once the reports started, we always locked everything of value in the safe.	Apr 10, 2012 6:35 PM
15	What's the point if personnel has access to it too	Apr 10, 2012 5:31 PM
16	Carried valuables around myself.	Apr 10, 2012 4:53 PM
17	That makes it way too easy to find your valuables	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
18	Had an issue on the last night. Safe wouldn't open. Took two calls, one visit to Concierge and 1.5 hours to get security to open. They say we overstuffed the safe, but it was no different from any other day. Safe threw SER code, which could have been from tampering, or just jammed lock.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
19	it was not working	Apr 10, 2012 3:43 PM
20	You don't run this risk in a B&B	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
21	but not on all days	Apr 10, 2012 1:35 PM
22	... It jammed and I had to get the staff to override the lock.	Apr 10, 2012 1:18 PM
23	No safe. But the door was fortress-like.	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
24	I carried my valuables with me all the time.	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM

Page 3, Q16. Did you use an in-room safe

25	however, i locked my valuables in my suitcase	Apr 10, 2012 12:45 PM
26	I carried passports, electronics, and such with me. The only valuables in the room were my clothing.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
27	For medicine, mostly. Sometimes my passport.	Apr 10, 2012 12:34 PM
28	I usually take everything with	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
29	It was too small and inconveniently located (too high for easy access).	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
30	The safe was non-functional	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
31	Only as I left my iPad in my room during the day	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
32	but only after the warnings - never have at any previous IETF	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
33	but safe too small for laptop	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
34	Initially, it was broken and I left my money in the pocket of a jacket. It was gone after only 2 hours	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
35	Safe was not large enough for a laptop.	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
36	I also have a personal safety back that can be anchored in the room	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
37	Safe was too small	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
38	There wasn't one.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
39	not available at this hotel	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q17. Did you leave valuables at the hotel front desk for security?

1	Never see a reason for doing it.	Apr 30, 2012 5:02 AM
2	Prefer to use in-room safe	Apr 27, 2012 2:54 PM
3	Room safe	Apr 19, 2012 8:11 AM
4	In-room safe covered my needs.	Apr 18, 2012 11:23 AM
5	Used the safe at the room/did not leave valuables unattended in the room. Was not aware of the option to leave valuables at fron desk/have never used that option.	Apr 18, 2012 4:42 AM
6	I carried them with myself.	Apr 18, 2012 2:39 AM
7	I carried them or locked them up in room safe.	Apr 17, 2012 9:05 AM
8	Because I live in Paris	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
9	bring them with me	Apr 16, 2012 9:31 PM
10	Nothing that warranted doing so.	Apr 16, 2012 1:37 PM
11	Did not have anything valuable	Apr 16, 2012 12:03 PM
12	Took all valuable belongings with me.	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
13	I used in-room safe, or carried them with me, only time I left something valuable in the room outside the safe was during the breakfasts, as my laptop didn't fit into the in-room safe.	Apr 12, 2012 7:28 AM
14	Did not trust them.	Apr 11, 2012 5:26 PM
15	Used in-room safe. I had stuff stolen from concierges in the past in Paris.	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
16	This should NOT be necessary. We don't have this problem usually.	Apr 11, 2012 2:07 PM
17	The only things worth stealing were my passport (on my person) and laptop (backed up and encrypted - plus cheap).	Apr 11, 2012 9:10 AM
18	It's too inconvenient, plus there was a safe in the room.	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
19	because of in-room safe	Apr 11, 2012 7:42 AM
20	Mostly carried with me or locked it in my personal luggage	Apr 11, 2012 7:35 AM
21	I feel lucky	Apr 11, 2012 6:42 AM
22	The safe seemed to be safe enough	Apr 11, 2012 6:28 AM
23	used in-room safe or carried valuables with me	Apr 11, 2012 4:43 AM
24	No need to (was NOT at the Concorde).	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
25	I have none or: preferred to have them with me	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM

Page 3, Q17. Did you leave valuables at the hotel front desk for security?

26	Inconvenient to leave at front desk, with long wait and rude personnel. And only laptop is valuable, and don't leave without it.	Apr 11, 2012 3:23 AM
27	not trust	Apr 11, 2012 12:35 AM
28	No valuables.	Apr 11, 2012 12:10 AM
29	Used safe	Apr 10, 2012 11:28 PM
30	didn't trust hotel staff to secure anything of mine. This isn't just a Paris thing, I never trust anything to the hotel staff anywhere. What are they going to say? "oops" or "are you sure you left your valuables with us?"...	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
31	Not convenient.	Apr 10, 2012 10:56 PM
32	Always bring valuables with me	Apr 10, 2012 10:53 PM
33	Never done that.	Apr 10, 2012 9:28 PM
34	I took all my valuables with me.	Apr 10, 2012 8:58 PM
35	Inconvenient	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
36	Always had valuables with me, laptop/ipad/passport	Apr 10, 2012 8:00 PM
37	not applicable	Apr 10, 2012 6:53 PM
38	After reports of luggage handling that didn't meet normal security precautions, I would have no reason to believe the front desk would be any better at securing my valuables.	Apr 10, 2012 6:35 PM
39	Felt they were adequately secured in the safe, or with me	Apr 10, 2012 6:29 PM
40	I don't take much valuables when I travel	Apr 10, 2012 6:28 PM
41	The in-room safe is enough	Apr 10, 2012 6:06 PM
42	Didn't feel any need for that	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
43	Didn't have any, and anyway I never have done that.	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
44	Why would I trust the front desk?	Apr 10, 2012 4:53 PM
45	always carried them with me.	Apr 10, 2012 4:13 PM
46	No confidence in the hotel security systems	Apr 10, 2012 3:18 PM
47	Kept valuables on my person at all times.	Apr 10, 2012 3:14 PM
48	I thought the room safe was the more secure place, other than keeping them with me. In the Concorde it was not unreasonable to assume that hotel staff was involved at some level, so I doubt that leaving valuables at the front desk was safer.	Apr 10, 2012 3:06 PM

Page 3, Q17. Did you leave valuables at the hotel front desk for security?

49	Too easy to get confused and leave something behind	Apr 10, 2012 2:50 PM
50	I don't carry many valuables and I expect a hotel that expensive to be safe enough for my passport, computer etc.	Apr 10, 2012 2:44 PM
51	Used safe. inconvenience of leaving items at front desk	Apr 10, 2012 2:12 PM
52	I felt the room safe was sufficient for my needs, and I trust the Hilton brand.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
53	I carry valuables with me.	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
54	I considered the hotel safe to be sufficient	Apr 10, 2012 1:25 PM
55	Never saw a need.	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
56	I never do	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
57	What I had that was valuable was in the safe when I knew there to be a problem or in my bag with me.	Apr 10, 2012 1:18 PM
58	I kept everything I cared about (money, passport, laptop) with me ... nothing to leave.	Apr 10, 2012 1:14 PM
59	No front desk.	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
60	I didn't feel the need in this small-scale hotel	Apr 10, 2012 12:57 PM
61	Used the in-room safe.	Apr 10, 2012 12:53 PM
62	Always took everything with me.	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
63	Usually just keep them with me	Apr 10, 2012 12:41 PM
64	I carried passports, electronics, and such with me. The only valuables in the room were my clothing.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
65	I carried them with me.	Apr 10, 2012 12:34 PM
66	Left them in a safe.	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
67	Carried them with me.	Apr 10, 2012 12:29 PM
68	Not something I normally have to do...	Apr 10, 2012 12:25 PM
69	Didn't have any other than my wallet and passport (and I used the safe for that)	Apr 10, 2012 12:25 PM
70	Didn't have any valuables.	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
71	Didn't bring much valuables with me; in this day and age, IETF work is conveniently enough done on an iPad and such can be dragged along easily.	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
72	Who watches the watchers?	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
73	I used the in-room safe.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM

Page 3, Q17. Did you leave valuables at the hotel front desk for security?

74	Didn't think of it; never had to before.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
75	Needed to access items frequently.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
76	Didn't need to.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
77	I mostly took all my valuables with me.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
78	I would have liked to be able to drop off my laptop when sight seeing or eating, but my hotel was too far away for that.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
79	Didn't have any.	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
80	didn't know the option existed	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
81	didn't have a lot of valuables	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
82	I usually carry all my valuables with me.	Apr 10, 2012 12:06 PM
83	No need. Only left PC and clothing in the room.	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 AM
84	Not concerned by theft.	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
85	Carried with me.	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
86	They are not so valuable.	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
87	Yes on departure day with bad feelings due to lax security at the bell captain's office	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
88	No need.	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
89	would not trust them with my laptop	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
90	I carried my valuables with me. As the hotel staff did not seem to do a good job checking IDs for room keys, I saw no reason to believe they'd do better on valuables retrieval from them.	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
91	no need	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
92	I kept all valuables with me at all times, using a money belt to hold my passport, a credit card, and most of my cash.	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
93	time consuming and probably less safe than the in-room safe!	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
94	Prefer to take them with me	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
95	They didn't offer this service.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
96	valuables always with me, laptop computer chain-locked to desk when I was away and not needing it	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
97	I carried my valuables with me.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q17. Did you leave valuables at the hotel front desk for security?

98	Felt not necessary	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
99	i chose to maintain possession of my valuables and left some in the room (spare computer, camera, etc.)	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
100	Not that much with me.	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 3, Q18. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

1	not sure what terminal room is	May 2, 2012 4:10 AM
2	I wanted wired internet.	Apr 18, 2012 2:39 AM
3	Excelent	Apr 17, 2012 5:01 AM
4	It was hard to get a spot in the terminal room with a power outlet	Apr 16, 2012 1:39 PM
5	The wireless was not very good in the hotel room.	Apr 16, 2012 12:13 PM
6	Seeing the wireless improvements, and the work being done at the plenary was great!	Apr 13, 2012 8:32 AM
7	I would have marked VG for wireless if you started counting on Tuesday.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
8	Nice having talking areas around terminal room, too.	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
9	As usual these days, the venue network was great. The effort to fix the hotel wireless network was "beyond the call of duty"	Apr 11, 2012 2:07 PM
10	I loved the "open office" terminal room.	Apr 11, 2012 9:10 AM
11	The terminal room was too noisy	Apr 11, 2012 8:29 AM
12	In the conference center, wireless coverage was mostly OK, although sometimes I had to switch from the encrypted SSIDs to the open SSIDs because of signal strength. I would prefer that that IETF drop all usage of open SSIDs and only use encrypted SSIDs, even if it's just WPA2 with a simple password (ietf), it's much better for everyone even if they don't realize it. It makes snooping much more difficult. The "terminal room" didn't have enough tables with power outlets, and was very crowded at times as a result.	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
13	Wireless coverage in area outside meeting rooms had black spots.	Apr 11, 2012 4:11 AM
14	No need for help is a good sign, kutgw!	Apr 11, 2012 4:00 AM
15	The ipv6-only network did not work on my ipad	Apr 11, 2012 3:33 AM
16	quite chilly terminal area (with a bit of a breeze)	Apr 11, 2012 2:28 AM
17	To few seats with power.	Apr 11, 2012 2:16 AM
18	Wireless ended awesome, started horrible. Chris & Team ROCK	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
19	averaging out the great wireless in the venue and the poor wireless in the hotel. Thanks for Chris, Hans and all the others who worked so hard to fix the hotel problems.	Apr 10, 2012 11:28 PM
20	worst IETF and Hotel network since Oslo (IETF 45) in 1999.	Apr 10, 2012 11:21 PM
21	The location of Terminal Room was too good so too many people were there.	Apr 10, 2012 10:41 PM
22	Internet connection did not stable.	Apr 10, 2012 8:28 PM

Page 3, Q18. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

23	Not much space in terminal room	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
24	Open air terminal room seemed 'odd'.	Apr 10, 2012 8:00 PM
25	Wireless fairness is such a hard problem to solve ;-)	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
26	termroom inadequate for work	Apr 10, 2012 7:18 PM
27	Wireless was a bit slow and difficult to start, but once it became the ietf network, it seemed fine.	Apr 10, 2012 6:35 PM
28	Best terminal room ever, because it was open and right next to the registration desk. Very supportive of socializatio. Highly recommend something like this at future IETF meetings.	Apr 10, 2012 6:29 PM
29	Wireless near the meeting rooms was very good.	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
30	The Help Desk guys were great! Very helpful and friendly!	Apr 10, 2012 4:29 PM
31	I don't understand why we still have a terminal room, or if we don't really have one anymore, we should stop using the term and call it our internet cafe or something like that	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
32	The network was atrocious to begin with. However, after much work, Chris and company got it working quite well. Would have preferred the IETF network be left up through the weekend, but understand its being taken down.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
33	The wireless was great at the convention centre. It was not good in the guest rooms.	Apr 10, 2012 3:47 PM
34	More table space with more power would have been especially helpful.	Apr 10, 2012 3:40 PM
35	The wireless improved over the week after starting out nearly unusable.	Apr 10, 2012 3:32 PM
36	Wireless at the conference center was very good, as usual. The ietf-hotel network never worked in my room at the Concorde.	Apr 10, 2012 3:06 PM
37	Good in the Palais, bad in the Concorde	Apr 10, 2012 2:50 PM
38	Do you mean wireless in the hotel, or wireless in the venue?	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
39	The hotel network on the (high) floor my room was, was louzy in the beginning but got better later half way the week	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
40	I found the terminal room pretty crowded pretty much anytime I wanted to be there, even with the overflow tables and chairs that appeared during the week.	Apr 10, 2012 1:14 PM
41	Terminal area was pretty crowded and hard to get power in.	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
42	"Terminal room", which I assume means the tables near IETF registration, we're too crowded.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
43	In the conference facility, wireless was very good. The Le Meridien wireless was pretty good (Mon/Tues nights), the Concorde was pretty bad (Wed/Thurs nights).	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM

Page 3, Q18. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

44	the first 2 days was pretty bad, but afterwards it was excellent	Apr 10, 2012 12:45 PM
45	Terminal Room was occasionally slightly crowded. A few more tables might help.	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
46	Really loved the Terminal Room being open.	Apr 10, 2012 12:42 PM
47	In this I'm referring to the wireless in the venue. In the hotel, on the 11th floor, it was not available.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
48	Coverage at venue wasn't as good as years past. Hotel wireless wasn't IETF at Meridien, to my knowledge.	Apr 10, 2012 12:34 PM
49	Network was working ok, so there was no need to contact NOC or help desk.	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
50	Terminal room was adequate workspace, nothing wonderful.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
51	I used the wi-fi extensively, had a good experience, and needed no assistance. Pretty flawless actually. Speed was good, which is pretty good considering the number of users.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
52	Some part of the main venue lobby were not covered	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
53	A quiet terminal room would have been preferable.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
54	Wireless sucked but then got much better. Terminal Room was a "free speech pen" and way too small.	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
55	You don't need to contact the NOC when the service is perfect. Thanks NOC team for not requiring me to contact you :-)	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
56	The wifi in the venue was good but not as good than in other IETFs. In the hotel was very bad but that is not surprise	Apr 10, 2012 11:47 AM
57	terminal room could have used more space and provided more seats; round table seating areas help getting work done	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
58	Terminal room too small	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
59	Terminal room was a bit noisy but easily accessible.	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
60	not enough seats in terminal "room"	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
61	More seating would be helpful	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM
62	dedicated room as terminal room, as in other venues, would be better than the setup in the palais de congres	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
63	As far as I could tell there wasn't much (any?) communication about printer logistics. I worked it out; it wasn't THAT hard. But a cheat sheet would have been welcome.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
64	Wireless was much better once it got "fixed"	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 3, Q18. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

65	Wireless was crappy in the hotel, fine at the IETF.	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM
66	wireless improvements were impressive	Apr 10, 2012 11:36 AM

Page 3, Q19. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

1	I think using the quality of the Internet service in the hotel room as a key metric for the meeting a very slippery slope that will restrict even further your venue choices going forward.	Apr 17, 2012 8:27 AM
2	Hotel wifi went from very poor to good-very good so averaging on fair.	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
3	Too cold in the rooms.	Apr 13, 2012 12:25 PM
4	The food at this venue should set the bar for other meetings. The IESG breakfasts and lunches were really good. Did you get to see the pastry dishes.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
5	The rooms were quite small, there were several power outages and losing power from projector can really cause trouble. The chairs were too close to each other, meaning it was hard to keep laptop on your lap, when someone was sitting in front of you. There was no self-service for getting drinks which was quite annoying, also the cookies etc seemed to be running out quite early.	Apr 12, 2012 7:28 AM
6	more non-animal based protein would be nice	Apr 11, 2012 12:30 PM
7	It was very difficult to find a quiet corner to have a conference call or meeting with a small group.	Apr 11, 2012 9:10 AM
8	The Palais is rather big for an IETF meeting, which makes it somewhat "cold".	Apr 11, 2012 8:03 AM
9	Wireless and wired in the room were both unusable for several days, and even once fixed, it was still marginal at times. Hotel Internet issues should have been settled prior to the meeting start. That should be true for all meetings. The meeting rooms didn't have enough tables at the front of the room.	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
10	food and beverage was the most basic I have seen since more than 2 years...	Apr 11, 2012 5:00 AM
11	Palais is a reasonable, but not a great venue.	Apr 11, 2012 3:44 AM
12	meeting rooms too small. water ran out in meeting rooms. staff serving coffee leads to long queues. terminal room & other desks 'in the open' quite nice	Apr 11, 2012 3:41 AM
13	pity that meeting rooms where not available after 8 p.m. for bar BoFs ...	Apr 11, 2012 3:34 AM
14	Food service was very quick to discontinue service and even beverages run out during most breaks. Lack of sufficient hot water for tea.	Apr 11, 2012 2:16 AM
15	Palais had recurring problems with power not working in parts of the rooms	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
16	I did not use the food&beverage stands, as the breaks were busy with talks. As said earlier, internet in my room was equivalent to none. Palais meeting facility felt like a warehouse, especially when sharing with other groups, maybe we should use a more compact place. However, the basement part with shops was great, hence the 'fair' score.	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
17	Had problems with audio streaming in several meeting rooms.	Apr 10, 2012 6:29 PM
18	Was so crowded I could not get anything to drink.	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM

Page 3, Q19. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

19	Breaks were very brief and few choices. The staff wasn't too friendly.	Apr 10, 2012 4:29 PM
20	The facility was old but spacious and perfectly sized for our group	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
21	Most of our dining was outside the hotel. The lounge was seedy and service was poor. The staff was inattentive (even for the French) and rude. The ONLY poor service I received in Paris was from the lounge staff.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
22	Some meeting rooms were poorly sized for the attendance.	Apr 10, 2012 3:32 PM
23	Internet in hotel improved during the week	Apr 10, 2012 3:18 PM
24	The rows were so close in some of the meeting rooms that movement in/out to the mike to talk was impossible. That's not good for collaboration. The food and beverage at breaks was insufficient.	Apr 10, 2012 3:06 PM
25	we had so much space there... we could have had some food/beverage provider available all day?	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
26	The internet service at the Hilton La Defense was great.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
27	Some staff were a bit gruff and grudging (with notable exceptions)	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
28	Bad wireless reception in my room (Meridien). Many Palais rooms were a bit too small and hot. Centralized food&beverage service didn't work well, way too crowded and not enough quantities served.	Apr 10, 2012 1:35 PM
29	The meeting facility lacked comfortable places to sit, meet up, or chat. The general area in Quebec City was much better for meeting up and having ad-hoc discussion.	Apr 10, 2012 1:30 PM
30	The hotel network was so blocked that pretty much nothing worked!	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
31	:Fair" in the room but see after a lot of problems in the beginning	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
32	Having people serve us beverages individually is SO un-IETF :-)	Apr 10, 2012 1:14 PM
33	Waiting for servers to pour tiny drink glasses was a huge time-suck.	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
34	Lack of serviced water stations.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
35	Concorde internet was minimally usable, the Le Meridien was better.	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
36	Chairs too close in mtg rooms (no room for knees, laptops hitting person in previous row, impossible to get in and out); power unreliable in some rooms	Apr 10, 2012 1:04 PM
37	I only got coffee during one of the afternoon breaks. The staff were rude and coffee was out on the other days.	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM
38	Meeting rooms weren't always open at 0800 yet.	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
39	Some of the allocated meeting rooms were way too small, the food and beverages were also underdimensioned.	Apr 10, 2012 12:40 PM

Page 3, Q19. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

40	Took too long to get coffee in the beverage breaks. If it takes 20 mins to get coffee in a 10 minute break it does not work. Self service please.	Apr 10, 2012 12:31 PM
41	They knew to supply enough black tea!	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
42	Stayed offsite at a hotel that provided its own Internet, which worked fine.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
43	Chris' re-engineering of the wireless network was impressive. The inability of the hotel to move data quickly to the upper floors was disappointing.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
44	F&B on average seems to be in decline.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
45	WiFi in the hotel didn't work on the first day.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
46	The chairs were torture devices.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
47	Very annoying that you had to ask for small servings of soft drinks, having cans or bottles available would be better.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
48	Need to have more water available throughout the facility and the day.	Apr 10, 2012 12:12 PM
49	I hate when they remove stuff so quickly.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
50	Had to queue to get tiny cup of cold drink. Only one row of desks in most meeting rooms.	Apr 10, 2012 12:09 PM
51	Some times some elevators in Palais de Congres didn't function.	Apr 10, 2012 11:58 AM
52	Less food and beverage than usual due to breakfast being included with the hotel	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 AM
53	We do need servers to dispense coffee and water. It would be cheaper and easy to provide more beverages without a server. I found I bought a lot of Starbucks.	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
54	no complaints about staff per se, but manual intervention - instead of serving bottles/self service delayed the whole break; meeting facilities obviously provided surplus of space, only little of which was used for the breaks so that those became incredibly crowded; seating space in the meeting rooms was less than inner european flights wher it is known in advance that people bring bags and laptops	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
55	There should be beverages and cookies available also during short breaks. Unfriendly personnel for food and beverages.	Apr 10, 2012 11:45 AM
56	Meeting space was dingy. But functional!	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
57	Why were there so few chairs in the public meeting areas?	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
58	Prefer to have IETF breakfast	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
59	More soft drinks would be nice.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
60	The hotel wireless network was very poor at first. It became mostly useable do	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM

Page 3, Q19. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

the heroics of Chris and the NOC. The venue provided snacks were pretty good, but the availability of sodas and water were poor. (Please recommend against venues providing "bartenders" to poor small glasses of beverages). Venue staff seemed to intentionally create crowd flow bottlenecks during snack times. It got better later in the week.

61	congress hall not very cosy, noisy !	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
62	I rated Palais facilities 'poor' because the Monday meeting in 242AB started with no lavalier mics and no video or power cables for the projector. Through miraculous work by WG members and Secretariat staff, the meeting started almost-on-time anyway, but it's beyond belief that the venue missed this.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
63	Not having a sponsor had an impact on the food and beverages provided at the break. Self-service drink service would have been nicer than having small cups filled by a venue staff member.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q20. How would you rate the following?

1	Some meeting rooms (RTCWEB in particular, but also MMUSIC) were severely under-dimensioned for the number of actual attendees.	Apr 19, 2012 8:11 AM
2	Some power strips did not work well	Apr 13, 2012 4:01 AM
3	Secretariat staff were as always excellent!	Apr 11, 2012 11:21 AM
4	AV was not ready in first session on monday. had to call to get microphones and such. (trill WG)	Apr 11, 2012 7:10 AM
5	sometimes the power strips had no power	Apr 11, 2012 5:00 AM
6	Many meeting rooms where too small. Air conditioning was too hot for me to be comfortable.	Apr 11, 2012 3:08 AM
7	things just worked as expected	Apr 11, 2012 2:28 AM
8	In several meeting rooms there was issues with the wireless microphones.	Apr 11, 2012 2:16 AM
9	see previous	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
10	during my WG meeting the audio streaming/recording failed (which was very bad for remote participants). Should not happen.	Apr 10, 2012 11:51 PM
11	Lighting in plenary room should not have been so dark. Best if plenary lighting is full bright, like at most IETF meetings.	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
12	power strips ok except in public areas in general, the public areas were very unfriendly	Apr 10, 2012 7:18 PM
13	The rooms seemed to have high-powered, professional projectors permanently installed behind panes of glass. It seems odd that we suffered through the use of portable projectors with better equipment visibly available.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
14	Some of the rooms had terrible projectors or the projector screen was not elevated enough to be seen from the rear of the room.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
15	Display was rarely straight, projectors sometimes in the way.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
16	Many power strips blew.	Apr 10, 2012 12:09 PM
17	Secretariat staff is always amazing!	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
18	We held a side meeting on Sunday and the staff was extremely helpful!	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM
19	The working group I chair had problems with the audio stream. It was fixed about 15 minutes into the meeting, but inconvenienced a number of remote participants for the first quarter of the meeting.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
20	Some power strips in 241 did not work	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
21	Impossible to edit typos in the registration form, once it is submitted	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM

Page 3, Q21. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/83/meeting-packet.pdf>

1	Haven't seen it until now!	Apr 30, 2012 5:02 AM
2	Did not go through it.	Apr 12, 2012 10:00 AM
3	We should be selling ads :-)	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
4	Never looked at it. The agenda, the new ipad app, and the printed small agenda are indispensable.	Apr 11, 2012 2:07 PM
5	iphone/ipad app was excellent	Apr 11, 2012 12:30 PM
6	To be honest, I never looked at it.	Apr 11, 2012 7:54 AM
7	I'm now using the smartphone apps, and not using the program book much.	Apr 11, 2012 3:08 AM
8	Don't use it any more, I use ietfer iPhone app.	Apr 10, 2012 8:00 PM
9	I did not know about it until just now.	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
10	Did not use	Apr 10, 2012 6:29 PM
11	I used the Android app and never looked at the printed copy.	Apr 10, 2012 5:31 PM
12	Did not read.	Apr 10, 2012 4:53 PM
13	You mean that little pamphlet showing the schedule of meetings???? Why not have an online schedule??	Apr 10, 2012 4:29 PM
14	I didn't even look at it	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
15	Didn't look at it. There were no handouts at registration either.	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
16	did not open	Apr 10, 2012 2:46 PM
17	Never received such a thing	Apr 10, 2012 2:12 PM
18	though this is the first I heard of it :-)	Apr 10, 2012 1:49 PM
19	Didn't use	Apr 10, 2012 1:35 PM
20	I somehow didn't know it was available so I never looked at it.	Apr 10, 2012 1:26 PM
21	Some rooms (like 212) were very hard to find.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
22	Never looked at it.	Apr 10, 2012 12:53 PM
23	I think we can save that cost of printing meeting programs.	Apr 10, 2012 12:49 PM
24	I'm sure the meeting packet is useful for many attendees, but I haven't used it in many years.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
25	Couldn't find it. Wish I had though, would have been useful.	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM

Page 3, Q21. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/83/meeting-packet.pdf>

26	Didn't have to look at it.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
27	Would have been better if there was a brief overview of what is going to be discussed in the meetings to select which one to attend specially for new comers like me.	Apr 10, 2012 11:58 AM
28	Not very useful. Suggest dropping.	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
29	The Android app was very useful too.	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q22. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 83All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 83Attendees@ietf.org list to share...

1	Too much noise and talkative people on the 83all list... (As always!)	Apr 30, 2012 5:02 AM
2	I would like splitting the attendees list into two lists - one for announcements and one for chit-chat on tourist attractions, restaurants and the like. I get snowed under the sheer amount of chit-chat e-mail in the list	Apr 15, 2012 2:36 AM
3	83attendees is too much noise from teenage-behaving travellers.	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
4	Did not subscribe to the rest of the aliases.	Apr 12, 2012 10:00 AM
5	83attendees: subscription is a form of masochism	Apr 11, 2012 9:17 AM
6	Excessively garrulous discussions and careless questions (stupid questions or answer readily available with even a cursory Internet search) render 83Attendees list nearly useless. Not IETF fault -- Attendees should think about the value or their contribution. Real requests (e.g. ride shares) , recommendations, and the OCCASIONAL funny comment stream would be better.	Apr 11, 2012 7:50 AM
7	83attendees is too chatty...	Apr 11, 2012 7:10 AM
8	83Attendees was full of useless discussion. Please distribute all relevant info through some other lists.	Apr 11, 2012 3:46 AM
9	Don't subscribe to the attendees list anymore because of extremely poor signal-to-noise ratio	Apr 11, 2012 2:28 AM
10	Americans (I am one) seem to not be able to handle world travel. The s/n on the attendees list is difficult.	Apr 10, 2012 11:28 PM
11	if nothing else, the amusement value was high	Apr 10, 2012 7:18 PM
12	The 83all announcemnts contained lots of info, and only some of the data changed between postings. As is done with IETF drafts, a diff to highlight the changes would have made the postings more useful.	Apr 10, 2012 6:35 PM
13	83attendees: what a waste of bandwidth	Apr 10, 2012 6:28 PM
14	83Attendees was good but sometimes plagued (predictably) with people posting unnecessary semi-clever stuff.	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
15	Meh. Not bad.. but, not worth much.	Apr 10, 2012 4:29 PM
16	xxAttendees needs to charge per message sent to cut down on noise	Apr 10, 2012 2:46 PM
17	83attendees was too busy.	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
18	Too much traffic on attendee list. People need to use more discretion with what they post else the list becomes too busy to be useful (i.e. hard to bit out useful bits of info)	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
19	The 83attendees list was useful... but then wound up in long discussions about	Apr 10, 2012 1:26 PM

Page 3, Q22. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 83All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 83Attendees@ietf.org list to share...

	pickpockets and other topics that were no longer relevant to attendees. I like the idea of the list... I just wish people would better respect that messages are going to ALL attendees. Perhaps there is a need for a "83Discussion" list for people who want to discuss items.	
20	Relatively low S/N ratio on 83attendees, but that's fairly normal.	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
21	The attendees whine too much. It seems some US people lose their brain the moment they cross a border	Apr 10, 2012 1:22 PM
22	Too much idle chat on 83attendees.	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM
23	Was a note put out pointing to mtd@? I could have used the service Monday AM (needed a power outlet by chair's table in my meeting room) and didn't recall the list.	Apr 10, 2012 12:39 PM
24	83attendees was very entertaining.	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
25	Very useful practical information on 83Attendees.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
26	As always, we all know that the attendees list gets filled with noise and gripes. That said, (1) it was better this time than most at giving useful information and (2) we shouldn't try to "fix" it, because it won't work, and we only risk making it worse.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
27	The attendees lists are frequently useful, but the signal-to-noise ratio remains low.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
28	Attendees list full of the usual repetitive questions. It amazes me that smart people can't travel without a mailing list safety net...	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
29	Volume on 83attendees was too high.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM

Page 3, Q23. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

1	Did not go through the tutorials.	Apr 12, 2012 10:00 AM
2	It's a great idea to provide an overview of one or two AREAS (e.g. Ops and Management) at each IETF. Good for seasoned IETFers too.	Apr 11, 2012 7:50 AM
3	the OAM tutorial could have given a better overview of why OAM matters and what the key issues are.	Apr 11, 2012 3:41 AM
4	Repeat the Meetecho tutorial	Apr 11, 2012 3:17 AM
5	Draft Tool session needs to include info on what makes a GOOD draft, what makes a draft READABLE and easy to implement. OAM session was awesome. Presenters were quite good, but it would be nice to have a User point of view.	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
6	More on networking technologies (perhaps including recent developments inside and outside the IETF); less on meta-tutorials about how to deal with IETF meetings	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
7	could not attend because had other meetings at the same time	Apr 10, 2012 7:35 PM
8	The Newcomers training is really close to the Tao of the IETF. Is it really useful?	Apr 10, 2012 2:29 PM
9	Working Group Chair training.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
10	Network Economics	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
11	Newcomers' training class was so early that I missed 60% of it despite coming from only 3.5 hours away that day. Other people I know had the same problem - fairly local, sensible to travel on the day, but 1pm is asking for a very early departure.	Apr 10, 2012 1:18 PM
12	Would have liked to attend the Operations and Management tutorial but the safe in my hotel room was locked when I checked in and I was delayed trying to fix this problem with the Concorde so I missed that tutorial.	Apr 10, 2012 1:09 PM
13	I wanted to attend the "Tools for Creating Internet-Drafts", but ended up with a conflict; I'll try again next time is offered.	Apr 10, 2012 1:05 PM
14	Haven't had time to participate. Sorry. Tool tutorial was very useful when I attended it couple of meetings ago.	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
15	I missed the meetecho meeting because of a scheduling conflict but would attend in the future if this session is offered again.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
16	Privacy would be good.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
17	Two sessions clashed - new comers training & tools for creating internet drafts. I wanted to attend both-but ended up attending only the first one due to the clash.	Apr 10, 2012 11:58 AM
18	While i did not attend the sessions, I did recommend it to a few newcomers who all commented they were very glad they attended as they found the sessions very helpful!	Apr 10, 2012 11:42 AM

Page 3, Q24. How do you rate the Plenaries?

1	Administrative was awesome. We don't need to hear from this Burger guy any more :-)))	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
2	I continue to wonder what we can remove from the plenaries.	Apr 11, 2012 11:21 AM
3	Why can't people control themselves at the mike lines	Apr 11, 2012 9:17 AM
4	Live video stream	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
5	consolidate. Many of the reports could be made available online, and then attendees could simply ask questions.	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
6	No records are provided.	Apr 10, 2012 8:58 PM
7	Less administrivia in the technical plenary; make the administrative plenary more newcomer-friendly (this is my fourth meeting and I still get lost amongst the acronyms).	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
8	Turn the lights up.	Apr 10, 2012 7:25 PM
9	Have tables in the meetin room.	Apr 10, 2012 6:02 PM
10	1) Have only one plenary 2) Rotate some of the reports: IOAC once a year RFC editor once a year report from IETF chair every other meeting, etc.	Apr 10, 2012 4:10 PM
11	Shorter plenaries would be good. They tend to drag on and the quality of the presentations is not in line with the participation numbers or time. I think there are better ways to use the time.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
12	Some meeting rooms were too cramped.	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
13	If we're short on meeting slots, I think the plenaries can go. They don't serve any useful purpose	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
14	continue having discussions of topics that affect the entire ietf community	Apr 10, 2012 1:25 PM
15	There is just too much presentation of stuff. Given the pressures for an additional track, more WG meeting time, &c., the plenaries should be slashed first. Why does the IAOC, Trust, &c. need to present all that? Can't it be prepared as webcasts that are available (say) an hour before open mic time? We could cut one plenary down to an hour of "open mic for admin", "open mic for IESG", and "open mic for IAB". Have host presos and technical topic in another hour. We have just found a free 2 hour block.	Apr 10, 2012 12:47 PM
16	Avoid Paris!	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
17	Hotel security review prior to the meetings, just to get them alerted that this sort of thing is particularly important to future business.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
18	Nice job on selecting the panel for the Technical plenary.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
19	We need to come up with the right balance of routine reports at the plenaries, particularly the admin one. Much of what's presented there can best be done as a very brief summary, with details available on the web site.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM

Page 3, Q24. How do you rate the Plenaries?

20	keep having good speakers	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
21	Shorten them. Let folks submit questions via email as well as open-mic and dedicate some time for those just before open-mic.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
22	Merge?	Apr 10, 2012 12:09 PM
23	Administrative plenary is too administrative. Technical talks are always welcome!	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
24	I <u>really</u> wish we would not use plenary time on administrative reports that could easily be available online. We're already running short of meeting time.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
25	The administrative plenary always seems like a 15 minute meeting crammed into 7 hours.	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

1	Overall meeting costs is becoming hard to sustain at 3 meetings per year - Summer flights to N America are noticeably more costly for the peak summer time of year... and that will likely impact ability to travel to next meeting or the following, given limited budget.	Apr 16, 2012 6:47 AM
2	No IPv6-related sessions Monday first thing in the morning. No more IPv4 without extra pain.	Apr 14, 2012 2:02 PM
3	More free beer ;) But, I think we're working on that.	Apr 12, 2012 6:41 PM
4	Do we really, really, really need to have the Administrative plenary? Can't we just use GENAREA if we really, really, really need a venue to read the numbers to JCK?	Apr 11, 2012 4:42 PM
5	I was good overall, but some of the meeting agenda and information for newcomers sent late.	Apr 11, 2012 3:01 PM
6	Friday continues to be dismal. Don't really have a good idea, but it feels like South Dakota in the winter	Apr 11, 2012 2:07 PM
7	Place water dispensers outside of meeting rooms, provide the possibility to get coffee in an appropriate amount of time - the starbucks was totally overrun	Apr 11, 2012 8:34 AM
8	Let me make just a positive comment: IETF is professionally run and the meeting venue meets expectations. Just one note for now: Generally, more healthy food options (read: fruit) in breaks would be nice.	Apr 11, 2012 2:28 AM
9	There MUST be a focus on people being respectful and having professional courtesy. Passionate debate is fine, welcomed. The bullying and intimidation is ridiculous. In many working groups loud=right. It's sad. The IETF will end up not getting any new blood if the mood an attitude is adjusted. Many members have a very bad case of Bastard Operator Syndrome and have been doing this for WAY too long.	Apr 11, 2012 1:44 AM
10	Re-introduction of social event; improve beverage handling during breaks	Apr 11, 2012 12:57 AM
11	support addition of beer-n-gear style event as an alternative to a paid social or the welcome reception	Apr 11, 2012 12:50 AM
12	It is fine. People are tending to speak too fast.	Apr 10, 2012 8:58 PM
13	I would prefer fewer parallel sessions, spread over more days -- there were several sessions I could not attend due to being scheduled simultaneously with others I needed to be at.	Apr 10, 2012 8:07 PM
14	more usable break-out spaces where small teams can work	Apr 10, 2012 7:18 PM
15	more facilities (chairs, tables, poweroutlets) outside meeting rooms to support group/drafting/discussion meetings	Apr 10, 2012 6:28 PM
16	A more thorough check of the venue including check if the claimed hotel rating matches the actual. That is not the case for the Concorde for example. Do not understand me wrong, I often stay in hotels that rate 1 or 2 stars but are honest about that. A venue that is intentionally dishonest in their advertising is likely to	Apr 10, 2012 5:31 PM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	cause other trouble later on.	
17	Make very sure there are places outside the meeting rooms for people to sit. This is obvious and imperative and yet has been trouble more than once during recent meetings.	Apr 10, 2012 5:07 PM
18	11:30 lunch is too early.	Apr 10, 2012 4:53 PM
19	Different hotel, forward network reconnaissance. Larger rooms...MPLS was standing/sitting room only. Better remote attendance (audio/webex for every session).	Apr 10, 2012 3:49 PM
20	No video streaming? Aren't we in the XXI century already?	Apr 10, 2012 3:43 PM
21	free T shirts	Apr 10, 2012 3:43 PM
22	Nothing specific.	Apr 10, 2012 3:40 PM
23	T-Shirts. Do I need to say more?	Apr 10, 2012 3:19 PM
24	change nothing :D	Apr 10, 2012 2:29 PM
25	give us 10 minutes between slots. Sometimes I think we had only 5.	Apr 10, 2012 2:02 PM
26	Use Hilton Hotels, shorten plenaries.	Apr 10, 2012 1:58 PM
27	More sensitivity to people who are not 'the old guard' (the scim BoF was a notable exception in this respect). Altho I personally might consider myself 'the old guard' I find it grates to hear 'the old guard' consuming a large part of meeting time (esp. plenaries) with back-chat and informality that must bewilder newbies (e.g. referring to people by first names must be completely opaque to newbies).	Apr 10, 2012 1:41 PM
28	I am quite pleased with how the meetings operate. I guess my only comment would be that it would be great if there was more regular access to drinks like water, coffee and tea throughout the day, rather than just having them available at snack times.	Apr 10, 2012 1:26 PM
29	I did like the suggestion to shorten the plenaries, especially since the meeting slots were so crowded.	Apr 10, 2012 1:14 PM
30	Two screens in every room, with Jabber log on one.	Apr 10, 2012 1:12 PM
31	I think every meeting should have the t-shirt design contest. That was the best shirt since IETF 74.	Apr 10, 2012 12:58 PM
32	List other cheaper choices for hotel closer to the venue.	Apr 10, 2012 12:48 PM
33	Concorde hotel was too expensive and rooms were of poor quality. IETF should negotiate better rate at better hotel.	Apr 10, 2012 12:48 PM
34	Have coffee available at all times. Don't have venue employees get in the way of getting coffee (ridiculously long queues). As is, I always have to bring a thermos. That hurts my back (and tastes awful).	Apr 10, 2012 12:44 PM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

35	More regional diversity. Please note increasing number of participants from Asia, yet the next meeting in Asia is in 2015... Also, I would prefer that meeting be held in cheaper locations. For example, I suppose there are other more reasonably priced locations in France than Paris.	Apr 10, 2012 12:30 PM
36	More Chairs & tables in open spaces, near power, etc. There was a lot of these at the Palais, could have been more because they were always quite busy! It's a good feature to ensure at all IETF meetings.	Apr 10, 2012 12:25 PM
37	Favor Prague!	Apr 10, 2012 12:23 PM
38	Lunch slot scheduling is becoming a problem. The security directorate met opposite an ISOC session on Security during the Tue lunch slot (I'm not a SecDir member, but a colleague who was inconvenienced by this is). Similarly, the sponsor presentation on storage was scheduled for Thu lunch opposite the Transport Directorate meeting, which is the IETF area in which storage work is done. This is probably a symptom of a larger problem of not enough hours/day or days/week for everything that should happen during an IETF meeting week.	Apr 10, 2012 12:22 PM
39	Stop scheduling Friday afternoon meetings. It is preferable to have the meeting end by noon on Friday.	Apr 10, 2012 12:21 PM
40	Lunch on Friday. During lunch time. Like, noon or so. The current Friday schedule has been brutal -- I have to choose between missing meetings or showing up but being so sugar crashed that I can't effectively participate. Neither is a good outcome.	Apr 10, 2012 12:15 PM
41	Better food and beverage. Snacks in the morning and afternoon, constant coffee / water availability throughout the day.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
42	Even though I never take the IETF hotel, I think it is valuable to have the meeting place with a joint lobby for the hotel and the meeting place. When it is not the case, it is harder to meet people that tend to spread between hotels and meeting venue.	Apr 10, 2012 12:14 PM
43	Input from the attendees rather than chairs about time slot conflicts. Chairs should actually chair the meetings and keep discussions on track.	Apr 10, 2012 12:13 PM
44	Make pick hotels that do not charge non-refundable booking fees!	Apr 10, 2012 12:11 PM
45	Have 'em all in Dublin.	Apr 10, 2012 12:10 PM
46	Some meeting rooms were overcrowded. I could not find a seat for a couple of them.	Apr 10, 2012 11:49 AM
47	Reduce plenary time.	Apr 10, 2012 11:48 AM
48	more in room seat space (!= more seats!)	Apr 10, 2012 11:46 AM
49	Pretty good! Some WGs could be more efficient. Glad to see us doing more for remote attendees.	Apr 10, 2012 11:44 AM
50	I understand the need to charge for t-shirts and I think the purchase and delivery system worked very well (THANKS!) However, as I was registering, I saw at	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

least two other registrants who were apparently not familiar with the need to purchase their t-shirt in advance, and as a result they didn't get one. There must be a better way to notify/explain this procedure to non-English speakers.

51	Better food options in the meeting area near to registration desk even if it is expensive.	Apr 10, 2012 11:43 AM
52	Move back to having a single plenary. Two nites of 3 hour plenaries is just too much. Have the IAB schedule the tech topic for lunch on Monday and combine the admin aspects of IAB with mgmt plenary on Wed.	Apr 10, 2012 11:41 AM
53	Better control of air conditioning - it was too cold	Apr 10, 2012 11:40 AM
54	Clearly states whether Friday afternoon is part of the IETF meeting or not. And don't change it everytime (based on location??)	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
55	Provide an on-line mobile-friendly tool to help know where meetings are, who is participating where, etc	Apr 10, 2012 11:39 AM
56	Done by 630pm every day. Done by noon on Friday. Make it work. Just say "no" to people's requests for more time.	Apr 10, 2012 11:37 AM