Q1 How useful did you find the IETF 97 working group sessions and technical discussions?

Answered: 190   Skipped: 2

| Answer Choices	Responses	
Very useful	**68.42%**	130
Moderately useful	**28.95%**	55
Not particularly useful	**1.58%**	3
Not useful at all	**0.00%**	0
Did not attend	**1.05%**	2
Total		**190**

#	Please provide any details about the meeting that prompted your response	Date
1	It's much easier to build consensus face-to-face	1/19/2017 11:04 PM
2	Too many key experts working on YANG models for Traffic Engineered networks were missing and this has limited opportunity for very useful discussions	12/12/2016 1:37 AM
3	Really enjoyed the talks at the plenary -- very useful.	12/7/2016 10:47 AM
4	Technical Plenary was extremely useful.	12/7/2016 7:15 AM
5	many usual suspects not coming to the meeting, some WG didn't meet or were in reduced form	12/7/2016 3:08 AM
6	Quic, lpwan and core had useful discussions.	12/7/2016 1:42 AM
7	IETF is tending to become too "formalized" in the WG-Sessesions. The not-so-formal meetings seemed partly more productive, see also the "[97attendees] I liked the shared conference room" thread on the mailing list.	12/7/2016 12:42 AM
8	BoF (DNSBUNDLED) Bar BoF on Deployment Issues of Internationalized e-mails	12/6/2016 11:33 PM
9	Remote attendance of CoRE and ACE meetings.	12/6/2016 10:56 PM

10	Three times in three different working groups events during the meeting when I raised a question on mic and I was afraid of raising question but when for the first time I raised the question in V6OPs working gorup and the chair of the working group call me back on mic and had a little discussion that really prompted me. Similarly in Dprive working group when I have discussed some details about the scope of the privacy considerations with the seniors in that group.	12/6/2016 10:52 PM
11	Active discussion, but some key person was not there.	12/6/2016 5:42 PM
12	The Privacy Tutorial on Sunday was excellent. The technical plenary also was very, very good.	12/6/2016 12:05 PM
13	This was a very efficient meeting, with the venue not getting in the way at all.	12/6/2016 11:49 AM
14	Overall, this was the most effective IETF meeting I've attended as an AD. Lots of reasons for that, but very little got in the way, with one exception (not a lot of opportunities for chance encounters).	12/6/2016 10:51 AM
15	A remote participation in one particular working group meeting was exactly what I was looking for.	12/6/2016 10:45 AM
16	I enjoyed the plenary session most	12/6/2016 10:33 AM
17	Rooms had good sound isolation from hallways and neighboring audio reinforcement (usually). To better support remote participants, many chairs shut down all but the one, closest, center mic line. Consider only providing that mic at future sessions.	12/6/2016 10:31 AM
18	i pick and choose	12/6/2016 10:21 AM

Q2 How would you rate the location?
(Seoul, South Korea)

Answered: 190   Skipped: 2

Answer Choices	Responses	
Excellent	**35.79%**	68
Very good	**30.00%**	57
Good	**21.58%**	41
Fair	**6.84%**	13
Poor	**2.11%**	4
Was not in Seoul - Participated remotely	**3.68%**	7
Total		190

#	Please provide any details about the location that prompted your response	Date
1	Inexpensive, good food, friendly, convenient	1/19/2017 11:04 PM
2	Simply didn't like the area, seems like there was nothing interesting to do.	12/9/2016 2:45 AM
3	Hotels were fairly expensive; not many options for cheaper hotels. Otherwise reasonable.	12/7/2016 10:47 AM
4	I prefer someplace cheaper.	12/7/2016 5:10 AM
5	Besides the long flight from "almost everywhere", it's an absolutely appropriate location for the IETF. Everything worked fine, and it was easy to get around.	12/7/2016 12:33 AM
6	It was a new world for me, although I have been in Dubai three times. But the natural beauty of Seoul was awesome....	12/6/2016 10:52 PM
7	Good transport , good food and safe!	12/6/2016 5:42 PM

8	Long trip from North America, otherwise a wonderful location	12/6/2016 3:50 PM
9	It was easy to get to, but I ended up with almost all of my meals in the mall which is probably not what I would normally do.	12/6/2016 2:36 PM
10	Not too difficult access from the airport, reasonable alternate hotels that weren't far away, and a very nice meeting venue.	12/6/2016 2:30 PM
11	Conference site was fine. Offsites... not so much.	12/6/2016 1:50 PM
12	No direct flights from New Zealand	12/6/2016 12:55 PM
13	The space was tight compared to other IETF meeting venues.	12/6/2016 12:20 PM
14	Some rooms at times with daylight, good food, friendly hosts, great views.	12/6/2016 12:05 PM
15	A wonderful place, even if we couldn't quite get the locals to participate as much as in other areas.	12/6/2016 11:49 AM
16	Seoul was fine, hotel location not so much (few good restaurants nearby)	12/6/2016 11:47 AM
17	I was worried about the nuclear treats in the area	12/6/2016 11:20 AM
18	I like Seoul granted I'm so busy and rarely go out that I'm not sure I care where the meeting was held.	12/6/2016 11:13 AM
19	The venue was very good and it was nice to have the part and the river close by. The area was a bit drab with a somewhat limited choice of restaurants.	12/6/2016 11:03 AM
20	Good access to transport, food, hotel room. Internet was good. Meeting room temperature and comfort good.	12/6/2016 11:02 AM
21	Absent a purposeful effort, we don't really interact with the city much, except during the arrival and departure phases. The distance (and, relatedly, cost) of travel to/from the airport was a little large. Additionally, it appeared the air quality was a little sub-standard.	12/6/2016 10:45 AM
22	Could have been in a more central part of the city.	12/6/2016 10:35 AM
23	Kensington hotel wasnt so great. The rooms were not clean.apart from that i loved the people, food and experience	12/6/2016 10:33 AM
24	Seoul was fine. The financial district that we were in was sterile.	12/6/2016 10:31 AM
25	Seoul is hard to get to, and food options are very limited for vegetarians. I have never been able to get decent food when visiting Seoul. I realize that it is advantageous for Asian travelers, but personally I think Yokohama is highly preferable.	12/6/2016 10:30 AM
26	The finance district location seemed a little less conducive to walking to a large selection of local restaurants than some other places we've been.	12/6/2016 10:21 AM
27	Interesting country, though I didn't see too much of it because of the workload. Infrastructure worked really well, and the place was clean (except maybe the air). Biggest complaint is how far it is from home.	12/6/2016 10:19 AM
28	Although a long way from EU/US, was easily accessible. Food options particularly good in Seoul.	12/6/2016 10:18 AM

Q3 How would you rate the venue? (Conrad Hilton Seoul)

Answered: 190   Skipped: 2

Answer Choices	Responses	
Excellent	**35.26%**	67
Very good	**33.16%**	63
Good	**18.95%**	36
Fair	**7.89%**	15
Poor	**1.05%**	2
Was not in Seoul - Participated remotely	**3.68%**	7
Total		190

| #	Please provide any details about the venue that prompted your response	Date
1	Meeting rooms were too hot	12/16/2016 2:29 PM
2	The spaces (e.g., tables) for offline discussions were not too much	12/12/2016 1:37 AM
3	Moving around between sessions and at the hotel was extremely time consuming, due to the lack of stairs and the load on the lifts.	12/9/2016 2:45 AM
4	Soundproofing was merely okay - some sessions were disturbed by neighboring rooms. Elevators were crap. Food situation was pretty good though. :)	12/8/2016 12:40 PM
5	Rooms were too hot. Lobby was a bit small for ad-hoc conversations. Local food was delightful.	12/8/2016 11:17 AM
6	Room seperation (noise-wise) was bad	12/8/2016 4:19 AM
7	not enough tables/chairs to work outside the meeting rooms	12/7/2016 11:07 PM

8	there was no 'maun lobby' or Smith like that - a place when people could sit and see/meet their colleagues passing by..	12/7/2016 10:48 AM
9	Nice hotel, but elevators were very slow. Never clear if there were stairs connecting the 5th and 6th floors.	12/7/2016 10:47 AM
10	Accessibility between 5th floor and 6th floor was very bad.	12/7/2016 7:15 AM
11	The hotel was a bit over-the-top and the layout wasn't great, but the meeting rooms were fine.	12/7/2016 7:11 AM
12	I didn't like the lack of an actual lounge area, or the fact that the 6th floor wasn't accessible by stairs.	12/7/2016 6:05 AM
13	restrooms not conveniently located on any floor. Guest rooms were among the best we've had.	12/7/2016 5:54 AM
14	Lots side meeting places. Meals were extremely convenient	12/7/2016 5:10 AM
15	Very good hotel, good meeting rooms, elevators a little slow and not enough stairs	12/7/2016 3:08 AM
16	Due to the meetings being on different floors and the lifts going between the floors being somewhat awkward, it felt somewhat harder to socialise and meet people compared to the other IETFs. E.g. Berlin seems to be particularly good in this regard.	12/7/2016 2:02 AM
17	Good breakfast, good meeting rooms, good staff. Rooms were rather disjoint though without a common social space.	12/7/2016 1:23 AM
18	The only drawback was that breaks were spread over three floors, which reduces the social/technical interaction.	12/7/2016 1:20 AM
19	Didn't stay at the hotel (mostly because of the price), but the meeting services were really good!	12/7/2016 12:33 AM
20	not enough armchairs and tables in the corridors	12/7/2016 12:25 AM
21	So moderate irritation was the lack of stairs to upper meeting room floor. I also missed a casual bar in the hotel, in Berlin, the lobby bar was an easy way of meeting up with people for a chat, that would not otherwise happen.	12/7/2016 12:21 AM
22	The only negative aspect was the lack of a large common hall to give a chance to random encounters,	12/6/2016 11:38 PM
23	The venue was very interesting, but my reason of rating it excellent is that I have food restriction and there was IFC mall adjacent to the Conrad Hilton where different kinds of fruit available. This was like first aid service against my hunger so this is why Conrad location near to that mall was an excellent choice according to me by the officials for organizing this wonderful IETF event.	12/6/2016 10:52 PM
24	Will mark excellent if we had stairs among all meeting floors.	12/6/2016 5:42 PM
25	Would have been excellent if the accommodation at the hotel was cheaper	12/6/2016 5:15 PM
26	- No enough tables and no chair-desks, which are essential in an ICT meeting! - Never coming elevators and no stairs connecting all the floors with meeting rooms. - Toilets too far from the meeting rooms. - Little "shared" space for the coffee/lunch breaks and informal meetings.	12/6/2016 4:44 PM
27	It would be much better if the room rate was inexpensive.	12/6/2016 4:28 PM
28	Split across multiple floors is not ideal, but facility otherwise very nice.	12/6/2016 3:50 PM
29	A bit high on cost. The move between floor 6 and the other floors was difficult.	12/6/2016 2:36 PM
30	Sure wish there were easier access between floors 5 and 6. That would have made it perfect.	12/6/2016 2:30 PM
31	Moving between sessions wasn't the easiest because the rooms were spread onto three floors and the elevators were a challenge.	12/6/2016 2:11 PM
32	It was easy to navigate although the limited meeting/sitting space was a bit of a problem.	12/6/2016 2:09 PM
33	The lifts weren't great, everything else was good.	12/6/2016 2:06 PM
34	The venue had lots of open spaces useful for side meetings.	12/6/2016 1:50 PM
35	Sofas in break area very good for taking a nap! However audio poor in some rooms (e.g. ICNrg on Friday), too quiet. I used the audio stream instead.	12/6/2016 12:16 PM
36	Needs a bar or similar meet and gossip area	12/6/2016 12:06 PM
37	Very helpful staff. The only little nuisance were the elevator queues.	12/6/2016 11:49 AM
38	Great hotel and venue, generally pleased. Wish the sound isolation between neighboring rooms was a little better, though.	12/6/2016 11:48 AM
39	many floors and overloaded elevators were not too great	12/6/2016 11:47 AM
40	It was difficult to find a quiet spot to talk. It seemed very crowded during breaks.	12/6/2016 11:31 AM

41	The distribution (several floors) was ok, but the elevators were really slow...	12/6/2016 11:29 AM
42	silently closing meeting room doors were great compared to other venues	12/6/2016 11:20 AM
43	Venue needed more areas, sofas, etc.. where to sit outside the session rooms.	12/6/2016 11:17 AM
44	I mean this was over the top nice.	12/6/2016 11:13 AM
45	The elevators were atrocious, with long delays to travel between floors, and in many cases no easy alternative via the stairs.	12/6/2016 11:06 AM
46	Very comfortable.	12/6/2016 10:53 AM
47	We really didn't have a place to lurk looking for people like we did in Berlin, and we had many more opportunities for two-person impromptu meetings than for 4-5-person impromptu meetings. Other than that, it was lovely.	12/6/2016 10:51 AM
48	Clean, friendly staff, generally soundproof meeting rooms, etc.	12/6/2016 10:45 AM
49	This has 3 positive ratings and 2 negative (assuming that 'fair' counts as negative, which it probably doesn't). That's biased.	12/6/2016 10:41 AM
50	The layout of the hotel was not conducive to bumping into people and having unplanned meetings. Berlin venue was a lot better.	12/6/2016 10:38 AM
51	The meeting rooms were fine, but there wasn't enough space outside the meeting rooms like Pacifico B or Wintergarten at the past two meetings.	12/6/2016 10:33 AM
52	Meeting rooms were fine. The lack of a good lounge for meeting people hurt. The lack of bars in the hotel for holding quick meetings or meeting people for social contact was damaging.	12/6/2016 10:31 AM
53	Really (really) expensive, and (of course) quickly full.	12/6/2016 10:30 AM
54	Expensive overall :-(	12/6/2016 10:24 AM
55	The hotel was beautiful. The area around it seemed a bit empty.	12/6/2016 10:23 AM
56	no good bar to hang out in after-hours.	12/6/2016 10:22 AM
57	Spreading the meeting room layout over multiple floors with what felt like narrow hallways and somewhat isolated meeting rooms made it a bit more difficult to bump into people unexpectedly. A more open floor plan might have been more helpful in fostering unplanned encounters.	12/6/2016 10:21 AM
58	Great hotel. Biggest complaint was how poorly the elevators worked, but otherwise it was wonderful. Great breakfast, great location (lots of restaurants within walking distance), great conference facilities.	12/6/2016 10:19 AM
59	Why no staircase between 5 & 6?! Big problem to move between sessions.	12/6/2016 10:18 AM

Q4 If you attended the following events, how useful did you find them?

Answered: 180   Skipped: 12

	Extremely useful	**Useful**	**Not useful**	**Not at all useful**	**Did not attend**	**Total**	**Weighted Average**
Bits-N-Bites	**14.61%** 26	**43.82%** 78	**6.74%** 12	**2.81%** 5	**32.02%** 57	178	2.94
Thursday Host Speaker Series	**8.57%** 15	**18.86%** 33	**2.86%** 5	**0.57%** 1	**69.14%** 121	175	4.03
IETF Hackathon	**9.30%** 16	**11.05%** 19	**0.58%** 1	**0.58%** 1	**78.49%** 135	172	4.28
Sunday Tutorials	**9.66%** 17	**11.36%** 20	**1.14%** 2	**0.00%** 0	**77.84%** 137	176	4.25

#	Suggestions for improvements to these events (please make sure to note which event your comment pertains to):	Date
1	As usual, the presentation skills of the "Bits-n-Bites" participants were appalling. They need to do a much better job of describing what they do. Text slides filled with many long bullet points just don't cut it; nobody reads those.	12/22/2016 4:40 PM
2	Host speech was not my topic, but i think hosts should continue to be granted that privilege to motivate them sponsoring meetings.	12/7/2016 12:33 AM
3	The DNS tutorial was the best for a long time.	12/6/2016 12:16 PM
4	Unfortunately for some reason I ended up missing Thursday's lunch speaker as "uninteresting" even though I had picked up the topic as very interesting from some pre-announcement (likely from Nov 16th email). I don't know if it would have helped but now that I check, the agenda isn't particularly helpful remainder as it basically contains no info whatsoever about the topic.	12/6/2016 11:55 AM
5	May be just a content issue, but I found the Bits-N-Bites to be less informational then past ones.	12/6/2016 11:52 AM
6	It would be good to include the Thursday speaker slides in the proceedings.	12/6/2016 10:35 AM
7	Hackathon could usefully publish much more details of aims and objectives and opportunities to participate.	12/6/2016 10:30 AM

Q5 Did you use Meetecho to participate in any IETF 97 sessions?

Answered: 188   Skipped: 4

| Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes, while participating in Seoul	**23.40%**	44
Yes, while participating remotely	**5.32%**	10
No	**71.28%**	134
Total		**188**

#	Please share any comments about your experience using Meetecho for IETF 97.	Date
1	I used both in Seoul and then while away (did not stay for whole meeting on site).	12/8/2016 12:40 PM
2	I hope transcription (Google, Apple and Microsoft are already have voice recognition system, that means no human resources required) will be provided in the near future.	12/7/2016 7:15 AM
3	Meetecho is great!	12/7/2016 1:31 AM
4	Wonderful	12/7/2016 12:37 AM
5	Very good, including the service provided by the Meetecho team. I also used the presenters mode, and had an equally positive experience. Please continue to use Meetecho!	12/6/2016 10:56 PM
6	meetecho and etherpad now 'just work' and are fun to use. - thanks to the meetecho team for their efforts.	12/6/2016 9:47 PM
7	Excellent once I had disabled a rogue plug-in	12/6/2016 6:21 PM
8	Was trying to test for remote colleagues while acting as Jabber scribe. The log in process is long and tortuous, could do with a simple test facility that does not need log in. The working browsers changed at this meeting from Firefox and Chrome to just Chrome. Not sure why.	12/6/2016 12:16 PM
9	Good!	12/6/2016 12:09 PM
10	This has come to be the reliable tool for remote participation. No hiccups at all this time...	12/6/2016 11:49 AM
11	We had a couple of times where the laptops died on us, but it got fixed quickly. I'm afraid the remote participation is starting to work too well ;)	12/6/2016 11:13 AM
12	Meetecho is pretty good	12/6/2016 10:57 AM
13	Best meeting for them yet, especially for remote presenters. Very few fumbles that I saw.	12/6/2016 10:51 AM

| 14	From my room. Didn't work. Although I had good Internet access overall, from the room, the Meetecho session constantly froze.	12/6/2016 10:41 AM
15	Even though I got two cuts, now the recovery time is very fast, so this meant almost no loss on session content. However, automatic recovery of the streams should be improved.	12/6/2016 10:34 AM
16	Super!	12/6/2016 10:30 AM
17	it keeps getting better	12/6/2016 10:21 AM

Q6 How many IETF meetings have you participated in?

Answer Choices	Responses	
IETF 97 was my first meeting.	**11.98%**	23
5 or fewer	**16.15%**	31
More than 5	**71.88%**	138
Total		**192**

Q7 If you participated in the following programs, how helpful were they?

Answered: 45   Skipped: 147

|	Extremely helpful	Moderately helpful	Somewhat helpful	Slightly helpful	Not at all helpful	Do not participate	Total	Weighted Average
Speed Mentoring	**23.81%** 10	**7.14%** 3	**0.00%** 0	**0.00%** 0	**0.00%** 0	**69.05%** 29	42	4.52
IETF Organized Mentoring	**16.67%** 7	**16.67%** 7	**0.00%** 0	**0.00%** 0	**0.00%** 0	**66.67%** 28	42	4.50
Monday Newcomers Dinner	**11.11%** 5	**6.67%** 3	**2.22%** 1	**6.67%** 3	**0.00%** 0	**73.33%** 33	45	4.98
Newcomers Tutorial	**22.22%** 10	**6.67%** 3	**0.00%** 0	**4.44%** 2	**0.00%** 0	**66.67%** 30	45	4.53
Newcomers Meet & Greet	**17.78%** 8	**6.67%** 3	**6.67%** 3	**4.44%** 2	**2.22%** 1	**62.22%** 28	45	4.53

Q8 Please share any ideas you have to improve the IETF Newcomers experience.

Answered: 9   Skipped: 183

#	Responses	Date
1	I work for a large network vendor, so have lots of people who attend IETF, but the whole process is somewhat daunting (this was my 4th). Some random ideas: - Perhaps have a designated area (e.g. by the XXX table @ 12.30 or 7 pm), for newcomers to be able to get together to go out for lunch or dinner.	12/7/2016 2:08 AM
2	There may be a co-ordinator to co-ordinate day-to day activities of Newcomers and first time fellows	12/6/2016 11:36 PM
3	It will be great if there is a schedule of ISOC events available along with IETF agenda on registration desk.	12/6/2016 10:57 PM
4	It is good	12/6/2016 5:49 PM
5	For not native speakers, the text of their speaking will be helpful.	12/6/2016 3:57 PM
6	Newcomers Meet & Greet was noisy and extremely crowded. It was very difficult to talk to people.	12/6/2016 11:32 AM
7	presentations from work group chairs about their groups , what they work on etc	12/6/2016 10:35 AM
8	Putting things on the weekend before the meetings makes it difficult to attend when travelling from North America to Asia.	12/6/2016 10:25 AM
9	Welcome people in and encourage them to get involved in sessions. There's a bit of clubbiness in some of the working groups, with the same 10 people doing the vast majority of the work, which is likely problematic. Representatives of the IETF should avoid using words like "tourists" to describe people who don't participate in technical discussions, for whatever reason, because it sets a tone of exclusivity that is not conducive to participation by newcomers.	12/6/2016 10:21 AM

## Q9 How well prepared were you for the meeting?

Answered: 50   Skipped: 142

	Very well prepared	Well prepared	Somewhat prepared	Not very well prepared	Not at all prepared	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	**8.00%** 4	**40.00%** 20	**52.00%** 26	**0.00%** 0	**0.00%** 0	50	2.44

| #	Please provide any specific comments about your response.	Date
1	I gave a presentation that had to be prepared.	12/7/2016 3:08 PM
2	Most of the time before coming to the working group event, I first have to have a quick go through of the drafts that was part of the next day session. But, this is not true regarding me about all the working groups sessions.	12/6/2016 10:57 PM
3	i tried to follow the wg discussions	12/6/2016 10:35 AM
4	I wish I had more time to prepare.	12/6/2016 10:21 AM

Q10 Did you apply for a visa to attend this meeting?

Answered: 188   Skipped: 4

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	**5.32%**	10
No	**94.68%**	178
Total		**188**

Q11 Please rate the difficulty of your experience applying for a visa.

Answered: 10   Skipped: 182

Answer Choices	Responses	
1. Very Easy	**10.00%**	1
2. Easy	**20.00%**	2
3. Moderate	**20.00%**	2
4. Difficult	**20.00%**	2
5. Very Difficult	**30.00%**	3
Total		**10**

#	Please provide any details about your experience in obtaining a visa.	Date
1	The official local LOI does not work.	12/22/2016 1:19 AM
2	Actually, the visa processing time was very short. It took just three days in having Korean Visa, but the documents that were required from ISOC, were of many types. Every time I was disturbing my coordinator to arrange document X, Y and Z. but I am really thankful to my coordinator who helped me a lot throughout the Visa process.	12/6/2016 11:02 PM
3	worst visa application process I ever had, Schengen and USA visas are like 10 times easier to get then Korea Visa	12/6/2016 11:10 AM
4	They required a police clearance which took me time to acquire	12/6/2016 10:36 AM

Q12 What region are you from?

Answered: 188   Skipped: 4

Answer Choices	Responses	
Africa	**1.60%**	3
Asia	**11.17%**	21
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania	**3.72%**	7
Europe	**33.51%**	63
Latin America (Mexico, CentralAmerica, South America,Caribbean)	**4.26%**	8
Middle East	**1.60%**	3
US and Canada	**44.15%**	83
Total		**188**

Q13 We are continuously working to improve the IETF meeting experience. Please use the box below to make any general suggestions for improvements to the IETF meeting experience.

Answered: 47   Skipped: 145

#	Responses	Date
1	I like having only one plenary	1/19/2017 11:05 PM
2	Earlier contact with the host, make sure the LOI can work for all attendees.	12/22/2016 1:21 AM
3	You all are doing a wonderful job. It's hard to accommodate so many requests and preferences. An impossible task!	12/9/2016 10:01 AM
4	Better vegetarian options for food.	12/7/2016 3:09 PM
5	those screens next to each room showing the room name - would it be possible to show the session name as well? (I assume it would depend on the system used by the hotel...)	12/7/2016 10:50 AM
6	Supply suggestions for a range of hotels at different price points. I went with an ETTIE-recommended hotel as I had no prior experience with Korea. Send out suggestions for spots to get food a week or two in advance; the info about sandwiches at the hotel came out late Monday morning.	12/7/2016 10:50 AM
7	I find having a venue that provides breakfast is extremely useful, which gives opportunities to people who normally don't talk to each other to randomly sit together, to talk about technologies in areas that they normally don't participate.	12/7/2016 8:47 AM
8	Repeat again, but transcript via voice recognition system is very welcome.	12/7/2016 7:17 AM
9	Please avoid tour attraction places. Please go back to places like Minneapolis.	12/7/2016 5:17 AM
10	Agenda should be published much earlier so that travel can be arranged better.	12/7/2016 3:58 AM
11	Social space - issue raised on mtgvenue list.	12/7/2016 1:25 AM
12	In my opinion the IETF is tending to become too "formalized" in the WG-Sessesions. The not-so-formal meetings seemed partly more productive, see also the "[97attendees] I liked the shared conference room" thread on the mailing list.	12/7/2016 12:43 AM
13	The social event was a good opportunity for mingling, please consider reintroducing it.	12/7/2016 12:42 AM
14	nothing to complain :)	12/7/2016 12:35 AM
15	It would be better to find venues with a smaller room rate as often its not compatible with company policy	12/7/2016 12:29 AM
16	provide more tips on the local public transportation in the invitation letter	12/7/2016 12:28 AM
17	Inclusiveness,We may consider to Host IETF in SAARC region,INDIA may be a good place	12/6/2016 11:37 PM
18	It was my first meeting and I believe that It was more a learning and exposure event for me. So, I believe that this question is beyond my observations and experience with the IETF.	12/6/2016 11:13 PM
19	I've already suggested a 24 hrs Meetecho drop-in session (e.g. in the IETF lounge) for real Meetecho testing and, who knows, casual meetings.	12/6/2016 6:22 PM
20	- I know it is difficult but to avoid time slot conflict especially in the same area should be considered more. - It's might be better to have more time to interact with new comers and fellows.	12/6/2016 5:53 PM
21	air quality was a distracting issue. lower attendance calls into question 1-1-1	12/6/2016 5:26 PM
22	Making sure that presentations for a meeting slot are all uploaded before the meeting.	12/6/2016 5:17 PM
23	In my limited experience this was unusual, but one of the overflow hotels (the Kensingon) had extremely poorly maintained rooms and facilities (decades old worn carpets, poorly maintained furniture, frayed and torn bathroom towels, etc.). While the common areas of the hotel were beautiful, the private rooms were not up to the standards of even a basic business travel hotel. It's not clear to me whether this was a failure to evaluate the hotel well enough, or deliberate dishonesty on the part of the hotel management during the evaluation.	12/6/2016 5:00 PM

24	I dunno about previous venues but this one looked too expensive for the purpose. A dedicated business or meeting center attached or very close to enough accommodation for the attendants (1000 rooms?) would be much-much better.	12/6/2016 4:49 PM
25	Meeting room floors should be accessible without using elevators (and with elevators who need them).	12/6/2016 4:31 PM
26	There should be more time between wg meetings to permit people to get together and discuss.	12/6/2016 1:23 PM
27	I really liked the "lounge" are at the Montreal meeting where there was a space for several small meetings with bottled water always available.	12/6/2016 12:22 PM
28	The process for getting wire transfer invoices depends on personal intervention, and due to time difference can take a while. Could that be automated based on user registration data? Can a field also be added for legal company name for payment, as well as a company 'known as', which could be different in large organisations?	12/6/2016 12:20 PM
29	This IETF was near perfect, except for a lack of a go-to space for serendipitous meetings.	12/6/2016 11:50 AM
30	Provide similar A/V support to interim meetings. Remote participation there remains a challenge.	12/6/2016 11:49 AM
31	IETF social event has always been nice. I understand it depends on finding sponsors.	12/6/2016 11:45 AM
32	positive thing: I didn't have to leave the hotel for much negative thing: i didn't make it out of the hotel much	12/6/2016 11:32 AM
33	Please pick a hotel with useful/working elevators.	12/6/2016 11:27 AM
34	More space with tables and chairs (and perhaps whiteboards) for impromptu chats or small meetings. On-location quick cheap food. i.e. sandwich, juice, chocolates. Decaf coffee.	12/6/2016 11:02 AM
35	remote participate is crucial; beside the session/meeting, it would be nice to have some remote participation capability for "social" or virtual hall way discussion	12/6/2016 10:59 AM
36	It would be nice if the program could be fixed earlier. I know you want people to stay all week, but for those of us with limited resources that may be a hardship; attending a couple of days might be possible, but otherwise the only option is remote participation.	12/6/2016 10:55 AM
37	The use of elevators is not very convenient to get from on session to another.	12/6/2016 10:54 AM
38	It would be helpful to publish the meeting agenda earlier, since that can impact travel plans. Even if working groups don't know the exact items they will be discussing, they should generally know the information needed to plan WG sessions around the time the previous IETF ends. (So, they should have known their rough meeting requirements for IETF 97 by the end of IETF 96.) Therefore, you should have been able to formulate a tentative agenda by the time the hotel details were announced.	12/6/2016 10:48 AM
39	Improve the IETF application for cellular phones with offline service, and with better access to locations and how to reach them from a reference point (e.g. the Registration room) A messaging application to contact other (voluntarily registered) participants so as to find them when joining for lunch, dinner, a meeting or to share taxi from and to the airport. Better integration between meetecho, the agenda and meeting materials. Distribute gradually food during the breaks so as to have something left for those who arrive later after their meeting has ended overtime. Add more fresh fruits and fruit salad to the coffee break menu, but keep the cookies. Add more natural juice as beverages. You can keep statistics on food consumption profiles of IETFers...	12/6/2016 10:43 AM
40	More informal meeting areas would be nice. Or even a common room with dividers and small tables where small groups can meet would be great.	12/6/2016 10:42 AM
41	5-6 parallel sessions requires an optimization of the agenda. Everybody should be able to enter during registration the sessions he/she is interested in and are proposed to avoid overlapping.	12/6/2016 10:41 AM
42	Better organized "grab and go" lunch option.	12/6/2016 10:36 AM
43	The meeting was very well run. You guys do a fantastic job.	12/6/2016 10:31 AM
44	Meetings in Asia and Eastern Europe: cheap and service is great!	12/6/2016 10:26 AM
45	good venue, though there are less expensive places in asia.	12/6/2016 10:24 AM
46	Make sure the host is able to organize the dinner event	12/6/2016 10:22 AM
47	I like the 9:30 start, it made getting in from a hotel 20 mins away quite easy, whilst not impacting too much on WG time. However, we did seem to finish quite early most days - could have had more WG sessions.	12/6/2016 10:20 AM

Q14 In our IETF 98 meeting survey, what additional questions should we ask?

Answered: 26   Skipped: 166

#	Responses	Date
1	Did your attendance to the meeting depend on the location?	12/27/2016 2:55 AM
2	Do you meet any problems in English communication while participating IETF at XXX (the location). ?	12/11/2016 11:25 PM
3	Would we go back to that particular venue again?	12/9/2016 10:01 AM
4	What would encourage your organization to increase their engineering support for IETF?	12/8/2016 11:18 AM
5	Is there enough environment for people to easily chat?	12/7/2016 8:47 AM
6	Cost of travel	12/7/2016 5:17 AM
7	How long in advance do you want the agenda to be available?	12/7/2016 3:58 AM
8	hotel clustering ?	12/7/2016 3:08 AM
9	1. What was your primary reason(s) for attending IETF in person: - social networking - active participation in technical discussions - monitoring current technology trends - status updates - trying to promote new idea or technology 2. Perhaps more info about what organisation you work for.	12/7/2016 2:18 AM
10	It seems a lot of questions got pruned from previous surveys?	12/7/2016 1:25 AM
11	Questions related to preference of IETF Meeting venue	12/6/2016 11:37 PM
12	I want to be a little brief about this question. I wish you can grab the question that I want to suggest. After attending the IETF 97 event, when I meet my co-researchers and class fellows, I start explaining them about the whole event activities just to encourage them to apply. My aim of doing this was not just to contribute to the IETF through mailing list, drafts but to contribute to IETF in the other way around by bringing these brains to become part of one of the future IETF event. For this when they ask me when you came back there next question is always that "what was happening in the Event?". And I always adopt a careful behavior while answer to this question by my co-researchers and class-fellows. So, I believe that there should be a question that when sharing our IETF experience with our fellows than what kind of behavioral approach someone adopt?	12/6/2016 11:13 PM
13	Food	12/6/2016 6:35 PM
14	Candidate cities for future meetings	12/6/2016 5:17 PM
15	Separate the original country (are you from) from the living country (are you coming from).	12/6/2016 4:49 PM
16	was the travel time too far?	12/6/2016 2:25 PM
17	Questions about the chosen countries and about the time schedule	12/6/2016 1:23 PM
18	Ask about interim meetings since IETF 97 and start tracking information about them.	12/6/2016 11:49 AM
19	Were the blues brothers spotted driving around lower wacker drive?	12/6/2016 11:32 AM
20	Instead of asking "where are you from?", I suspect you really wanted to ask "where do you live?". Maybe ask both.	12/6/2016 11:30 AM
21	I hate to suggest this, but "did you look at the presentations at bits and bites, or just eat?" is probably good to know.	12/6/2016 10:53 AM
22	Ask if the venue is not far away from other hotels or if it was well suited to access the airport by public transport.	12/6/2016 10:43 AM
23	The meeting starting time 9am or 9:30am. IMO it should be 9am. What are people meeting location preferences? Do they have any proposals? Do they like a downtown hotel with many restaurants in the near or outside of the city near to a mall? Was the hotel rate ok or too expensive?	12/6/2016 10:41 AM
24	Did you stay in the main IETF hotel? (Why not? How inconvenient was this for you?) Were there sufficient places for you to hold informal meetings in or immediately in around the venue? (If not, what did you do?)	12/6/2016 10:37 AM
25	Ask about what cities people would like to hold the meetings.	12/6/2016 10:26 AM
26	Scheduling - personally I would prefer more meeting time with potentially fewer sessions per slot to help reduce clashes.	12/6/2016 10:20 AM