










### 1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		15.9%	82
Europe		35.5%	183
<b>North America</b>		<b>44.4%</b>	<b>229</b>
Africa		1.4%	7
Latin America/Caribbean		1.0%	5
Australia/New Zealand (Oceania)		1.9%	10
<b>answered question</b>			<b>516</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>0</b>

### 2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including IETF 70)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
<=5		37.7%	193
6 - 10		19.5%	100
>10		<b>42.8%</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>answered question</b>			<b>512</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>4</b>




### 3. Why do you attend IETF Meetings?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda		50.2%	225
Within Budget		17.6%	79
Proximity to work or home		6.9%	31
Company Support		54.5%	244
Member of IESG/IAB/IRTF/IAOC/NomCom		6.9%	31
Author/Presenter		53.1%	238
<b>Networking</b>		<b>56.3%</b>	<b>252</b>
Company Meeting		6.0%	27
Location		9.2%	41
Other (please specify)		9.8%	44
<b>answered question</b>			<b>448</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>68</b>

### 4. How many IETF Meetings did you attend in 2007?

		Response Percent	Response Count
3		45.5%	204
2		27.0%	121
1		27.5%	123
<b>answered question</b>			<b>448</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>68</b>



## 5. How many IETF Meetings do you plan to attend in 2008?

		Response Percent	Response Count
3		55.6%	249
2		27.0%	121
1		17.4%	78
answered question			448
skipped question			68

## 6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?

	More Likely	More Unlikely	Wouldn't Matter	Rating Count
Hawaii	39.3% (168)	26.7% (114)	34.0% (145)	427
Las Vegas	35.3% (148)	18.6% (78)	46.1% (193)	419
Orlando	29.5% (122)	16.4% (68)	54.1% (224)	414
Mexico	29.2% (121)	27.8% (115)	43.0% (178)	414
Caribbean	36.3% (153)	25.7% (108)	38.0% (160)	421
Nice, France	52.6% (224)	14.3% (61)	33.1% (141)	426
India	22.1% (93)	44.8% (188)	33.1% (139)	420
Thailand	26.9% (113)	38.3% (161)	34.8% (146)	420
			Why?	219
answered question				442
skipped question				74

## 7. Did you attend IETF 70 in Vancouver?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		81.9%	367
No		18.1%	81
answered question			448
skipped question			68

## 8. The IAOC outsourced the wireless infrastructure, NOC, help desk and terminal room to a contractor. How would you rate the following:

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	5.9% (23)	2.0% (8)	9.7% (38)	<b>82.4% (322)</b>	3.69	391
NOC	<b>63.6% (245)</b>	0.3% (1)	14.0% (54)	22.1% (85)	1.95	385
Help Desk	<b>75.6% (294)</b>	0.8% (3)	10.3% (40)	13.4% (52)	1.61	389
Terminal Room	<b>59.2% (229)</b>	2.6% (10)	12.1% (47)	26.1% (101)	2.05	387
answered question						392
skipped question						124

## 9. Please explain any dissatisfaction.

	Response Count
	52
answered question	52
skipped question	464

## 10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?

	Did not use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Rating Average	Rating Count
Internet service in my room	22.2% (85)	10.2% (39)	14.9% (57)	<b>52.7% (202)</b>	2.98	383
Meeting facilities	6.8% (26)	3.4% (13)	21.4% (82)	<b>68.4% (262)</b>	3.51	383
Hotel Staff	10.5% (40)	1.3% (5)	24.1% (92)	<b>64.0% (244)</b>	3.42	381
Food and beverage	6.6% (25)	17.0% (64)	35.0% (132)	<b>41.4% (156)</b>	3.11	377

Which hotel did you stay in? 287

answered question 384

skipped question 132

## 11. How would you rate the Secretariat's performance on the following?

	Did not use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	17.9% (69)	3.1% (12)	19.2% (74)	<b>59.7% (230)</b>	3.21	385
Power strips availability	11.2% (43)	10.9% (42)	23.4% (90)	<b>54.4% (209)</b>	3.21	384
Registration experience	5.5% (21)	0.5% (2)	10.2% (39)	<b>83.9% (322)</b>	3.72	384
Request for a Letter of Invitation handling	<b>80.8% (307)</b>	0.8% (3)	8.2% (31)	10.3% (39)	1.48	380

answered question 385

skipped question 131

**12. Please explain if dissatisfied with any of the foregoing.**

	<b>Response Count</b>
	81
<b>answered question</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>435</b>

**13. If the Secretariat or the IAOC could do one thing to improve the meetings or ID support performance it would be:**

	<b>Response Count</b>
	74
<b>answered question</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>442</b>

**14. Multiple communications methods were used, the 70Attendees list, the Meetings Trouble Desk: mtd@ietf.org to report problems and provide feedback, a NOC ticketing system. How would you rate their usefulness?**

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
70Attendees List	29.2% (111)	3.9% (15)	21.1% (80)	<b>42.6% (162)</b>	3.2% (12)	2.87	
mtd@ietf.org	<b>88.5% (331)</b>	0.5% (2)	5.9% (22)	4.0% (15)	1.1% (4)	1.29	
NOC Ticket System	<b>89.8% (335)</b>	0.3% (1)	5.1% (19)	3.8% (14)	1.1% (4)	1.26	

Comment

answered question

skipped question

**15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

	Did not attend	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Newcomers	<b>90.4%</b> <b>(340)</b>	0.0% (0)	1.9% (7)	5.1% (19)	2.7% (10)	1.30	
DNS Tutorial	<b>89.4%</b> <b>(338)</b>	0.5% (2)	4.2% (16)	5.0% (19)	0.8% (3)	1.27	
Intro to IETF Tools	<b>93.6%</b> <b>(351)</b>	0.0% (0)	2.4% (9)	2.4% (9)	1.6% (6)	1.18	
Inter-Domain Routing from an IETF Perspective	<b>89.7%</b> <b>(338)</b>	0.3% (1)	3.4% (13)	5.0% (19)	1.6% (6)	1.29	
Document Lifecycle Tutorial	<b>92.2%</b> <b>(345)</b>	0.3% (1)	2.4% (9)	3.7% (14)	1.3% (5)	1.22	
XML Modeling	<b>85.5%</b> <b>(319)</b>	0.8% (3)	5.1% (19)	4.8% (18)	3.8% (14)	1.40	

Training Desired:

**answered question**

**skipped question**

**16. How do you rate the Plenaries?**




	Did not attend	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wednesday (Administrative)	<b>40.0%</b> <b>(156)</b>	3.8% (15)	21.3% (83)	31.8% (124)	3.1% (12)	2.54	
Thursday (Technical)	<b>37.6%</b> <b>(146)</b>	5.2% (20)	17.0% (66)	35.3% (137)	4.9% (19)	2.65	

**answered question**




**skipped question**



**17. The meeting fee will increase to \$635 in 2008 from \$600 for Prague and Chicago. Will this impact your ability to attend meetings in 2008?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		10.7%	47
No		72.7%	320
Undecided		16.6%	73
answered question			440
skipped question			76

**18. Will you be attending IETF 71 in Philadelphia hosted by Comcast being held 9 - 14 March?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		67.3%	297
No		10.0%	44
Undecided		22.7%	100
answered question			441
skipped question			75

**19. In the future what survey question would you like asked?**

	Response Count
	72
answered question	72
skipped question	444



**Page 3, Q3. Why do you attend IETF Meetings?**

1	Community enhancement	Feb 5, 2008 6:08 PM
2	WG member (why is this not listed ? same for WG chairs, etc)	Feb 1, 2008 9:29 AM
3	staying up to date	Jan 11, 2008 6:16 PM
4	WG Chair	Jan 2, 2008 12:47 PM
5	ISOC Fellowship to IETF meeting	Dec 24, 2007 4:27 AM
6	Monitor and try to coordinate IETF document efforts and how they will effect/influence ARIN Policy in regards to IPv6	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 PM
7	Tracking and participating in developments in relevant areas.	Dec 20, 2007 8:44 AM
8	interesting	Dec 20, 2007 4:07 AM
9	WG chair	Dec 20, 2007 2:53 AM
10	While not currently authoring or presenting at this time, it is important to maintain regular contact with groups developing key standards. Critical clarifications of email'd content often takes place.	Dec 19, 2007 4:57 PM
11	- information gathering / expressing viewpoints on behalf of company's customer - hope to be more actively involved in future meetings (author/presenter)	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
12	To get needed work done	Dec 19, 2007 2:50 PM
13	from the answers you give it looks like you're conflating two things with this question. if you want to know why I attend meetings when I can, it's to help make the Internet work better. if you want to know what determines whether I'm able to attend, it's the total cost including travel, meeting fees, lodging, etc.	Dec 19, 2007 1:07 PM
14	Learning and staying in touch of developments and discussions.	Dec 19, 2007 12:49 PM
15	WG Chair	Dec 19, 2007 12:43 PM
16	WG chair	Dec 19, 2007 12:39 PM
17	To participate in the review and discussion of presentations by other authors, i.e., to participate in the standards process.	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
18	A place to "recharge batteries"	Dec 19, 2007 12:22 PM
19	They are interesting.	Dec 19, 2007 12:22 PM
20	study	Dec 17, 2007 3:11 AM
21	WG Chair	Dec 16, 2007 10:46 AM
22	I was a ISOC's fellow.	Dec 15, 2007 9:11 PM
23	Opportunity to have parallel meeting with people.	Dec 15, 2007 8:19 PM

**Page 3, Q3. Why do you attend IETF Meetings?**

24	This question makes no more sense than "Why do you breathe?" If you're asking "How do you choose which IETF meetings to attend, the answer is "all".	Dec 14, 2007 8:44 PM
25	Attending enhances ability to track and influence standards development, critical to our business.	Dec 14, 2007 6:37 PM
26	WG chair	Dec 14, 2007 5:37 PM
27	WG chair	Dec 14, 2007 11:54 AM
28	Potential to identify some trends and directions	Dec 14, 2007 11:27 AM
29	additional meetings (IEPG etc.)	Dec 14, 2007 11:22 AM
30	Educational opportunities.	Dec 14, 2007 11:06 AM
31	Education	Dec 14, 2007 9:47 AM
32	I attend not only the areas I directly work in, but areas I do not work in. The latter is useful to get different perspectives on where technology is headed and what the issues are.	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
33	Networking and collaboration with other research engineers.	Dec 14, 2007 7:14 AM
34	Global importance (as a volunteer with no company or other financial support)	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM
35	um, to do technical work?	Dec 14, 2007 3:47 AM
36	Get an overview on technical developments on different Internet related communication topics.	Dec 14, 2007 3:42 AM
37	WG chair	Dec 14, 2007 3:35 AM
38	To develop standards.	Dec 14, 2007 2:09 AM
39	Working Group Co-Chair	Dec 14, 2007 1:35 AM
40	chair of two working groups	Dec 14, 2007 1:16 AM
41	Best way to stay up to date on technology trends	Dec 14, 2007 12:45 AM
42	Furthering the Internet. Building the building blocks for creative people to do creative things with...	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
43	WG Chair	Dec 14, 2007 12:12 AM
44	WG chair	Dec 13, 2007 11:35 PM



**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

1	travel problems	Feb 5, 2008 6:08 PM
2	- Fed up with US (immigration, meeting up frequently at the same non-ideal place: San Diego (distance from hotels/restaurants), Minneapolis (not attractive, so only going if hot discussion expected) - Strange that the list above mostly propose 'tourist location': isn't there serious locations such as Tokyo, Seoul, Beijing, Paris, London, Berlin,	Feb 1, 2008 9:29 AM
3	Interesting locations	Jan 7, 2008 7:44 AM
4	Traveling is not only about work (even though that's the main reason), it's also about traveling to places with nice surroundings and having the opportunity to combine the meeting with some vacation together with the girlfriend.	Jan 7, 2008 4:05 AM
5	wont travel to states anyway long haul bad for family (I am mother of young child)	Jan 3, 2008 5:53 AM
6	long travel times/high travel cost sites are difficult to justify and budget	Jan 2, 2008 1:03 PM
7	In 2008 I will go anyway, location is a big plus for the days after the IETF. I do see exotic locations as a plus though! So go ahead! :-)	Jan 2, 2008 7:28 AM
8	Travel expense is a major factor, lots of places on the list are expensive to get to or expensive when you get there. Why isn't Prague on the list? Prague is a European Minneapolis...	Dec 30, 2007 10:53 AM
9	I hate Las Vegas. Others too remote.	Dec 28, 2007 9:27 PM
10	Proximity.	Dec 22, 2007 5:13 AM
11	Caribbean internet access sucks and blackouts occur frequently. It was disruptive to previous work and conferences I attended. Nice France would be GREAT. Good location and I believe set up pretty well with meeting and conference centers. And it would also be a pleasant venue. Please take us to Nice France. ;o)	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 PM
12	Nice, France: because it's closer, and a very nice place. Hawaii: more jet lag from Europe Others : higher hotel/food prices might be an obstacle, though I don't know exactly how high they would be...	Dec 20, 2007 8:55 AM
13	Specific locations are not a large factor, but I do enjoy the opportunity to visit the places the meeting is held, which would be equally true of Thailand or Philadelphia.	Dec 20, 2007 8:44 AM
14	Looks to much like a fun travel :-)	Dec 20, 2007 5:20 AM
15	I'm not on vacation	Dec 20, 2007 4:08 AM
16	distance/cost	Dec 20, 2007 4:07 AM
17	I like nice locations rather than Minneapolis or Atlanta. But the location will not have an impact on my attendance to the meeting.	Dec 20, 2007 3:50 AM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

18	Distance.	Dec 20, 2007 3:45 AM
19	Flying time	Dec 20, 2007 2:57 AM
20	Prefer safe places	Dec 20, 2007 12:46 AM
21	Getting to the US is a hassle.	Dec 19, 2007 11:02 PM
22	less distance to travel.	Dec 19, 2007 10:06 PM
23	I prefer not to travel abroad, especially to countries which I do not speak the native language.	Dec 19, 2007 9:08 PM
24	I have a need to get certain specification standardized and that is my primary reason for involvement in the IETF. Consequently I will go where the meetings are in order to move these specifications forward. I would prefer to have 3 meetings a year in Australia, but since that is unlikely, it doesn't matter where since I would have to travel a long way anyway.	Dec 19, 2007 8:35 PM
25	Orland is far from Asia. If it is far, more attractive places are better.	Dec 19, 2007 7:56 PM
26	I would prefer to avoid holiday type of locations (main reason: does not favor productivity during meetings)	Dec 19, 2007 7:09 PM
27	I do not find any reason not to go to these places.	Dec 19, 2007 6:20 PM
28	Someplace pleasant (Las Vegas is not - over visited) but not too far away.	Dec 19, 2007 6:17 PM
29	Company presence in Nice	Dec 19, 2007 5:45 PM
30	Exotic and/or non-N.American locations may increase the cost. And that may be affected by budget considerations. But in principle, I would attend IETF in any case. And some locations are more attractive than others from a bring-the-family/vacation option point-of-view.	Dec 19, 2007 4:57 PM
31	Attendance coincides with ISOC board meetings where ever they are located. It would be nice to get the IETF to Asia. Visa considerations can make it harder for African and Asians to attend US meetings.	Dec 19, 2007 4:25 PM
32	proximity / travel expenses	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
33	Must go, regardless of location. Because I'm a WG chair and have my company's support.	Dec 19, 2007 3:42 PM
34	closer == better	Dec 19, 2007 3:39 PM
35	I like nice weather and I have a flat in Bangkok.	Dec 19, 2007 3:21 PM
36	proximity to home	Dec 19, 2007 2:55 PM
37	Hawaii -- a bit special. Other US locations -- US Immigration is demeaning and a pain, increasing all the time. Other non-US locations: New and interesting	Dec 19, 2007 2:50 PM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

38	...wouldn't matter, since I would plan to go regardless. A better question (or a different one) might be, "what location would you prefer?".	Dec 19, 2007 2:41 PM
39	APAC & France are within business regions for me, as is California & parts of Canada. Other areas would be viewed remarkably 'junkety'.	Dec 19, 2007 2:35 PM
40	cost of travel; sanitary conditions; health concerns	Dec 19, 2007 2:30 PM
41	As I am based in India, any Asian location in South east Asia is easy to get to. Hawaii is difficult to get to and expensive.	Dec 19, 2007 2:18 PM
42	Safety issues with travel to Mexico. Cost and safety issues with travel to India - it is very very unlikely I could get corporate travel approval for a trip to India.	Dec 19, 2007 2:14 PM
43	Extra expense locations that are viewed as a boondoggle - also they are often inconvenient because the local services (e.g. restaurant service) are not geared to needs of a business traveler.	Dec 19, 2007 2:12 PM
44	Cost and convenience of travel from East Coast of North America is a primary factor.	Dec 19, 2007 2:10 PM
45	I normally attend 2 IETF's every year and tend and unless I am authoring a draft tend to go to the one's in the better locations.	Dec 19, 2007 2:08 PM
46	as I am living in Europe, any European meetings are more likely in general, and Hawaii is extremely far away, and Las Vegas unattractive.	Dec 19, 2007 2:04 PM
47	When they are really far away (from North America), it is exhausting. I know this is unfair, but I personally would be reluctant to spend 2 days travel each way plus jet lag, so I would tend to skip the far away meetings unless it were absolutely essential based on what was going on in my WGs, that I go.	Dec 19, 2007 1:55 PM
48	US and Canada are an advantage for North American participants. It would be nice to have more neutral and exotical locations	Dec 19, 2007 1:55 PM
49	I do typically have to budget for the trips, but the cost differences (for flights, basically) aren't so great that locations are the 'decision-maker'. if I have things that I need to do at a meeting, I can usually attend.	Dec 19, 2007 1:32 PM
50	Attending all IETFs independent of location	Dec 19, 2007 1:27 PM
51	I'm targeting places which are easy to reach by air from North America and likely to have quality facilities. The above reflects my assessment of that.	Dec 19, 2007 1:22 PM
52	Caribbean sets off the corporate travel "boondoggle detector". International travel is more difficult to justify and get approval for than domestic.	Dec 19, 2007 1:21 PM
53	I'll go where the meeting is held, regardless.	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM
54	Travel is a huge hassle already, and I am unlikely to be willing to fly to countries that have third-world air transit systems. From <a href="https://www.aa.com/aa/i18nForward.do?p=/travelInformation/checkingIn/arrivalTimes.jsp">https://www.aa.com/aa/i18nForward.do?p=/travelInformation/checkingIn/arrivalTimes.jsp</a> Flights departing: Delhi, India (DEL) Recommended Check-In Time:	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM



**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

210 minutes Flights departing: Mexico (All cities) Recommended Check-In Time: 180 minutes And the Caribbean is very spotty: Bermuda, Bermuda (BDA) 150 minutes Nassau, Bahamas (NAS) 180 minutes Port-au-Prince, Haiti (PAP) 180 minutes Port of Spain, Trinidad & Tobago (POS) 180 minutes

55	proximity	Dec 19, 2007 1:14 PM
56	Too difficult to obtain a visa for India.	Dec 19, 2007 1:12 PM
57	travel time from UK. Northern america west coast is at my limit. mexico city is slightly further & there are far fewer flights (eg only 3 per week, BA, from London). i'm glad that politically unacceptable places such as China aren't suggested.	Dec 19, 2007 1:10 PM
58	I am sponsored to attend no matter where.	Dec 19, 2007 1:09 PM
59	airfare and hotel costs	Dec 19, 2007 1:07 PM
60	India is literally on the other side of the world from my location (California), forcing me to travel days in advance in order to be awake during the meeting itself. Hawaii, Mexico, Caribbean, Nice, and Thailand would be combined with a vacation before or after the IETF meeting.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
61	US Immigration procedures are obnoxious. Las Vegas is vile. Hawaii and Orlando are holiday locations and will behard to get managent travel approval. Avoid the USA and beach resorts.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
62	Am fairly location agnostic. I believe that more varied location will ensure proper participation. IETF has done a GREAT JOB in recent years to include remote participation (e.g., jabber, audio streaming). However, this remote participation only involves those actively participating.	Dec 19, 2007 1:01 PM
63	Coming from France => Nice cheaper trip! :-)	Dec 19, 2007 1:00 PM
64	Honestly, I prefer staying inside the western world and only visit the countries I see as safe.	Dec 19, 2007 12:49 PM
65	Since I'm in North America, meetings that are considerably farther away require a longer stay, so if I have either a personal or professional conflict during that time, I don't go to the IETF.	Dec 19, 2007 12:46 PM
66	The unlikely places are harder to get to.	Dec 19, 2007 12:43 PM
67	Travel distance and cost play a significant factor in being less likely to travel to Hawaii, Thailand, and India.	Dec 19, 2007 12:40 PM
68	health is an issue in mexico, india, and thailand.	Dec 19, 2007 12:39 PM
69	long distance travel has lost its appeal; blackjack is fun	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
70	Travel time and expense.	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
71	Company perception of some destinations like the carribeans. More likely is that	Dec 19, 2007 12:36 PM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

	company meetings in the US (even Hawaii) are usually seen as ok.	
72	Anything without a direct flight from London less attractive. Hawaii in particular is much too far.	Dec 19, 2007 12:35 PM
73	I don't really care of the location but it would be fair if those location be evenly spreaded in the world and not only in north america. "Touristic" destinations are fine for me and for the company I work for.	Dec 19, 2007 12:31 PM
74	Travel budget usually only applicable to N America or Europe - travel outside of this needs external funding	Dec 19, 2007 12:30 PM
75	Beautiful locations. Beats Minneapolis...	Dec 19, 2007 12:26 PM
76	costs and travel time	Dec 19, 2007 12:24 PM
77	location is not a concern, i attend for technical reasons.	Dec 19, 2007 12:22 PM
78	Company tends not to support international travel	Dec 19, 2007 12:21 PM
79	Distance, time.	Dec 18, 2007 3:29 PM
80	desirability and ease of transportation to "more likely" destinations	Dec 18, 2007 1:50 PM
81	Cost.	Dec 18, 2007 11:54 AM
82	Too much travel time.	Dec 17, 2007 7:09 PM
83	Proximity to home or work sites.	Dec 17, 2007 7:04 PM
84	Travel costs.	Dec 17, 2007 4:53 PM
85	Distance, cost, time required	Dec 17, 2007 4:07 PM
86	Close for LA	Dec 17, 2007 12:52 PM
87	India does not have VISA on arrival.	Dec 17, 2007 10:44 AM
88	Travel to Asia is very expensive and time consuming, and my company is unlikely to pay for the trip.	Dec 17, 2007 10:37 AM
89	innoculations and health	Dec 17, 2007 8:56 AM
90	Although it wouldn't affect my attendance, Hawaii and the Caribbean definitely have attractions as venues...	Dec 17, 2007 8:50 AM
91	Anything outside the U.S. is fine with me, as queues and immigration policy for entering the U.S. are unbearable (and getting even worse).	Dec 17, 2007 7:45 AM
92	My management prefers Asia, North America, then Europe in that order.	Dec 17, 2007 5:23 AM
93	From Europe the cost of meeting outside Europe is pretty constant -- so preferably meet where there is warm and sunny...	Dec 17, 2007 2:49 AM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

94	The place doesn't matter as the week is full of work anyhow. However, from other aspects I think we shall have more meetings in Europe and Asia.	Dec 16, 2007 6:03 PM
95	Prefer meetings in the US, don't want to go to France and other 3rd world countries.	Dec 16, 2007 10:46 AM
96	Travel budget restrictions	Dec 16, 2007 9:26 AM
97	In general I attend all meetings unless travel is too onerous.	Dec 16, 2007 2:24 AM
98	I don't like travelling to places where English is not the native language, my employer doesn't like me travelling outside the US, and the people I network with are less likely to be at places it is expensive to reach.	Dec 16, 2007 1:37 AM
99	Too far	Dec 15, 2007 6:52 PM
100	Extreme travel time reduces the likelihood of attendance. I will admit that pleasant surroundings increase the attractiveness of attendance.	Dec 15, 2007 5:01 PM
101	The IETF needs to use hotels with facilities that are geared to a large number of folk who have pretty strong preconceptions of facilities and services. These are not evident in Thailand and India.	Dec 15, 2007 3:33 AM
102	I try to avoid scary places with civil wars, food problems, and commercial kidnapping.	Dec 14, 2007 8:44 PM
103	I'm afraid I wouldn't like to attend in US because of food which is not so tasty and everthing just big.	Dec 14, 2007 8:40 PM
104	Availability of non-smoking meeting areas and restaurants is extremely important to me. This has always been a problem in Vegas, and seems to be a significant problem in India.	Dec 14, 2007 8:35 PM
105	Other than generally disliking Orlando (and to a lesser extent, LV), I don't go to IETFs because of where they are, but what I need to do. This isn't a vacation folks....	Dec 14, 2007 7:48 PM
106	hate orlando.	Dec 14, 2007 7:14 PM
107	travel approval can be more difficult if destination is viewed as a recreation area or is international. all depends on current travel budget.	Dec 14, 2007 6:37 PM
108	Decent tourist destinations so I can combine with pleasure travel	Dec 14, 2007 6:06 PM
109	travel budget	Dec 14, 2007 6:06 PM
110	travel distance	Dec 14, 2007 5:37 PM
111	Travel costs and organization travel approval is much greater for non-North American destinations. Frequent Las Vegas meetings can increase the travel approval reviews on Las Vegas and all other destinations.	Dec 14, 2007 5:00 PM
112	Health and safety concerns (depending on where in Mexico and the Caribbean).	Dec 14, 2007 4:43 PM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

113	Resort locations are nice (although not necessary). Not too keen on traveling in Asia.	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 PM
114	I'll end up attending anywhere they are (so long as I have the financial support of my company), though I will say that I would like to go to India (I've been there only as a tourist once) and I have no particular interest in going to Las Vegas or Orlando (as they are not cities conducive to getting work done).	Dec 14, 2007 3:45 PM
115	The distance to India or Thailand from Texas is a 20 hour minimum flight, and too expensive for business class.	Dec 14, 2007 3:15 PM
116	Avoid questions if the purpose is international travel. But I have never been to Hawaii.	Dec 14, 2007 3:10 PM
117	Nobody ever goes to Vegas to get work done.	Dec 14, 2007 2:56 PM
118	US locations easier to justify with employer. Selection of flights/schedules help as well.	Dec 14, 2007 2:28 PM
119	Cost of travel.	Dec 14, 2007 1:48 PM
120	Business and professional reasons drive my attendance.	Dec 14, 2007 1:46 PM
121	ease of travel & time constraints	Dec 14, 2007 1:26 PM
122	The location, however convenient/inconvenient, is not a factor in the decision to attend/not attend. For me this is not a cost/budget question, nor a question of personal preference, it is just a mandatory part of my job.	Dec 14, 2007 12:38 PM
123	Airplane fare to India or Thailand might make the total cost of the trip too high.	Dec 14, 2007 12:21 PM
124	More difficult traveling to India and Thailand	Dec 14, 2007 11:54 AM
125	Mexico may be an issue for safety. Not sure about others. India may be an issue for cost	Dec 14, 2007 11:39 AM
126	For "most likely" replies: Easy to get to or a place I want to visit anyway	Dec 14, 2007 11:36 AM
127	I don't attend based on location. It's not a relevant criterion.	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
128	Different scenery	Dec 14, 2007 11:27 AM
129	Travel budget	Dec 14, 2007 11:26 AM
130	annoying venues, major distractions, touristic	Dec 14, 2007 11:22 AM
131	Location needs to be easily reachable.	Dec 14, 2007 11:17 AM
132	Distance, infrastructure, lifestyle considerations. Actually, none of the above choices appeals to me -- prefer major cities, minimal tourist attractions (other than historical or cultural).	Dec 14, 2007 11:06 AM
133	Budget!	Dec 14, 2007 10:55 AM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

134	Asia is too far---the airfare would make it impossible.	Dec 14, 2007 10:46 AM
135	budget	Dec 14, 2007 10:32 AM
136	Venues where no IETFer comes from, especially if they seem like boondoggle sites, are the wrong place.	Dec 14, 2007 10:31 AM
137	Good to hold IETF in regions where it has not been held before.	Dec 14, 2007 10:23 AM
138	I'm in North America and the location is rather irrelevant... what is most relevant is cheap airfare. Orlando and Las Vegas, both cities I don't really like personally, have very cheap airfare and reasonable hotels. India and Thailand would be difficult because of airfare, as would probably Hawaii and to a lesser extent France. I do realize that for the Asian attendees the situation is reversed and I do accept that I may need to travel to those locations.	Dec 14, 2007 10:19 AM
139	Company sponsored based on our current schedule.	Dec 14, 2007 9:47 AM
140	Distance from home	Dec 14, 2007 9:41 AM
141	My company will only support travel to IETF meetings held in either Canada or the lower 48 in the USA	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
142	Vegas is fun and probably a poor place for a meeting as to many distractions. I an US govt and therefore foreign travel is more difficult and foreign travel to specific countries even greater difficulty.	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
143	The only thing that would affect my attendance would be the travel time required and/or any other travel related hurdles (such as visa requirements).	Dec 14, 2007 9:27 AM
144	having these meetings in "vacation spots" tends to reduce participation in the actual meeting, and increase the likelihood of it being mostly a boondoggle - people come as an excuse for a company-paid vacation	Dec 14, 2007 9:12 AM
145	Distance and the time it takes to travel is key. Hawaii is a very nice place, but 30 hours traveling makes it hard.	Dec 14, 2007 8:56 AM
146	Might as well be somewhere pleasant.	Dec 14, 2007 8:38 AM
147	Travel costs	Dec 14, 2007 8:33 AM
148	Travel expense	Dec 14, 2007 8:26 AM
149	Travel budget restraints	Dec 14, 2007 8:25 AM
150	More likely or more likely? Hello? FWIW, Location does not matter to me. Wherever it is, I will go, although extremely hard-to-get-to places are less favorable because of fighting with 1000 other attendees to get limited airline seats. Please hold meetings in locations well-served by multiple airlines.	Dec 14, 2007 8:21 AM
151	I hate Vegas; otehrs are all OK	Dec 14, 2007 7:54 AM
152	Besides interesting IETF meeting, also interesting destinations for some extra	Dec 14, 2007 7:14 AM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

days to discover the city/country.

153	I would come to (most) US locations but I wouldn't appreciate them for political reasons. Orlando is an exception - it is an appalling (aesthetic) excrescence on the face of the USA with a climate to match. The hotel we used last time we were there was tawdry and I suspect that it is not untypical. I have some current doubts about the political stability and social policies of Thailand. Care would have to be taken with selecting which part of the Caribbean - some are (allegedly) not terribly safe. It would be nice to use somewhere other than Bangalore in India were we to try there.	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM
154	Big US cities are boring and expensive at the same time.	Dec 14, 2007 6:24 AM
155	Immigration-procedures in the US makes going there a hassle (but I might just make an exception for Hawaii ;) ). Meetings in Europe has, thus far, suffered sub-optimal meeting facilities as well as very expensive hotels. Thus, the EU and the USA are simply down-the-list. The best meetings I've attended over the last ~10 years have been in Asia and Canada. Let's go back to Vancouver when they've finished the W renovations, and let's get back to Yokohama sooner rather than later.	Dec 14, 2007 6:19 AM
156	budget	Dec 14, 2007 5:59 AM
157	Proximity to Europe is necessary for budgetary reasons. I don't object to more exotic locations on a personal basis!	Dec 14, 2007 5:31 AM
158	For MX and most of the caribbean I'd likely have to go through the US, which is extra immigration trouble for nothing	Dec 14, 2007 5:30 AM
159	Europe would be the easiest, will avoid Mexico (too polluted)	Dec 14, 2007 5:22 AM
160	Proximity	Dec 14, 2007 5:13 AM
161	Location doesn't really matter.	Dec 14, 2007 5:11 AM
162	Location does not matter	Dec 14, 2007 5:09 AM
163	company regulations	Dec 14, 2007 4:54 AM
164	Travel and lodging should be as cheap as possible.	Dec 14, 2007 4:50 AM
165	I would like IETF going more frequently outside U.S.	Dec 14, 2007 4:46 AM
166	Travel budget is tight. Travelling within my region is much easier to justify than outside my region. Travel to the US is probably second easiest and travel to APAC hardest.	Dec 14, 2007 4:36 AM
167	I like touristy locations. In the few hours a day that aren't filled with IETF stuff, it's nice to be in a nice place. If people will run into management approval issues with their trip to an IETF meeting in a nice place, maybe their attendance isn't so essential in the first place.	Dec 14, 2007 4:36 AM
168	Very exotic places are hard to explain to my manager	Dec 14, 2007 4:31 AM

**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

169	Either too far away or too likely to be perceived as a "vacation".	Dec 14, 2007 4:25 AM
170	I don't like travel	Dec 14, 2007 4:12 AM
171	I attend for the work done, not the location.	Dec 14, 2007 4:11 AM
172	Travel costs are an issue. I'm interested in going visiting India for reasons unrelated to IETF. Going to the US for an IETF meeting is out of the question, an IETF meeting certainly is not going to be sufficient reason for me to submit myself to border procures which involve being treated like a criminal (fingerprinting).	Dec 14, 2007 4:08 AM
173	Closer to work / always wanted to go there	Dec 14, 2007 4:00 AM
174	US entry policy makes attending meetings there unpleasant.	Dec 14, 2007 3:47 AM
175	Personal reasons! Don't like destinations for which vaccination shots are recommended (not sure about Mexico and the Carribean, though) or on whose immigration policies I disagree.	Dec 14, 2007 3:42 AM
176	I don't like Las Vegas. But influence of this dislike is VERY slight.	Dec 14, 2007 3:35 AM
177	Proximity	Dec 14, 2007 3:03 AM
178	Orlando is a hellhole, and so is Vegas. Hawaii is a long flight for bad food and nice scenery that I probably wouldn't get to see. The Caribbean is too hot, and has lousy amenities. Nice is nice. India is bitchen. Thailand and Mexico are pretty neutral - nice enough, but no more so than any other place IETF goes.	Dec 14, 2007 2:48 AM
179	Sanitary concerns, distance, time zone	Dec 14, 2007 2:43 AM
180	Proximity!	Dec 14, 2007 2:37 AM
181	All locations are good as long as the weather ain't too bad. I wouldn't want to visit an IETF in -5C conditions.	Dec 14, 2007 2:32 AM
182	The farthest is usually the more expensive.	Dec 14, 2007 2:12 AM
183	Cost & hassle for "more unlikely" choices. Ease or low cost for "more likely" choices.	Dec 14, 2007 2:09 AM
184	Travel budget	Dec 14, 2007 2:06 AM
185	proximity	Dec 14, 2007 2:03 AM
186	Budget considerations	Dec 14, 2007 1:56 AM
187	I don't want to travel too much outside of north america. Attending IETF to me is basically a business trip so I would like to keep it short and effective as much as possible.	Dec 14, 2007 1:45 AM
188	Haven't been there yet.	Dec 14, 2007 1:42 AM



**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

189	these are mostly personal preference, but the "more likely"s are the ones that don't seem like a vacation spot to ME. Hawaii - I would probably try to combine that with a visit to China, so it would actually work, I think.	Dec 14, 2007 1:23 AM
190	I will attend wherever they are. All of the above are ok places. Next survey, it might be nice if you let us order them for feedback.	Dec 14, 2007 1:16 AM
191	cost, perception that a location is the attraction	Dec 14, 2007 12:45 AM
192	Location, location, location	Dec 14, 2007 12:45 AM
193	Is this a technical conference or a world sightseeing jolly?	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
194	I *hate* the climate (only) of latin america, and I don't like having an IETF in Orlando or Vegas due to the distractions (from doing real work - which we need to do).	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
195	Looks like a junket if destination is 'resort-like'.	Dec 14, 2007 12:32 AM
196	Close	Dec 14, 2007 12:25 AM
197	cost, generally. Also (in the case of Hawaii) the appearance that it's a "resort" venue.	Dec 14, 2007 12:20 AM
198	Las Vegas: more likely because of inexpensive travel. Nice: the location itself appeals to me India and Thailand: less likely due to difficulty/expense	Dec 14, 2007 12:19 AM
199	Proximity	Dec 14, 2007 12:17 AM
200	I want civilized places of civilized environment, preferably in pan-Pacific.	Dec 14, 2007 12:14 AM
201	Travel time, air fare	Dec 14, 2007 12:12 AM
202	Good weather and could get some vacation too.	Dec 14, 2007 12:02 AM
203	Outside the US, easier to get to.	Dec 14, 2007 12:00 AM
204	Don't know. Depends on agenda. At present I'm less engaged in IETF activities,	Dec 13, 2007 11:43 PM
205	I hate to travel.	Dec 13, 2007 11:42 PM
206	cheap price and good facility. Also close mile from my country.	Dec 13, 2007 11:41 PM
207	Lots of meeting outside of North America would make it hard for me to attend, but the current rotation is ok	Dec 13, 2007 11:37 PM
208	I'm a WG chair so I go to the meetings. This doesn't mean I wouldn't prefer some locations to others.	Dec 13, 2007 11:35 PM
209	appearance of propriety	Dec 13, 2007 11:34 PM
210	Typically, I attend the two IETF meetings in North America each year. I have	Dec 13, 2007 11:32 PM



**Page 3, Q6. Would your attendance at the following meeting locations be more likely, more unlikely, wouldn't matter?**

	skipped Hawaii in the past as too long a flight. India, however, might be pretty neat.	
211	Ease of access. Distance traveled. Too bad Canada is not listed.	Dec 13, 2007 11:32 PM
212	travel expense	Dec 13, 2007 11:31 PM
213	Despite the precedence, meetings between US Thanksgiving and Jan 1 (e.g., in December) are difficult to attend because that is a very busy time of year personally.	Dec 13, 2007 11:31 PM
214	Travel time for India and Thailand	Dec 13, 2007 11:29 PM
215	I will likely attend meetings at any location excepting countries who do not accept Israeli passport holders (like Indonesia or Malaysia)	Dec 13, 2007 11:28 PM
216	Work in progress more important than destination. Would be more likely to take some extra vacation time in Hawaii though. :-)	Dec 13, 2007 11:27 PM
217	Company wants me to attend, but these may be just too expensive to justify it.	Dec 13, 2007 11:26 PM
218	India as one of the location would be best.	Dec 13, 2007 11:22 PM
219	Meeting in Vancouver in December and Chicago in July is masochistic. Why not Vancouver in July when its gorgeous? Pick the best time of year weather-wise as an additional inducement to attend.	Dec 13, 2007 11:21 PM



**Page 3, Q9. Please explain any dissatisfaction.**

1	Is this question about Vancouver ? Not obvious from the question numbering. My dissatisfaction is meeting location in the past years: - too many times in North America (Canada is a change, but still in North America: North America is not representative of IETF participation in mailing lists, drafts, discussion) - no meeting in Asia since Seoul (attended)	Feb 1, 2008 9:29 AM
2	Network has been rock solid at the last 3 meetings.	Dec 28, 2007 11:20 PM
3	Why do we need a terminal room? Everybody uses a Notebook with wireless.	Dec 21, 2007 5:18 AM
4	Some issues with wireless sometimes.	Dec 20, 2007 8:55 AM
5	None - it was a great Experience !	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 AM
6	not easy to find WiFi setting (.1X passwd)	Dec 20, 2007 12:20 AM
7	Terminal room was much cold.	Dec 19, 2007 11:35 PM
8	only a few help desk	Dec 19, 2007 9:57 PM
9	none	Dec 19, 2007 9:01 PM
10	Nothing special.	Dec 19, 2007 7:56 PM
11	none, great job!	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
12	I had problems with wireless connectivity, but in all probability it was due to my software.	Dec 19, 2007 1:29 PM
13	The network is outstanding, I've never seen better!	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM
14	Network coverage was spotty. Vancouver seemed to have much reduced coverage as compared to prior meeting (with the possible exception of Chicago)	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM
15	it would be very helpful if there could be a couple of actual terminals in the terminal room. it wasnt possible to print IETF drafts etc (company pc, have to use vpn, so using ietf printers is very hard)	Dec 19, 2007 1:10 PM
16	Terminal room was too cramped and overcrowded. The room was far too small.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
17	having table cloths on the tables in the terminal room causes laptops to run hot.	Dec 19, 2007 12:57 PM
18	Connection speeds were not adequate.	Dec 19, 2007 12:40 PM
19	The wireless connection made my computer crash. I used the wireless connection in different locations in Vancouver and at my hotel, without any problem.	Dec 19, 2007 12:25 PM
20	The terminal room was too small, compared with other locations.	Dec 19, 2007 11:11 AM
21	wireless network didn't work 100% and had far too low bandwidth	Dec 18, 2007 6:18 PM
22	Printer support in terminal room could be better explained.	Dec 17, 2007 10:44 AM

**Page 3, Q9. Please explain any dissatisfaction.**

23	Didn't get the printer to work.	Dec 16, 2007 6:03 PM
24	There was some ideosyncrasy with the wireless network that caused my laptop to crash. It is probably my laptop's fault, but it hasn't happened at other IETFs or elsewhere.	Dec 16, 2007 1:37 AM
25	Using the same company that IEEE uses, has made the network rock solid. Not spending any time reading or typing network problems has help me get more work done.	Dec 15, 2007 11:18 AM
26	Basic office equipment like stapler / puncher were missing in the terminal room.	Dec 14, 2007 6:31 PM
27	They did an awesome job. I love it when they take over the hotel room connectivity as well.	Dec 14, 2007 4:43 PM
28	Was a little crowded.	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 PM
29	When I was on the wireless in the terminal room, my DHCP lease disappeared a bunch of times.	Dec 14, 2007 2:56 PM
30	one of the most stable IETF wireless experiences I've had	Dec 14, 2007 2:28 PM
31	Internet connection was slow	Dec 14, 2007 2:10 PM
32	Terminal room was fairly small and not conveniently located near the main meeting rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 11:54 AM
33	N/A	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
34	should be a rating here for excellent	Dec 14, 2007 11:22 AM
35	Could not find human help or printed instructions in the terminal room concerning printer use.	Dec 14, 2007 11:06 AM
36	They did an excellent job!	Dec 14, 2007 10:46 AM
37	Halls too crowded for breakfast. Insufficient quantities of food. Food trays became empty too soon.	Dec 14, 2007 10:23 AM
38	Wireless network was outstanding at IETF 70 and the NOC was very helpful and responsive when I reported an issue.	Dec 14, 2007 10:19 AM
39	could not get onto my company VPN	Dec 14, 2007 9:46 AM
40	With so many people having laptops, does the terminal room still get much use? We probably still need access to printers, but I wonder if a full up terminal room is necessary anymore. Not sure, just curios. I didn't even seek out the terminal room the last few IETF meetings.	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
41	[Not dissatisfaction] Just to say that the network appeared to perform excellently, so there was no need to contact either NOC or Help Desk. The only minor issue I had was a need to manually disconnect/reconnect when moving between the in-room (roomlinx) and meeting area (ietf) parts of the network even though my computer appeared to connect at Layer 2. I don't know if this was some problem	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM

**Page 3, Q9. Please explain any dissatisfaction.**

with Windows XP or the network, but it was a minor inconvenience.

42	Could not use the printers from Linux, and getting them to work in Windows was difficult.	Dec 14, 2007 6:24 AM
43	I had a laptop crash, and there were only two open machines in the terminal room, one of them only being functional.	Dec 14, 2007 5:22 AM
44	Lost wireless connection very often. (Maybe client problem, did not succeed in debugging this issue.)	Dec 14, 2007 4:50 AM
45	Terminal Room was too small	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 AM
46	terminal room was too cold	Dec 14, 2007 2:43 AM
47	Sometimes nobody in terminal room to help. No support for printing from windows machines. Printers only supported Bonjour and LPR protocols.	Dec 14, 2007 2:12 AM
48	I use a Mac. For some reason, I got very spotty and limited wireless connection in the lobby and meeting rooms during the day. Evenings were fine.	Dec 14, 2007 1:45 AM
49	Why do we have a terminal room when people just sit at the back of random meetings to get power, and wireless connectivity is available all over.	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
50	wireless coverage was limited and frequently dropped (couldn't keep a VPN up). Terminal room was locked when it was supposed to be open.	Dec 14, 2007 12:20 AM
51	Didn't use the terminal room much. I think it had only one terminal. It might be useful to have more than one host, but if no-one was ever waiting for it then perhaps not.	Dec 13, 2007 11:52 PM
52	The wireless connectivity was good, but not the best I have experienced at an IETF meeting. There have been occasional disconnections and a few instances where it was difficult to get an IP address.	Dec 13, 2007 11:28 PM



**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

1	Other hotel (prefer to do so usually)	Feb 1, 2008 9:29 AM
2	conference hotel	Jan 11, 2008 6:16 PM
3	The conference hotel	Jan 7, 2008 4:05 AM
4	westin	Jan 3, 2008 2:23 AM
5	Westin Bayshore, then Marriott	Jan 2, 2008 12:47 PM
6	Marriott	Jan 2, 2008 12:42 PM
7	Marriott Pinnacle	Jan 2, 2008 7:28 AM
8	Merriott Pinacle	Jan 2, 2008 5:34 AM
9	Westin	Dec 30, 2007 10:53 AM
10	Westin	Dec 28, 2007 11:20 PM
11	Westin (in the tower)	Dec 28, 2007 9:27 PM
12	Pinnacle	Dec 25, 2007 2:50 AM
13	Westin Bayshore	Dec 24, 2007 4:27 AM
14	westin	Dec 24, 2007 12:32 AM
15	Hilton Pinnacle	Dec 22, 2007 5:13 AM
16	West-in Bayshore	Dec 21, 2007 5:18 AM
17	hotel that hosts the meeting	Dec 20, 2007 10:08 PM
18	Westin	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 PM
19	Westin Bayshore	Dec 20, 2007 9:34 AM
20	Westin	Dec 20, 2007 8:55 AM
21	Pacific Palisades (which was very nice, by the way)	Dec 20, 2007 8:44 AM
22	Westin	Dec 20, 2007 7:43 AM
23	Pinacle	Dec 20, 2007 6:21 AM
24	Westin	Dec 20, 2007 5:21 AM
25	Westin Bayshore	Dec 20, 2007 5:20 AM
26	not one of the 3 recommended	Dec 20, 2007 5:10 AM
27	Marriot	Dec 20, 2007 4:16 AM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

28	private home	Dec 20, 2007 4:07 AM
29	Hampton Inn	Dec 20, 2007 3:50 AM
30	Blue Horizon Hotel	Dec 20, 2007 3:06 AM
31	Westin	Dec 20, 2007 2:53 AM
32	Marriot	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 AM
33	Westin Bayshore	Dec 20, 2007 12:47 AM
34	Westin	Dec 20, 2007 12:46 AM
35	Marriott	Dec 20, 2007 12:20 AM
36	Sheraton Wall Centre	Dec 19, 2007 11:02 PM
37	the IETF hotel, the meeting venue	Dec 19, 2007 10:34 PM
38	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 10:06 PM
39	Hilton	Dec 19, 2007 9:08 PM
40	marriot	Dec 19, 2007 9:05 PM
41	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 8:35 PM
42	Marriott	Dec 19, 2007 7:56 PM
43	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 7:48 PM
44	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 7:44 PM
45	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 7:09 PM
46	westin	Dec 19, 2007 6:20 PM
47	Sutton Place	Dec 19, 2007 6:17 PM
48	I am local to Vancouver.	Dec 19, 2007 4:57 PM
49	westin	Dec 19, 2007 4:31 PM
50	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 4:25 PM
51	Pacific Palisades	Dec 19, 2007 4:19 PM
52	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
53	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 4:01 PM
54	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 3:42 PM



**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

55	westin bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 3:39 PM
56	Barclay Hotel	Dec 19, 2007 3:21 PM
57	Mariott	Dec 19, 2007 2:55 PM
58	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 2:50 PM
59	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 2:41 PM
60	Sheraton Wall Center	Dec 19, 2007 2:35 PM
61	Renaissance -- rapacious rates for in-room internet!!!	Dec 19, 2007 2:30 PM
62	Marriott Pinacle	Dec 19, 2007 2:20 PM
63	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 2:10 PM
64	Fairmont Hotel Vancouver	Dec 19, 2007 2:08 PM
65	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 2:04 PM
66	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 1:55 PM
67	Water is not always available	Dec 19, 2007 1:55 PM
68	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 1:52 PM
69	Marriots Pinnacle downtown	Dec 19, 2007 1:49 PM
70	the westin bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 1:32 PM
71	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 1:29 PM
72	Marriott	Dec 19, 2007 1:27 PM
73	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 1:23 PM
74	Local apartment,	Dec 19, 2007 1:22 PM
75	Marriot	Dec 19, 2007 1:22 PM
76	Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM
77	Wyndham	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM
78	westin	Dec 19, 2007 1:14 PM
79	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 1:14 PM
80	Renaissance	Dec 19, 2007 1:12 PM
81	marriot pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 1:10 PM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

82	westin bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 1:09 PM
83	westin	Dec 19, 2007 1:07 PM
84	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
85	Marriott	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
86	Marriott	Dec 19, 2007 1:01 PM
87	Marriot	Dec 19, 2007 1:00 PM
88	Westin Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 12:49 PM
89	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 12:48 PM
90	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 12:46 PM
91	Conference hotel - Noise in rooms was a problem.	Dec 19, 2007 12:46 PM
92	Weston Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 12:43 PM
93	Mariott	Dec 19, 2007 12:42 PM
94	Westin Grand	Dec 19, 2007 12:39 PM
95	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
96	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
97	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 12:35 PM
98	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 12:34 PM
99	Marriot	Dec 19, 2007 12:32 PM
100	Renaissance	Dec 19, 2007 12:31 PM
101	Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 12:30 PM
102	MARRIOTT	Dec 19, 2007 12:29 PM
103	Bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 12:28 PM
104	marriott downtown	Dec 19, 2007 12:27 PM
105	Marriott	Dec 19, 2007 12:27 PM
106	Bayshore. construction was a nitemare.	Dec 19, 2007 12:26 PM
107	Days Inn	Dec 19, 2007 12:25 PM
108	Marriott	Dec 19, 2007 12:25 PM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

109	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 19, 2007 12:24 PM
110	westin bayshore	Dec 19, 2007 12:24 PM
111	Westin	Dec 19, 2007 12:23 PM
112	westin	Dec 19, 2007 12:22 PM
113	Marriott Pin.	Dec 19, 2007 11:11 AM
114	westin	Dec 19, 2007 9:12 AM
115	Empire Landmark Hotel	Dec 18, 2007 3:29 PM
116	Winston	Dec 18, 2007 2:06 PM
117	Westin	Dec 18, 2007 1:50 PM
118	Marriott	Dec 18, 2007 10:14 AM
119	Marriott	Dec 17, 2007 7:09 PM
120	Westin Bayshore	Dec 17, 2007 4:07 PM
121	Sutton Place	Dec 17, 2007 2:56 PM
122	Westin Bayshore	Dec 17, 2007 12:52 PM
123	Westin Bayshore	Dec 17, 2007 12:09 PM
124	Opus Hotel	Dec 17, 2007 11:08 AM
125	Westin Bayshore	Dec 17, 2007 10:44 AM
126	Westin Bayshore	Dec 17, 2007 8:56 AM
127	Westin Bayshore	Dec 17, 2007 8:50 AM
128	Marriott	Dec 17, 2007 7:45 AM
129	rennaicanse	Dec 17, 2007 3:11 AM
130	Mariot	Dec 17, 2007 2:49 AM
131	Westin Bayshore	Dec 16, 2007 8:02 PM
132	Marriott. The problem with Internet in my room was that it had to be reestablished every day.	Dec 16, 2007 6:03 PM
133	Westin	Dec 16, 2007 10:46 AM
134	Westin Bayshore	Dec 16, 2007 2:24 AM
135	Conference hotel	Dec 16, 2007 1:37 AM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

136	Marriott	Dec 15, 2007 8:19 PM
137	Home	Dec 15, 2007 6:52 PM
138	Marriot	Dec 15, 2007 6:00 PM
139	Meeting hotel - Westin	Dec 15, 2007 5:01 PM
140	Westin	Dec 15, 2007 1:37 PM
141	Westin	Dec 15, 2007 3:33 AM
142	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 9:44 PM
143	Stayed in Listel (nice) but am rating Westin above . . .	Dec 14, 2007 8:44 PM
144	Westine Bayshore Hotel	Dec 14, 2007 8:40 PM
145	Westin (meeting venue)	Dec 14, 2007 8:35 PM
146	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 7:48 PM
147	westin	Dec 14, 2007 7:14 PM
148	Barclays	Dec 14, 2007 6:31 PM
149	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 6:06 PM
150	conference hotel	Dec 14, 2007 6:06 PM
151	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 5:37 PM
152	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 5:00 PM
153	Westin Bayshone	Dec 14, 2007 4:43 PM
154	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 PM
155	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 3:45 PM
156	The Sutton Place	Dec 14, 2007 3:15 PM
157	Weston	Dec 14, 2007 3:10 PM
158	Radisson	Dec 14, 2007 2:56 PM
159	Empire Landmark	Dec 14, 2007 2:53 PM
160	late week temperatures seemed erratic	Dec 14, 2007 2:28 PM
161	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 2:10 PM
162	Mariott	Dec 14, 2007 1:48 PM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

163	rennaissance	Dec 14, 2007 1:46 PM
164	nearby apartment	Dec 14, 2007 1:03 PM
165	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 12:44 PM
166	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 12:38 PM
167	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 12:21 PM
168	westin	Dec 14, 2007 11:58 AM
169	Marriot	Dec 14, 2007 11:39 AM
170	Robsonstrasse (not an IETF recommended hotel)	Dec 14, 2007 11:36 AM
171	Hilton , Prague, Czech Republic	Dec 14, 2007 11:32 AM
172	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
173	Westin (i.e. meeting hotel)	Dec 14, 2007 11:24 AM
174	Westin BayShore Tower - and room wireless *was* verilan	Dec 14, 2007 11:22 AM
175	Renaissance Harborside	Dec 14, 2007 11:10 AM
176	Marriott Pinnacle Downtown	Dec 14, 2007 11:06 AM
177	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 11:06 AM
178	Renaissance	Dec 14, 2007 11:04 AM
179	Ramada Limited	Dec 14, 2007 10:46 AM
180	Renaissance	Dec 14, 2007 10:32 AM
181	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 10:31 AM
182	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 10:23 AM
183	Renaissance	Dec 14, 2007 10:19 AM
184	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 10:18 AM
185	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 10:01 AM
186	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 10:00 AM
187	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 9:47 AM
188	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
189	Marriot Pinacle Vancourver	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

190	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 9:30 AM
191	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 9:27 AM
192	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 9:20 AM
193	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 9:12 AM
194	westin	Dec 14, 2007 9:02 AM
195	Venue hotel. Construction noise from 0700 and later in the week 0800 is bad	Dec 14, 2007 8:56 AM
196	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 8:38 AM
197	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 8:34 AM
198	Hyatt	Dec 14, 2007 8:33 AM
199	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 8:26 AM
200	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 8:21 AM
201	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 8:18 AM
202	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 8:03 AM
203	marriott	Dec 14, 2007 8:02 AM
204	Renaissance; I was moved	Dec 14, 2007 7:54 AM
205	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 7:14 AM
206	Westin Bayshore - food is expensive and mediocre but we knew that already	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM
207	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 6:56 AM
208	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 6:42 AM
209	Blue Horizon	Dec 14, 2007 6:28 AM
210	Pinnacle Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 6:24 AM
211	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 6:24 AM
212	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 6:19 AM
213	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 6:07 AM
214	Best Western	Dec 14, 2007 5:57 AM
215	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 5:52 AM
216	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 5:37 AM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

217	westin bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 5:30 AM
218	Empire Landmark	Dec 14, 2007 5:22 AM
219	Renaissance	Dec 14, 2007 5:19 AM
220	Century Plaza ("external" hotel)	Dec 14, 2007 5:11 AM
221	Mariott	Dec 14, 2007 5:09 AM
222	Marriot	Dec 14, 2007 4:54 AM
223	Marriot	Dec 14, 2007 4:52 AM
224	Westin Bayshore (Meeting venue)	Dec 14, 2007 4:50 AM
225	Mariott	Dec 14, 2007 4:46 AM
226	Hyatt	Dec 14, 2007 4:40 AM
227	Marriot	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 AM
228	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 4:36 AM
229	Listel Hotel	Dec 14, 2007 4:36 AM
230	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 4:33 AM
231	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 4:31 AM
232	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 4:31 AM
233	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 4:25 AM
234	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 4:21 AM
235	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 4:16 AM
236	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 4:11 AM
237	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 4:10 AM
238	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 4:05 AM
239	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 4:02 AM
240	Ramada	Dec 14, 2007 4:00 AM
241	Westin Bayshore	Dec 14, 2007 3:49 AM
242	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 3:40 AM
243	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 3:37 AM

**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

244	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 3:35 AM
245	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 3:32 AM
246	The Westin	Dec 14, 2007 2:48 AM
247	Westin Grand	Dec 14, 2007 2:43 AM
248	Marriott Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 2:42 AM
249	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 2:32 AM
250	Renasaince	Dec 14, 2007 2:31 AM
251	Hyatt	Dec 14, 2007 2:22 AM
252	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 2:06 AM
253	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 1:56 AM
254	Bayshore Westin	Dec 14, 2007 1:45 AM
255	Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 1:42 AM
256	listel	Dec 14, 2007 1:16 AM
257	Renaissance Vancouver Hotel Harbourside	Dec 14, 2007 1:15 AM
258	Marriot Pinnacle	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
259	need sweets at the first afternoon break! need Diet Coke in the morning! (and not just coffee for caffiene)	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
260	Westin	Dec 14, 2007 12:32 AM
261	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 12:27 AM
262	Empire Landmark	Dec 14, 2007 12:25 AM
263	Marriott	Dec 14, 2007 12:20 AM
264	Renaissance	Dec 14, 2007 12:17 AM
265	Empire Landmark	Dec 14, 2007 12:17 AM
266	Marriot	Dec 14, 2007 12:00 AM
267	Marriott	Dec 13, 2007 11:52 PM
268	marriott	Dec 13, 2007 11:48 PM
269	Marriott	Dec 13, 2007 11:45 PM
270	Westin Bayshore	Dec 13, 2007 11:42 PM



**Page 3, Q10. How would you rate the meeting venue's performance?**

271	Westin	Dec 13, 2007 11:37 PM
272	Hotel Sylvia	Dec 13, 2007 11:35 PM
273	time square suites	Dec 13, 2007 11:34 PM
274	The IETF hotel	Dec 13, 2007 11:33 PM
275	Days Inn	Dec 13, 2007 11:32 PM
276	Listel	Dec 13, 2007 11:32 PM
277	Westin	Dec 13, 2007 11:31 PM
278	Renaissance	Dec 13, 2007 11:31 PM
279	Westin	Dec 13, 2007 11:29 PM
280	Marriot	Dec 13, 2007 11:29 PM
281	Marriot Pinnacle Downtown Hotel	Dec 13, 2007 11:29 PM
282	Westin	Dec 13, 2007 11:28 PM
283	Marriott	Dec 13, 2007 11:27 PM
284	Stayed with family	Dec 13, 2007 11:25 PM
285	Ramada Inn and Suites (for cheap)	Dec 13, 2007 11:21 PM
286	Pacific Palisades	Dec 13, 2007 11:21 PM
287	Marriott	Dec 13, 2007 11:20 PM



**Page 3, Q12. Please explain if dissatisfied with any of the foregoing.**

1	to few power strips	Jan 11, 2008 6:16 PM
2	More power strips needed	Jan 2, 2008 12:47 PM
3	insufficient diet colas until later in the week	Dec 24, 2007 12:32 AM
4	Most rooms did not have enough power socket for laptops	Dec 22, 2007 5:13 AM
5	Too little power sockets	Dec 20, 2007 10:08 PM
6	Few power strips were available.	Dec 20, 2007 8:55 AM
7	Breakfast supply was bad. Four slow(!) toasters for several 100 people is insufficient. The so-called continental breakfast served at the IETF in North America is just anything from plain bad to unacceptable. *Some* variety should be in here as we had at earlier IETFs (some 5+ years ago). Does not have to as spectacular as in Prague.	Dec 20, 2007 2:53 AM
8	None	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 AM
9	Power strips were Not enough	Dec 20, 2007 12:46 AM
10	difficult to get Power strips	Dec 19, 2007 9:57 PM
11	For people from china, the timing is critical. But it takes weeks to get the LOI which makes some people could not get the visa because of the timing issue.	Dec 19, 2007 9:01 PM
12	Internet service in the room was up an down a lot, with very bursty throughput. Rooms themselves had renovation noise all day and made retiring to them uncomfortable between meetings.	Dec 19, 2007 8:35 PM
13	Nothing special	Dec 19, 2007 7:56 PM
14	more power strips are needed	Dec 19, 2007 7:44 PM
15	not enough power strips available in the meeting rooms	Dec 19, 2007 6:20 PM
16	sometimes hard to find a power strip with free sockets in busy rooms	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
17	By omitting the letter-sized meeting agenda and only supplying the compact badge-sized agenda, perhaps some cost could be avoided in future. Everyone, including me, seems to only use the badge-sized agendas. The few folks who want a letter-size agenda could perhaps just print one out from the IETF web site using terminal room printers in future.	Dec 19, 2007 2:14 PM
18	it can still be difficult to find power - out in the common areas and in many of the conference rooms. not a crisis (usually), but an ... inconvenience.	Dec 19, 2007 1:32 PM
19	Too few power strips for my poor battery lifetime.	Dec 19, 2007 1:29 PM
20	A few more powere strips in the meeting room are needed.	Dec 19, 2007 1:22 PM
21	Power should be available in the lobbies.	Dec 19, 2007 1:12 PM

**Page 3, Q12. Please explain if dissatisfied with any of the foregoing.**

22	I actually think it would be a good idea to ban laptops in meeting rooms, except for chairs, presenters, and scribes. Then we wouldn't need the power strips.	Dec 19, 2007 1:07 PM
23	I found it difficult to get my pink NOMCOM dot, and abandoned attempts to get it. Not a big deal overall, though.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
24	Not enough power strips.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
25	Not enough power strips. Also caused by EU adapters.	Dec 19, 2007 1:00 PM
26	I used audio stream several times from my hotel room, and the stream sessions failed for minutes every now and then. The streaming has worked well in earlier IETFs.	Dec 19, 2007 12:49 PM
27	The meeting rooms, particularly the larger rooms, need to have more power strips.	Dec 19, 2007 12:46 PM
28	The food often ran out and was not replenished in the AM (i.e., at 8:30 trays were empty). The coffee was horrible. There were too few power strips overall (though less of an issue). The seating was VERY tight (narrow chairs).	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
29	Very feww power strips	Dec 19, 2007 12:25 PM
30	food in the hotel was ludicrously expensive and poor quality	Dec 19, 2007 12:24 PM
31	Wireless audio equipment in salon 2/3 was not setup properly. Salon 2 got mic input from salon 3 and vice versa.	Dec 19, 2007 11:11 AM
32	Not enough power strips in any room. AV equipment frequently failed, shared channels (wireless mics) and simply didn't work	Dec 18, 2007 6:18 PM
33	Need more power strips in rooms, tables to sit at in sessions. IETF 69 was ideal, with many rows of tables in almost all rooms.	Dec 17, 2007 7:09 PM
34	audio was occasionally not working or the volume was too low	Dec 17, 2007 7:45 AM
35	Number of power strips was smaller than usual	Dec 16, 2007 10:46 AM
36	Registration is too expensive ....	Dec 15, 2007 8:19 PM
37	Often difficult to get power at some meetings	Dec 15, 2007 6:00 PM
38	Some microphone problems in a couple of the rooms. In particular with bleed-over on the wireless microphones. Mostly good though.	Dec 15, 2007 1:37 PM
39	Audio equipment did repeatedly not work during meetings - there was no staff around to fix it.	Dec 14, 2007 6:31 PM
40	Internet was too slow.	Dec 14, 2007 2:10 PM
41	Not enough power strips in various places in the meeting rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 1:46 PM
42	Had a problem with the wireless mikes one morning but the rest was great.	Dec 14, 2007 1:03 PM

**Page 3, Q12. Please explain if dissatisfied with any of the foregoing.**

43	Construction noise at the Westin, but I'm sure you've already heard that from others :-)	Dec 14, 2007 12:44 PM
44	a little bit higher power strips density and number would have been useful	Dec 14, 2007 11:58 AM
45	Issues with wireless mics on the 1st day. No power strips in the back of some rooms. Registration was a bit slow (folks seemed fairly inexperienced OR the materials weren't properly organized ahead of time).	Dec 14, 2007 11:54 AM
46	You can never have too many power strips.	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
47	not much there	Dec 14, 2007 11:27 AM
48	Regarding the food and beverage, I would like that there is free coffee during all the day. Regarding the power strips, there are not enough in the rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 11:24 AM
49	Power strips were great in *most* areas, but there were a couple of rooms where there were strips on one side but not the other - or not a portion of the room.	Dec 14, 2007 10:19 AM
50	Mikes on several rooms had issues during the week.	Dec 14, 2007 10:00 AM
51	snack/soda service appeared to be undersized for the group, and hotel did not have much of a selection of diet drinks. Also, it's obvious that these hotels are not equipped for 1000 geeks all using the internet at once - might be worth temporarily augmenting their in-room wireless uplink to prevent the high latency that we saw almost every night at the marriott.	Dec 14, 2007 9:12 AM
52	They were hardly to be found at the meetings I attended	Dec 14, 2007 8:38 AM
53	Having the "late registration \$150 penalty" day occur on the day after Thanksgiving (when many businesses are closed) was a little out-of-touch. Was that a purposeful move to catch people sleeping and boost revenue?	Dec 14, 2007 8:21 AM
54	I didn't personally have a problem with power strips (I have a laptop with a long battery life) but power strips seemed to be a bit sparse at the rear of several rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM
55	Not a huge deal, but the toasters didn't work at the breakfasts.	Dec 14, 2007 6:24 AM
56	food&bvg area was too small&crowded (yes, I know there was a 2nd floor)	Dec 14, 2007 5:30 AM
57	Not enough room in the meeting hotel (Westin) No tables and seats in the breakfast area	Dec 14, 2007 4:46 AM
58	IT seemed to me it was unusally hard to find power.	Dec 14, 2007 4:11 AM
59	Lots of nuts in food, I'm allergic Could not eat much	Dec 14, 2007 4:05 AM
60	The room Internet at the Marriott had a login that didn't work if Skype was running. Local bug. The food ran out one breakfast, and coffee was not available in the first after-lunch break.	Dec 14, 2007 3:35 AM
61	The webcast of the DHC working group meeting was off more than it was on, so a co-worker back in Redwood City was unable to listen to my insightful and	Dec 14, 2007 2:48 AM

**Page 3, Q12. Please explain if dissatisfied with any of the foregoing.**

	eloquent contributions.	
62	Power strips were not available in meeting rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 2:31 AM
63	Jabber audio services went down a few times.	Dec 14, 2007 2:22 AM
64	Never enough power strips for the big meeting rooms. Power strips get "hijacked" to other locations.	Dec 14, 2007 2:12 AM
65	not enough power plugs available	Dec 14, 2007 1:50 AM
66	Audio was mixed in a meeting. We could hear voices from the other meeting. Availability of power cords was bad in the conference halls,	Dec 14, 2007 1:42 AM
67	Some audio stream and jabber outages were experienced and reported.	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
68	didn't like that there rarely were power strips in the back ~ 5 rows of a room... some of us active contributors (authors/WG chairs) don't like to always have to sit in the front of sessions that aren't our own WGs.	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
69	No power in rear 1/2 of MANY rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 12:32 AM
70	More tables in the meeting rooms! Only the first few rows had tables. Its uncomfortable to have a laptop in your lap for hours.	Dec 14, 2007 12:27 AM
71	Power strips were grossly under allocated. We needed 4-6 times more.	Dec 14, 2007 12:20 AM
72	Registration experience: the mini-schedule was missing from my packet. Not a big deal.	Dec 14, 2007 12:19 AM
73	Food and drink were like a fight! Come a bit late and there's nothing left anymore except a dry bagel.	Dec 14, 2007 12:17 AM
74	Actually I wasn't able to attend IETF in 2007.	Dec 14, 2007 12:14 AM
75	Seems like you could always use more power strips.	Dec 13, 2007 11:52 PM
76	Microphones not always working	Dec 13, 2007 11:42 PM
77	Ran out of food at breakfast most days before 9 am. Also, food was on a small number of tables, making access difficult.	Dec 13, 2007 11:37 PM
78	insufficient sustenance snack foods between sessions, complete lack of an open alcohol relax-and-chat bar area other than the small westin restaurant. why were the snack foods taht were provided quickly taken away if there were remainders?	Dec 13, 2007 11:34 PM
79	The audio equipment did not work well in a couple of cases or we were receiving audio from other rooms	Dec 13, 2007 11:28 PM
80	Was not dissatisfied, but there were at least a few rows in at least one of the conference rooms with no power strips (though in general, order of magnitude better than a few years ago where I considered myself lucky to find power strips). Also at least one meeting had another meeting's audio coming over the	Dec 13, 2007 11:26 PM

**Page 3, Q12. Please explain if dissatisfied with any of the foregoing.**

PA system, which was fixed pretty quickly. And it appeared there were several times when people from the jabber rooms complained that the streaming stopped.

81	The audio feed was extremely quiet and cut out frequently. Likewise Jabber services.	Dec 13, 2007 11:21 PM
----	--	-----------------------





**Page 3, Q13. If the Secretariat or the IAOC could do one thing to improve the meetings or ID support performance it would be:**

1	Keep away from isolated ghetto venues!!!	Feb 5, 2008 6:08 PM
2	Pick good hotels without ongoing construction. Keep meetings in a centralized area so people can easily go between meetings	Jan 2, 2008 12:47 PM
3	Have tutorials interspersed during the week instead of requiring Sat. travel for Sunday tutorials	Jan 2, 2008 12:42 PM
4	Hotel network improvement	Jan 2, 2008 7:28 AM
5	Make sure that there are plenty of power sockets, working microphones and loudspeakers	Dec 22, 2007 5:13 AM
6	Provide somewhat more nutritious	Dec 20, 2007 4:08 AM
7	They are doing great job !!	Dec 20, 2007 1:04 AM
8	Desks for meeting rooms	Dec 20, 2007 12:46 AM
9	Ensure that NO renovations are being conducted during the meeting period.	Dec 19, 2007 8:35 PM
10	Nothing special	Dec 19, 2007 7:56 PM
11	Dont schedule all the interesting sessions at the same time.	Dec 19, 2007 6:17 PM
12	?	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
13	Continue to attempt to minimize noise and other distractions.	Dec 19, 2007 3:42 PM
14	Change the basic approach to Food/Snacks. White flour and Sugar is not good for anybody, and it's not 'the thing' for all geeks any more. It needs a <b>**MAJOR**</b> change.	Dec 19, 2007 2:50 PM
15	pretty good - I appreciated the larger version of the meeting schedule rather than the very tiny one	Dec 19, 2007 2:30 PM
16	No construction in hotel at meetings.	Dec 19, 2007 2:15 PM
17	IETF 69 had little pads of paper widely available for taking notes. IETF 70 did not. Maybe I'm old fashioned, but I think it's nice to have paper available to jot down notes like "Follow-up meeting on such-and-such a topic is Thursday at 4:10pm".	Dec 19, 2007 2:10 PM
18	Have snacks at the earlier breaktime. Also, have most or all cookies without nuts, and remember chocolate chip is the most favored of IETFers (put out a bunch of cookies sometime and see which disappear first)	Dec 19, 2007 1:55 PM
19	Change the online ID submission tool to handle dates in the Month followed by Year format. This is the format that will appear on the final RFC.	Dec 19, 2007 1:52 PM
20	Somehow make the meetings cheaper. Video streams from the WGs would also be nice.	Dec 19, 2007 1:29 PM

**Page 3, Q13. If the Secretariat or the IAOC could do one thing to improve the meetings or ID support performance it would be:**

21	Stay with venues that can accommodate attendees.	Dec 19, 2007 1:12 PM
22	make them significantly cheaper.	Dec 19, 2007 1:07 PM
23	Wider range of snacks and drinks for the breaks. Have refreshments available in the meeting areas all day and not just at the breaks.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
24	Wireless microphones interfered between meetings. This could be fixed by using different frequencies.	Dec 19, 2007 12:43 PM
25	Have all food and drink available for the whole break, not just the beginning of the break.	Dec 19, 2007 12:41 PM
26	Reduce costs; the fees are quite high for a meeting where only beverages and continental breakfast are provided, even for a 5-day meeting.	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
27	cut the meeting cost down	Dec 19, 2007 12:32 PM
28	Clarify the "usefulness" of the friday morning sessions. They are probably fine for north american people who can fligh back in a few hours (ie on friday afternoon). People from the rest of the world, typically need more time to fligh back and hence either can't attent the friday morning, or could attend the whole friday)	Dec 19, 2007 12:31 PM
29	Negotiate lower hotel room costs. I can't afford to stay at IETF hotels most of the time, especially since good hotels are often available (within walking distance) for half the cost !	Dec 19, 2007 12:22 PM
30	Make it work	Dec 18, 2007 6:18 PM
31	Dial up access so that remote people can present and contribute.	Dec 18, 2007 3:29 PM
32	Better variety and quality of food at breakfast and breaks	Dec 17, 2007 4:07 PM
33	Honestly, I think it would make sense to have the area meetings at the beginning of the week.	Dec 17, 2007 2:56 PM
34	Healthier coffee breaks i.e. no cookies at all but only fruit. Reduce the stress by fewer sessions or more parallell tracks avoiding evening sessions.	Dec 16, 2007 6:03 PM
35	Ensure adequate number of hotel rooms at venue.	Dec 16, 2007 2:24 AM
36	Chocolate chip cookies without nuts.	Dec 16, 2007 1:37 AM
37	DEcrease the cost of the registration	Dec 15, 2007 8:19 PM
38	The Secretariat is brilliant - I hope this transition to a new contractor can preserve this level of services and attention to detail.	Dec 15, 2007 3:33 AM
39	Whiteboards for breakout sessions.	Dec 14, 2007 8:44 PM
40	This was a terrific IETF. Aside from hotel noise issues, from my perspective things went really well. Almost all snack breaks even had plain yogurt. So, I'm	Dec 14, 2007 8:35 PM

**Page 3, Q13. If the Secretariat or the IAOC could do one thing to improve the meetings or ID support performance it would be:**

	reduced to suggesting that plain yogurt be available at all snack breaks, not just most.	
41	More variety with the afternoon snacks (candy day, ice cream day, etc.) We used to do this.	Dec 14, 2007 4:43 PM
42	Run and improve remote participation (jabber and audio).	Dec 14, 2007 3:45 PM
43	Ensure there is a place to sit down for the breakfast and breaks. It doesn't have to be like Chicago (although this was optimum). However, there should be at a minimum seating or tables.	Dec 14, 2007 1:48 PM
44	Keep Marsha	Dec 14, 2007 1:16 PM
45	Secretariat/IAOC support is outstanding	Dec 14, 2007 12:44 PM
46	Move dinner back to the 5:30 to 7:30 window.	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
47	Better tools	Dec 14, 2007 11:22 AM
48	Make T-shirt distribution more convenient -- I was never able to be there or was in meetings (over three days) when the T-shirt distribution people were on-hand to give them out.	Dec 14, 2007 11:06 AM
49	More networking opportunities.	Dec 14, 2007 9:47 AM
50	I wasn't staying in the Westin, but my understanding is the construction was troubling to many staying there. It did not effect the meetings. So, work on making sure no construction is occurring at that time. In Chicago, there was also construction and it DID effect some meetings.	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
51	Finalize the agenda earlier so firm travel plans can be made (arrival/departure dates dependent on specific WG meetings)	Dec 14, 2007 8:26 AM
52	Signage was a little thin at this meeting.	Dec 14, 2007 8:21 AM
53	Do *not* schedule meetings in hotels that are undergoing renovation!	Dec 14, 2007 8:03 AM
54	automate blue sheet process	Dec 14, 2007 7:54 AM
55	Clarify terms and conditions for extended stays especially at subsidiary hotels (i.e., ones other than the main conference hotel) that are contracted by the IETF. Also some info on local restaurants especailly those catering for special diets.	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM
56	Honestly, the Secretariat, the IAOC, are doing a darn good job. The only thing that I wish changed would be that my fellow IETF participants would bicker a bit less on the mailing lists about every single thing they can blame on the Secretariat and the IAOC ;) IMO, you're doing a darn good job!	Dec 14, 2007 6:19 AM
57	Better selection of beverages during the break (no sparkling water, for example), on the technical side, things were good enough.	Dec 14, 2007 5:22 AM
58	Do not give too much attention on people complaining about everything on the	Dec 14, 2007 4:50 AM

**Page 3, Q13. If the Secretariat or the IAOC could do one thing to improve the meetings or ID support performance it would be:**

	attendees' mailing list. Some of those complaints have been really ridiculous.	
59	More meetings in Europe :-)	Dec 14, 2007 4:08 AM
60	Publish IDs on time, without needing 5 reminders from the chairs. Anything subject to manual processing is now taking over a week to appear.	Dec 14, 2007 3:47 AM
61	Don't send draft publication notices to the ietf mailing list.	Dec 14, 2007 2:48 AM
62	Have coffee and snacks available all day.	Dec 14, 2007 2:16 AM
63	See above.	Dec 14, 2007 2:12 AM
64	Nothing -- you're doing a great job! You appear to be rolling with the unexpected punches and learning how to avoid making the same mistakes.	Dec 14, 2007 1:56 AM
65	I know you guys are taking orders from IESG, but the blackout period is totally useless and stupid. I see people reusing IDs on similar topics so they can submit a week later. If chairs tell people "can't present if you didn't submit in time for the deadline", we wouldn't have to have a blackout at all.	Dec 14, 2007 1:23 AM
66	not sure I understand the ID support performance question. To address the meetings question: give some attention (monetary assistance) to the streaming audio and its archive. Reasonable audio capture (the last several meetings have had extreme issues interfacing with the facility microphone systems) and easy access to archives would help me as a chair.	Dec 14, 2007 1:16 AM
67	Free jello.	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
68	need sweets at the first afternoon break! need Diet Coke in the morning! (and not just coffee for caffiene)	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
69	Find slightly cheaper accomodation <US\$150 with WLAN available	Dec 14, 2007 12:25 AM
70	ensure adequate power strips in the meeting rooms.	Dec 14, 2007 12:20 AM
71	Keep things more regional and small, OR: keep things in North American centric.	Dec 14, 2007 12:14 AM
72	Hold them in countries where it is not necessary for foreign visitors to have all ten digits fingerprinted (no wonder Bert Wijnen is giving up!)	Dec 13, 2007 11:35 PM
73	satisfied other than the above	Dec 13, 2007 11:34 PM
74	Even though it is much improved now and even better this past meeting, probably more could be done to get all the online information together in one spot better. E.g. The meeting attendees mailing list archive was not anywhere to be found, it would be good to have it somewhere with the other meeting info, very easy to find.	Dec 13, 2007 11:26 PM



**Page 3, Q14. Multiple communications methods were used, the 70Attendees list, the Meetings Trouble Desk: mtd@ietf.org to report problems and provide feedback, a NOC ticketing system. How would you rate their usefulness?**

1	Persons on the attendee list should self-restraint useless discussions.	Feb 1, 2008 9:29 AM
2	Registered on site and the 70 Attendees list was not available to me to join. Why? How do I join the other lists?	Jan 2, 2008 12:47 PM
3	I hate the attendees list which seems to be a forum for whingers and I am not interested in there problems.	Dec 21, 2007 7:47 AM
4	70Attendees List should be used for announcements only. We need another list for people who wish to communicate heaviliy or discusssions. This 2nd list does not need to be subscribed by everyone.	Dec 21, 2007 5:18 AM
5	The 70attendees list was far too noisy for the occasionally useful item. Unfortunately it seems that many of the participants have a tendency to whinge freely.	Dec 20, 2007 8:44 AM
6	lots of pointless email on 70attendees list	Dec 20, 2007 4:07 AM
7	Didnt use them.	Dec 19, 2007 10:06 PM
8	Nothing special	Dec 19, 2007 7:56 PM
9	excessive whining on attendees list at times; perhaps a separate "whine" list should be created	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
10	Nothing is ever going to be perfect. Please ask people to stop using the attendees mailing list as an open gripe session, and use it for relevant material.	Dec 19, 2007 2:55 PM
11	A bit too much noise on the list, but useful nevertheless	Dec 19, 2007 1:55 PM
12	more tables with power strips at the meeting rooms	Dec 19, 2007 1:49 PM
13	Reaction to the murky water situation was faster than I expected.	Dec 19, 2007 1:29 PM
14	I would prefer the attendees list to always be the same list for all meetings. People could be subscribed when registering and all the subscriptions removed after the IETF. That makes it easier to have all attendee list mails from all IETFs always filtered in a separate folder	Dec 19, 2007 1:27 PM
15	##Attendees lists in the past have had very low signal to noise ratios. I was not aware of the mtd@... address until Friday. It should be advertised on the registration page. An announce-only attendees list (postings only by secretariat, IAB and IESG) would be very welcome.	Dec 19, 2007 1:18 PM
16	The 70Attendees list was far quieter than in Chicago or Prague. I don't know why. I was not aware of mtd@ietf.org. I could have used it, had I known of it.	Dec 19, 2007 1:03 PM
17	Too much "spam" on 70Attendees List, i.e. unimportant mail. Adding a header with hints for usage would help.	Dec 19, 2007 1:00 PM
18	sent one email to mtd to report and outage. service came back, but no "we believe it's fixed" message came back other than the auto response "your ticket	Dec 19, 2007 12:24 PM

**Page 3, Q14. Multiple communications methods were used, the 70Attendees list, the Meetings Trouble Desk: mtd@ietf.org to report problems and provide feedback, a NOC ticketing system. How would you rate their usefulness?**

	number is foo"	
19	A lot of totally irrelevant issues e.g. water color. A moderator is need to reduce the spam.	Dec 16, 2007 6:03 PM
20	To much noise/whining on the attendees list.	Dec 15, 2007 6:00 PM
21	the attendee list has a signal to noise level that matches most spam	Dec 15, 2007 3:33 AM
22	70attendees list got too chatty	Dec 14, 2007 2:56 PM
23	The mailing list per meeting is great. It should be more prominent so those not in attendance can join if they are so included, e.g., audio participants.	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
24	attendee lists need active moderation/re-direction	Dec 14, 2007 11:22 AM
25	Did not know that mtd@ietf.org existed. An announcement on 70Attendees and/or an announcement on the wireless/terminal room handout would be good paths to use.	Dec 14, 2007 10:46 AM
26	A little more chatter than I like, but better than not having it.	Dec 14, 2007 9:47 AM
27	At least the 70Attendees List provided people with somewhere else to vent besides the main IETF lists.	Dec 14, 2007 9:27 AM
28	the signal to noise ratio on 70attendees is unsatisfactory - perhaps an email with what it is/isnot to be used for would help to cut the spam chatter	Dec 14, 2007 9:12 AM
29	I felt spamed by the 70Attendees List.	Dec 14, 2007 8:38 AM
30	Attendees list needs moderation. I'll not subscribe again unless it is. It's nothing but a whinebox.	Dec 14, 2007 8:21 AM
31	I am not sure I was aware of mtd - this was new I believe and I am not sure I saw any adverts. Unfortunately 70attendees was cluttered by the usual whinging and moaning about trivialities, but there is little the secretariat can do to filter this.	Dec 14, 2007 7:10 AM
32	Too much noise on the list	Dec 14, 2007 5:09 AM
33	I was not aware of MTD and NOC	Dec 14, 2007 4:31 AM
34	Very slow responses to my mails to mtd.	Dec 14, 2007 3:35 AM
35	I was participating in the IETF meeting via audio. I had multiple problems and reported via the trouble ticket system. I got no feedback that the problem was ever investigated and/or resolved.	Dec 14, 2007 2:09 AM
36	Stop the whining on IETF70 mailing list and collect it to a separate whining list.	Dec 14, 2007 1:42 AM
37	What is mtd@ietf.org ?	Dec 14, 2007 12:33 AM
38	idiotic postings to 70attendees list are annoying.	Dec 14, 2007 12:20 AM

**Page 3, Q14. Multiple communications methods were used, the 70Attendees list, the Meetings Trouble Desk: mtd@ietf.org to report problems and provide feedback, a NOC ticketing system. How would you rate their usefulness?**

39	There used to be a NOC web page hanging around the meeting page. But I couldn't find it this time. So perhaps more availability of information would be good.	Dec 13, 2007 11:35 PM
40	The mtd address used TMDA. I sent a help request to it in the middle of a session I was chairing, and went back to the session work. Only 20 minutes later did I find a TMDA challenge. You can't use TMDA on an emergency help address.	Dec 13, 2007 11:31 PM
41	attendees list was good but seemed like there was no traffic initially, and I was unable to locate the archives. Should be very easy to find archives and subscription page.	Dec 13, 2007 11:26 PM





**Page 3, Q15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

1	Think the classes are a great idea, I want to join them, but my company has a meeting on Sunday afternoons. Can you distribute these meeting across weekday evenings?	Jan 2, 2008 12:47 PM
2	during the week would be easier for me to attend (less hotel/meal cost since I'm already there)	Jan 2, 2008 12:42 PM
3	Travel delays caused me to miss these.	Dec 30, 2007 10:53 AM
4	XSD vs. RelaxNG comparison, was already planned as I know.	Dec 21, 2007 5:18 AM
5	Did not attend any but think must be v useful for new folk.	Dec 19, 2007 10:06 PM
6	Would rather these classes be on Monday not Sunday	Dec 19, 2007 6:17 PM
7	?	Dec 19, 2007 4:08 PM
8	None for me, thanks.	Dec 19, 2007 3:42 PM
9	HIP	Dec 19, 2007 3:40 PM
10	those looked pretty good - unfortunately my schedule kept me from arriving on time	Dec 19, 2007 2:30 PM
11	Heard XML was great.	Dec 19, 2007 2:15 PM
12	If there's interest, I'd be willing to do a DHCPv6 training session at IETF-71 or later. I've tried to get in touch with EDU Team but haven't had any luck so far; IETF web site link to it was broken last I checked.	Dec 19, 2007 1:23 PM
13	i was slightly disappointed with the DNS tutorial, it wasn't introductory enough. went to IDR tutorial last time, very good	Dec 19, 2007 1:10 PM
14	recent changes to IETF policies and procedures.	Dec 19, 2007 1:07 PM
15	None - we have an internal meeting on Sunday.	Dec 19, 2007 12:43 PM
16	Putting some of these sessions later in the week would have been useful. I would have liked to attend more, but was unable to due to clashes between them.	Dec 17, 2007 8:50 AM
17	Unfortunately collission with another meeting. Try to run them twice, a second time during the week.	Dec 16, 2007 6:03 PM
18	I generally attend and enjoy the tutorials, but I had weather delays getting in this time.	Dec 16, 2007 1:37 AM
19	These were some of the highlights of the meeting. Really appreciated Tim Bray and the other guy's presentations!	Dec 15, 2007 6:52 PM
20	How about a presentation on the current state of IPv6 transition tools?	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 PM
21	The newcomers tutorial confirmed that I'd already absorbed everything that	Dec 14, 2007 2:56 PM

**Page 3, Q15. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

	newcomers need to learn.	
22	XML modeling was not useful, too generic, should have been more helpful, more precise, more advice on things to do and not do.	Dec 14, 2007 11:58 AM
23	I think classes are a great idea and should continue.	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
24	An on-line course description for the XML tutorial would have been helpful!	Dec 14, 2007 10:46 AM
25	I missed that there was the IETF Tools session but might attend it next time. Does it cover authoring an Internet-Draft? That seems to be the stumbling block for many folks.	Dec 14, 2007 10:19 AM
26	Due to 5 hour flight delay I missed the opportunity to attend these. Too bad they were all on Sunday.	Dec 14, 2007 9:47 AM
27	I didn't pay attention that there may have been classes during the week. I missed Sunday due to mechanical problems with aircraft. I would have probably attended DNS and Intro to IETF tools and XML Modeling. I think these would be good to repeat. Newcomers is a must attend for newcomers.	Dec 14, 2007 9:32 AM
28	Possibly RELAXng; that is if APPS area keeps pushing for it= to be used within IETF.	Dec 14, 2007 7:54 AM
29	IPR issues	Dec 14, 2007 5:30 AM
30	I only attended Wed and Thu sessions. I would have attended some training if they are distributed in evenings instead of scheduling every classes on one day.	Dec 14, 2007 2:31 AM
31	I wanted to listen to audio and see the presentation for the XML modeling session, but this was not available. I was VERY disappointed.	Dec 14, 2007 2:09 AM
32	I heard good things about the XML tutorial and would like to attend next time if schedule works.	Dec 13, 2007 11:52 PM
33	It would be nice to get training from the real experts. My experience with these classes at previous IETFs is that the presenter was not always a real expert in the subject matter.	Dec 13, 2007 11:37 PM
34	multicasting	Dec 13, 2007 11:25 PM



**Page 4, Q19. In the future what survey question would you like asked?**

1	Details about venue preferences, both globally and local details.	Feb 5, 2008 6:09 PM
2	What IETF is doing to ease IPv6 deployment ? (transition, etc)	Feb 1, 2008 9:30 AM
3	Hotel choices need to have free internet and more local (walking) choices for food.	Jan 2, 2008 12:48 PM
4	Do you understand how the various IETF groups inter=relate and do you understand how the Board systemically addresses Internet-wide issues like infrastructures/interoperability.	Jan 2, 2008 12:43 PM
5	Would you appreciate real-time text support during meetings to support your audio or to follow a parallel session at same time?	Jan 2, 2008 7:29 AM
6	What items could be added to the agenda that might increase attendance, and still be a reasonable use of IETF meeting time for "working" attendees, thus reducing the registration price for everyone?	Dec 30, 2007 11:01 AM
7	a) Were there any sessions overlapping in last meeting which you would like to attend? b) Would it be interesting to have a shuttle bus in the morning (possibly with a small fee) from hotels in the near to the meeting hotel?	Dec 21, 2007 5:31 AM
8	Would you like a mail list to subscribe to at the time of the meeting that is ONLY for addressing technical information. Not food, water and cookie bitching.	Dec 20, 2007 1:05 PM
9	What would you like for breakfast and refreshments	Dec 20, 2007 4:10 AM
10	"T-Shirt needed?" "Oily cookie needed?"	Dec 20, 2007 12:47 AM
11	would you continue to attend IETF meetings if they were [more less] frequent, or segmented into areas	Dec 19, 2007 10:34 PM
12	do you need a visa to attend this host country? what kind of visa support from us do you like?	Dec 19, 2007 9:09 PM
13	much more hotel information	Dec 19, 2007 9:05 PM
14	The amount of meeting time. Basically there isn't enough.	Dec 19, 2007 8:36 PM
15	Nothing special	Dec 19, 2007 7:57 PM
16	Do evening sessions need to be restored ?	Dec 19, 2007 7:11 PM
17	When is the best time for the classes?	Dec 19, 2007 6:17 PM
18	Other activities that might be supported directly or indirectly at IETF meetings.	Dec 19, 2007 4:57 PM
19	What is the maximum registration fee that you would pay for regular attendance?: 100/200/300/500/700	Dec 19, 2007 4:22 PM
20	"In the future what survey question would you like asked?" ;-)	Dec 19, 2007 4:11 PM
21	If there were differentiated meeting fees where a higher fee provided some non-essential services (like lunch), would you pay the higher fee. This might allow	Dec 19, 2007 3:44 PM

**Page 4, Q19. In the future what survey question would you like asked?**

	more wealthy participants to voluntarily subsidize less wealthy ones without incurring the wrath of their corporate sponsors.	
22	Does the IETF still work for the Internet or for the telco industry?	Dec 19, 2007 3:41 PM
23	In the future what survey question would you like asked?	Dec 19, 2007 3:39 PM
24	Whether travel to a meeting site in Japan would be feasible. The past IETF held in Yokohama was well run and had good local sponsorship. Yokohama also has excellent direct railway (Narita Express) connections to Tokyo/Narita International Airport. This made it very simple to travel there. So I think another meeting held in Japan would be a very fine thing.	Dec 19, 2007 2:16 PM
25	Whether important to have a hotel at the IETF venue rather than attendees staying at various hotels separate from the venue	Dec 19, 2007 1:29 PM
26	When do you want the IETF to stop doing surveys?	Dec 19, 2007 1:04 PM
27	Should cost of city (hotel, food, etc) be taken into account when selecting venues? Places like Atlanta, Dallas, and Prague are bargains compared to Paris and Vancouver.	Dec 19, 2007 12:46 PM
28	whether getting to meeting location with a minimum number of connections matters to folks.	Dec 19, 2007 12:37 PM
29	I have noticed that the IETF71 Marriott Hotel under construction, again like in IETF 69 chicago, why choose it again and receiving many complaints as expected?	Dec 19, 2007 12:35 PM
30	How meet the food and beverage provisioning meet your expectation? Background: until now even very poor coffee in the US and Canada	Dec 19, 2007 12:31 PM
31	How was the hotel accomodations (room). In Vancouver it was real real bad due to LOUD construction starting at 7am (though they said they wouldn't start until 8am).	Dec 19, 2007 12:28 PM
32	In future, please ask us if we think we got value for the money we spent to participate in the most recent IETF meeting. Maybe something like "All things considered, how satisfied were you with your participation in IETF-nn?" Very, somewhat, not much, not at all.	Dec 19, 2007 12:24 PM
33	Ask me about the cookies	Dec 18, 2007 6:18 PM
34	How can we better facilitate involvement of remote people ?	Dec 18, 2007 3:30 PM
35	NB: q17 mentions the wrong cities, those were 2007	Dec 17, 2007 8:58 AM
36	Whether or not non-native-English-speaking participants face difficulties in understanding native-English-speakers during the WG sessions or plenaries; whether or not IETF should address this problem one way or the other; and how could it be addressed	Dec 17, 2007 7:50 AM
37	A row for better than satisfactory in the categories where that was the top.	Dec 16, 2007 1:38 AM

**Page 4, Q19. In the future what survey question would you like asked?**

38	what method of travel did you take form airport, along with time and cost.	Dec 15, 2007 11:19 AM
39	What was wrong with the venue's food service? The breakfast ran out at 8:20AM every day in Vancouver.	Dec 14, 2007 8:45 PM
40	How can WG scheduling be improved?	Dec 14, 2007 8:36 PM
41	What factors contributed to, or detracted from, your ability to get work done?	Dec 14, 2007 12:46 PM
42	Was the timing of the meeting convenient or are there better ways to schedule the meetings?	Dec 14, 2007 11:55 AM
43	Nothing comes to mind.	Dec 14, 2007 11:30 AM
44	Do you think the IETF has be captured by Telco / Cableco interests?	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
45	How useful are the remote attendance tools?	Dec 14, 2007 11:28 AM
46	Is your laptop v6 capable?	Dec 14, 2007 11:23 AM
47	It might be interesting data to ask something like: For which of the Areas did you attend the most meetings: (or "If you attended IETF XX, which was the PRIMARY AREA where you attended meetings?") and then a list of the various areas. It might give some insight into how many people attended which areas (and also which areas have the most people filling in the survey). For instance, I went to pretty much all the RAI meetings and only one or two in the other areas.	Dec 14, 2007 10:22 AM
48	What was the one most important thing you came away from the meeting with? A. Gained new experience and support to strengthen my personal position within IETF's WGs. B. Communicated a concern or issue during the course of the meetings. C. Made some new or strengthen some existing industry relationships. D. Influenced the WG based on my corporation's/institution's/organization's position. E. Influenced my corporation's/institution's/organization's position based on what was happening in the wg. F. Enjoy the venue location and social events.	Dec 14, 2007 9:58 AM
49	Comment for previous questions. The acoustics were very poor in the plenary meetings. It was very hard to understand anyone.	Dec 14, 2007 9:33 AM
50	Some questions about travel difficulties in attending: difficulting in venue city airport, immigration/customs, etc.	Dec 14, 2007 9:28 AM
51	you should ask questions as to whether WG meetings were too short/long, etc to give an idea of how to schedule, also ask about the WG chair's performance in keeping the meeting on-task	Dec 14, 2007 9:14 AM
52	How about "what do you think the IETF could do to improve your experience in IETF meetings?" And my answer would be: While attending in person is the appropriate thing to do, it is nevetheless not always possible to do so. In that regard, I would find it very helpful that the IETF would facilitate remote participation in a real time fashion. While jabber and the 1-way audio is good, things like 2-way audio and real-time video would really help customer experience. And it does not have to be free! If the IETF can come up with truly remote live solution, I would be willing to pay some money for that!	Dec 14, 2007 8:46 AM

**Page 4, Q19. In the future what survey question would you like asked?**

53	Would you prefer a larger food variety?	Dec 14, 2007 6:13 AM
54	an updated version of q17 :-)	Dec 14, 2007 5:30 AM
55	Wherever makes your job easier ;-)	Dec 14, 2007 5:10 AM
56	Should the meetings be equally spread between the regions? Given that there is a clear leaning towards US based meetings, do you believe that the excess of US based attendees is influenced by the meeting locations? If so, would having more meetings outside the US bring more non-US attendees?	Dec 14, 2007 4:38 AM
57	All obligatory questions should have enough options that everyone can submit a true answer. (Counterexamples in the current survey: questions 4 and 5 which lack the "0" option.)	Dec 14, 2007 4:11 AM
58	If it matters if the meeting location/city provides cultural activities. If a meeting in place where it rains or snows most of the time matters.	Dec 14, 2007 2:13 AM
59	The IETF is all about writing good standards, and making sure that that are implemented. I don't see that as the focus of the questions. There needs to be questions into how to improve that process to lower the cost and to reduce the time. There is too much focus on the actual IETF meetings and not the mission of the IETF.	Dec 14, 2007 2:12 AM
60	1) how many people are happy with the agenda 2) how many people are happy with the timing of the release of the agenda and venue	Dec 14, 2007 1:46 AM
61	If we didn't meet in the United States as long as the Department of Homeland Security color was worse than green, would you be any less likely to attend? :-(	Dec 14, 2007 1:25 AM
62	Quality of remote participation	Dec 14, 2007 12:45 AM
63	What was your biggest 'take away' from this (most recent) meeting? o great networking with existing and new people o great networking with new people o getting good work done o meeting the people who are defining how the Internet is evolving o seeing how the IETF works o seeing the chaos o seeing the chaos, and once was enough o (I'm sure this list can be added to by others)	Dec 14, 2007 12:38 AM
64	1. Are you attending the IETF for a jolly, or are you actively involved in the standards process? 2. Are you: a) An engineer implementing standards b) A manager designing standards c) A researcher d) A student e) A recruiter (Because it seems to me there are too many managers and too few implementors.)	Dec 14, 2007 12:37 AM
65	How were the hotels recommended by the IETF? Noisy, net available, price, distance from conference venue, etc.	Dec 14, 2007 12:28 AM
66	There should be an option to choose "0" for how many meetings I plan next year... you forced me to check at least "1"	Dec 14, 2007 12:18 AM
67	I guess IETF meeting should be considered to split into two or more sub-meetings. I also think presenters and authors should have higher priorities.	Dec 14, 2007 12:15 AM
68	Give a spot to give overall impressions and additional comments.	Dec 13, 2007 11:39 PM



**Page 4, Q19. In the future what survey question would you like asked?**

69	If the meeting fee _increased_ to \$600, why was I billed \$700 (before the cutoff)? The meeting fee is something of an issue. I can stay in a cheap hotel and get a cheap flight. But I can't do much to reduce the meeting fee, which is significant and a significant portion of my cost to attend the IETF.	Dec 13, 2007 11:37 PM
70	"What meeting information did you look for and have trouble finding?"	Dec 13, 2007 11:36 PM
71	Issues with Visas or travel to specific countries would be a useful thing to track (e.g. time it took to arrange a Visa).	Dec 13, 2007 11:30 PM
72	Why was this meeting \$700? That was quite steep!	Dec 13, 2007 11:22 PM