













1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		9.5%	27
Europe		33.9%	96
Middle East		2.1%	6
North America		49.1%	139
Africa		0.7%	2
Latin America/Caribbean		3.5%	10
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania		1.1%	3
		answered question	283
		skipped question	2

2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including this one)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		9.2%	26
2 - 5		15.1%	43
6 - 10		15.8%	45
11 - 19		19.7%	56
>20		40.1%	114
		answered question	284
		skipped question	1

3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.5%	13
IAB member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.5%	7
IRSG Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.5%	5
IAOC-Trust member	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.0%	2
Nomcom member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.5%	7
Working Group chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	39.7%	79
Author of active working group draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	63.3%	126
Author of active individual submission draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	71.9%	143
Full Time Student	<input type="checkbox"/>	5.5%	11
answered question			199
skipped question			86





4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950		3.9%	11
1950 - 1960		19.9%	56
1961 - 1970		31.6%	89
1971 - 1980		31.6%	89
1981 - 1990		12.1%	34
After 1990		1.1%	3
answered question			282
skipped question			3



5. Did you attend IETF 86 in Orlando?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, on a Day Pass		1.8%	5
Yes		97.9%	279
No		0.4%	1
answered question			285
skipped question			0




6. How long did your travel to the meeting take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		27.9%	74
5 to 10 hours		23.4%	62
11 to 20 hours		38.9%	103
> 20 hours		9.8%	26
answered question			265
skipped question			20

7. Did you have to apply for a visa to attend IETF 86?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		7.9%	21
No		92.1%	246
answered question			267
skipped question			18

8. Did you also attend the IEEE meeting which followed the IETF meeting?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		5.2%	13
No		84.8%	212
I was not aware of the IEEE meeting		10.0%	25
answered question			250
skipped question			35



9. How would you rate the meeting wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	0.0% (0)	1.9% (5)	2.6% (7)	27.4% (73)	67.7% (180)	0.4% (1)	4.62	266
NOC	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	10.6% (28)	24.2% (64)	64.2% (170)	4.64	265
Help Desk	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	1.1% (3)	8.7% (23)	18.9% (50)	70.8% (187)	4.58	264
Terminal Room	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	1.9% (5)	20.2% (53)	28.9% (76)	48.7% (128)	4.51	263
						Comments		31
						answered question		266
						skipped question		19

10. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Internet service in Caribe guest room	5.3% (14)	11.0% (29)	15.5% (41)	26.9% (71)	14.8% (39)	26.5% (70)	3.47	264
Meeting facilities	0.4% (1)	2.7% (7)	15.2% (40)	44.7% (118)	34.8% (92)	2.3% (6)	4.14	264
Hotel Staff	1.5% (4)	4.6% (12)	21.3% (56)	38.8% (102)	21.7% (57)	12.2% (32)	3.85	263
Food and beverage	8.3% (22)	11.4% (30)	33.7% (89)	33.0% (87)	12.1% (32)	1.5% (4)	3.30	264
							Comments	56
							answered question	265
							skipped question	20

11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

		Response Percent	Response Count	
Yes		33.7%	88	
No		66.3%	173	
			Comments	
			107	
			answered question	261
			skipped question	24

12. If you did not, why did you not stay at the meeting hotel, the Caribe Royale?

		Response Percent	Response Count	
Hotel was full		58.0%	47	
Cheaper Hotel		42.0%	34	
Family-friendly alternative		6.2%	5	
Loyalty program elsewhere		16.0%	13	
Company policy		11.1%	9	
Never do		2.5%	2	
	Other (please specify)		20	
			answered question	81
			skipped question	204

13. Shuttle buses were provided in the evenings. Why did you -not- use the buses?

		Response Percent	Response Count	
Rental Car		42.0%	84	
Taxis		11.0%	22	
Stayed on property		25.0%	50	
Other		22.0%	44	
	Other (please specify)		54	
			answered question	200
			skipped question	85

14. How would you rate the following?



	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	0.4% (1)	2.7% (7)	11.5% (30)	51.5% (135)	30.5% (80)	3.4% (9)	4.13	262
Power strips availability	0.0% (0)	3.8% (10)	18.7% (49)	43.5% (114)	33.2% (87)	0.8% (2)	4.07	262
Secretariat staff	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	21.8% (57)	59.9% (157)	17.2% (45)	4.71	262
Registration experience	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.3% (6)	24.4% (64)	72.9% (191)	0.4% (1)	4.71	262
Letter of Invitation handling	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (3)	5.7% (15)	8.8% (23)	84.4% (221)	4.49	262
Visa processing	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	0.8% (2)	1.5% (4)	3.1% (8)	94.3% (247)	4.27	262

Comments 17

answered question 262

skipped question 23

15. Did you attend the Bit-N-Bites event Thursday night?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		60.2%	159
No		39.8%	105
		answered question	264
		skipped question	21

16. Were you satisfied with the food and beverages at Bits-N-Bites?

	Neutral	Unsatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Rating Average	Rating Count
	21.8% (41)	5.9% (11)	46.3% (87)	26.1% (49)	2.77	188
					Comments	24
					answered question	188
					skipped question	97

17. What kinds of exhibits or types of information would you like to see at the BnB event?

	Response Count
	41
	answered question 41
	skipped question 244

18. Please comment if you have suggestions on how to improve the Bits-N-Bites event.

	Response Count
	24
	answered question 24
	skipped question 261

19. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/86/meeting-packet.pdf>



	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	6.0% (15)	33.6% (84)	15.6% (39)	44.4% (111)	4.16	250

Comments 16

answered question 250

skipped question 35

20. Do you read the IETF Journal? <http://www.internetsociety.org/ietfjournal>

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		53.5%	138
No		46.5%	120

answered question 258

skipped question 27

21. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 86All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 86Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.2% (8)	5.9% (15)	5.5% (14)	85.4% (216)	4.16	253
mtd@ietf.org	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.2% (8)	5.6% (14)	9.6% (24)	81.6% (204)	4.35	250
86All	0.0% (0)	0.8% (2)	12.9% (33)	39.8% (102)	34.4% (88)	12.1% (31)	4.23	256
86Attendees	3.1% (8)	6.7% (17)	16.5% (42)	38.8% (99)	24.3% (62)	10.6% (27)	3.83	255
						Comments		34
						answered question		257
						skipped question		28

22. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Newcomers Training	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	2.4% (6)	2.0% (5)	4.9% (12)	90.2% (221)	4.17	245
IEEE 802.1Q	0.4% (1)	1.7% (4)	0.4% (1)	7.0% (17)	2.9% (7)	87.6% (212)	3.83	242
WG Leadership	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	1.2% (3)	6.2% (15)	4.5% (11)	87.6% (212)	4.20	242
Intro to Real-Time Applications and Infrastructure Developers in IETF	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.7% (4)	5.0% (12)	2.5% (6)	90.9% (219)	4.09	241

Suggestions for Future Tutorials 12

answered question	249
skipped question	36

23. The IAOC held a session to provide an overview of the IAOC to allow the community to better understand what the IAOC does, how the finances work, and provide the IAOC feedback on the job they are doing. How well did the presentation provide details on the following topics?

	N/A	Not Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	Rating Average	Rating Count
Structure of the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA)	72.4% (157)	0.5% (1)	15.7% (34)	11.5% (25)	1.66	217
Operation of the IAOC	71.8% (155)	1.9% (4)	14.4% (31)	12.0% (26)	1.67	216
Financial model and relationship with ISOC	72.4% (157)	0.9% (2)	14.7% (32)	12.0% (26)	1.66	217
2012 Financial Summary	70.4% (152)	0.5% (1)	17.1% (37)	12.0% (26)	1.71	216
Meeting Venue Selection Process	68.7% (149)	4.1% (9)	17.1% (37)	10.1% (22)	1.69	217
				Other (please specify)		20
				answered question		217
				skipped question		68

24. How do you rate the Plenaries?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Monday (Technical)	0.8% (2)	3.2% (8)	15.9% (40)	38.6% (97)	21.9% (55)	19.5% (49)	3.97	251
Wednesday (Administrative)	0.8% (2)	2.8% (7)	14.0% (35)	36.8% (92)	11.2% (28)	34.4% (86)	3.84	250
							Suggestions for Improvement	24
							answered question	254
							skipped question	31




25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	46
answered question	46
skipped question	239


26. Do you plan to attend the following IETF meetings?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Undecided	Rating Count
IETF 87 Berlin	73.7% (193)	8.0% (21)	11.5% (30)	6.9% (18)	262
IETF 88 Vancouver	72.8% (190)	2.7% (7)	16.1% (42)	8.4% (22)	261
IETF 89 London	64.5% (169)	1.9% (5)	22.9% (60)	10.7% (28)	262
				answered question	263
				skipped question	22

27. If you did not attend IETF 86, why not? (Check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		0.0%	0
Expense		100.0%	1
Distance		100.0%	1
Location		100.0%	1
Corporate decision		0.0%	0
Could not get a Visa		100.0%	1
Didn't have information on time to make a decision		0.0%	0
Other (please specify)		0.0%	0
		answered question	1
		skipped question	284

28. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location, including another location at the meeting, using the Jabber room, audio streaming, and/or Meetecho.?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		0.0%	0
No		100.0%	1
		answered question	1
		skipped question	284

29. How would you rate the audio streaming, Jabber rooms, and Meetecho in support of your participation?

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	5.00	
Jabber room	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	5.00	
Meetecho	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	5.00	

Comments

answered question	
skipped question	2

30. Do you plan to attend the following IETF meetings?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Undecided	Rating Count
IETF 87 Berlin	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	1
IETF 88 Vancouver	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	1
IETF 89 London	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	100.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	1
answered question					1
skipped question					284

Page 3, Q9. How would you rate the meeting wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

1	Used the terminal room for impromptu meetings.	Apr 26, 2013 2:55 PM
2	I did not have need to use the NOC, so I did not rate it.	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
3	IETF Lounge was also very good experience.	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 PM
4	Dis not use the terminal room!	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
5	Wireless excellent as usual in the meeting areas, but not as good in the sleeping rooms	Apr 3, 2013 8:13 AM
6	Terminal room temperature was beyond freezing, where as the IETF lounge, just next door, was scorching hot.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
7	but unable to use IPv6 service on WiFi	Apr 2, 2013 8:38 PM
8	The wifi on the rooms of the hotel was very bad.	Apr 2, 2013 8:16 PM
9	The printer was unavailable during part of the time for some reason. It is very convenient to have the printer available at unpredictable and even unusual times. The fact that NOC and Help Desk were "N/A" is to be considered a "good thing".	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
10	IETF wireless is always excellent. Sometimes at the hotel, it takes a while for the hotel to agree to let the IETF upgrade things but once the IETF crew and local folks get access -- it's been great.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
11	In room wired and wireless was flakey it conference space was its usual solidness	Apr 2, 2013 1:14 PM
12	Hotel room wireless was only fair and sometimes sporadic.	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
13	I even tried to use the terminal room after its official close (it was recycled for IEEE) but I was not able to enter into the building...	Apr 2, 2013 11:56 AM
14	The in room wireless was completely non-functional.	Apr 2, 2013 11:42 AM
15	I didn't notice the wifi even once, which means it was perfect from my perspective.	Apr 2, 2013 11:11 AM
16	Did not contact help desk and did not use the terminal room, although I did use the adjacent "lounge" (if that's what they called the large room with round tables.	Apr 2, 2013 11:01 AM
17	too cold	Apr 2, 2013 10:29 AM
18	Lounge Room was better (food & chat allowed) than terminal room :)	Apr 2, 2013 10:05 AM
19	New web-based printing capability is very easy to use. Thanks.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
20	Terminal Room wasn't open 24, yet lounge was. Overflow hotel had no Internet.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
21	Everything was good from my end	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
22	One (known) issue I experienced, was with the faulty geolocation services. To	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM

Page 3, Q9. How would you rate the meeting wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

my understanding the cause of the problem did not lie with IETF, but with externals. Maybe next time these externals could be notified to update their databases?

23	Terminal Room + Lounge was a good idea - terminal room is quiet, but could set up for network access and have meetings/discussions in lounge area. Keep doing that - the lounge in Vancouver last year was in a wonderful location, but that won't be possible every time.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM
24	The wireless access in room was inconsistent.	Apr 2, 2013 8:55 AM
25	Need to work with Google and others to blacklist the MAC addresses of IETF's APs so that the location services work properly. Tired of my phone/browser, etc thinking I'm on the other side of the world and getting confused about timezones.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
26	Seperate non-quiet meeting room was very helpful.	Apr 2, 2013 8:50 AM
27	iPhone compatible printer is quite handy for printing boarding passes.	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
28	In the more crowded sessions the WiFi was outright unusable - link down most of the time and wifi adapter requiring a reset on a regular basis.	Apr 2, 2013 8:27 AM
29	The wireless connection could either remain up and all right for long or be very unstable, probably depending on the number of users, the room, the connection time...	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
30	needed NOC for audio a couple of times, fixes happened quickly	Apr 2, 2013 8:08 AM
31	Wireless was fine in convention center, not that good in Royale Caribe.	Apr 2, 2013 8:04 AM

Page 3, Q10. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

1	We took taxis to restaurants. That worked, but it would have been nice to have closer options.	May 13, 2013 11:54 AM
2	In-room WiFi was very poor (I was on ground floor of tower 2)	Apr 8, 2013 5:17 AM
3	Temperature on aircon was to low so I get ill.	Apr 5, 2013 3:10 AM
4	Food options were awful.	Apr 4, 2013 4:23 PM
5	The meeting rooms were very good. Sadly the venue was in the middle of nowhere. Transplant it somewhere with restaurants, bars and places to have 'breakout' meetings within a short walk.	Apr 4, 2013 1:15 PM
6	Running out of coffee seemed to be an issue at every break. I frequently had to buy my own from the Starbucks machine.	Apr 4, 2013 11:30 AM
7	On a few breaks coffee was late and/or ran out and/or was removed early.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
8	Food and beverage here refers not to the snacks (which we ok, though I got tired of huge cookies - they're actually too big) but to the quick food service they had in the Hotel, which to be honest was pretty bad/expensive-for-what-you-got, and there were limited options for those without rental cars.	Apr 3, 2013 9:57 AM
9	Food & beverage - (1) breakfasts and tea breaks - ok. (2) beer, coffee etc very poor / non-existent My hand-written suggestion / complaint handed in at the front desk was never answered	Apr 3, 2013 8:58 AM
10	I was walked, and staff was inadequate to assist.	Apr 3, 2013 8:13 AM
11	Caribe Express very convenient given the locale of the hotel.	Apr 3, 2013 2:14 AM
12	The outdoor tables were great. The rest was standard quality, nothing great, nothing lacking either.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
13	This hotel was awful; overprized, no food options, nowhere to go without car	Apr 2, 2013 7:44 PM
14	Food was shocking in all of the qualities you need. Quality - dreadful, truly some of the worst hotel I have had in years. Availability - sunday - actually no food available, 2 hour wait for empty resturant, no room service available, 90mins for a club sandwich in the bar ! are you fecking kidding!!	Apr 2, 2013 6:44 PM
15	It was a disaster for me to have lost my reservation at the Caribe.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
16	Venue was in a coffee desert. 25 minute walk along an 8-lane highway to the nearest Starbucks. Break food otherwise OK but lack of drinkable coffee is a dealbreaker.	Apr 2, 2013 5:20 PM
17	There was a limited choice in terms of food. (Halal food was not available)	Apr 2, 2013 4:14 PM
18	could be good to consider to take care for Kosher food as well	Apr 2, 2013 3:17 PM
19	The IETF support folks did a great job of providing convenient lunch options and transportation to restaurant areas for dinner. The hotel had an OK but rather	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM

Page 3, Q10. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	limited selection of food. It would have been a plus to have walking distance options to provide variety for lunch as well as for dinner.	
20	The food at the venue was not that good. Breakfast slightly better than average though perhaps.	Apr 2, 2013 1:43 PM
21	The food was removed almost exactly on the second the time expired. The choices were not diabetic friendly for most snack sessions.	Apr 2, 2013 1:14 PM
22	Actually I stayed at the Buena Vista Suites reserving my room through the IEEE 802 meeting arrangements.	Apr 2, 2013 12:49 PM
23	Everything got better as the week progressed. the organizers were clearly on the ball.	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
24	Some of the drinks suppose that were natural, but they were really synthetic. Would be great if the hotels could provide real natural juices.	Apr 2, 2013 11:50 AM
25	Internet service in the guest rooms was fine while the IETF network was active. Outside of that, it sucked.	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM
26	took multiple requests to get healthy/special food options, coffee/tea only available to the minute of breaks, not a minute before/after	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM
27	of course it was IETF network on the wired port in my Caribe guest room, and I brought my own AP	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM
28	Only wired Internet in guest room. Some meeting rooms were quite cold, others quite warm. Quite uncomfortable with all that change. Much better to have facilities with restaurants to which one can walk.	Apr 2, 2013 11:23 AM
29	I was disappointed by how frequently the bottled water ran out during breaks. I'm not usually big on bottled water, but in a location where the tap water tastes like a mix of sulphur and mud, it becomes far more important.	Apr 2, 2013 11:11 AM
30	I did not stay at the Caribe Royale	Apr 2, 2013 11:06 AM
31	There should be bagels on the first day, before we start to whine	Apr 2, 2013 10:53 AM
32	Very good to get breakfast with eggs and not only sugar filled pastries.	Apr 2, 2013 10:52 AM
33	Wi-Fi service in room was poor, but the wired service (extended with my own AP) was fine.	Apr 2, 2013 10:23 AM
34	Very limited coffee available	Apr 2, 2013 10:19 AM
35	There was no way to get from hotel check-in to the meeting halls without getting rained on (the day that it rained). No covered walkways around pool area. Very poor design!	Apr 2, 2013 10:05 AM
36	Food choices were limited and the best of them were fair to good. The rest were fair to poor.	Apr 2, 2013 10:03 AM

Page 3, Q10. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

37	Please stop choosing Disney and other "destination" locations. Major cities in their off season are a fine choice for getting IETF work done.	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
38	Some meeting rooms were very hot, and others were very cold.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
39	I was in the Beuna Vista	Apr 2, 2013 9:37 AM
40	Food and coffee is always carted away too soon after the breaks. If one is having a conversation after a WG session, chances are you are out of coffee. This can be easily corrected.	Apr 2, 2013 9:29 AM
41	There was basically very little food service available. Thank god we had a good breakfast, and that I had a kitchen nearby.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
42	I was at one of the overflow holiday inn hotels	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
43	I stayed at the overflow hotel.	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
44	A good venue for middle of nowhere.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM
45	It is a sad resort that won't even *sell* you coffee, modulo the one Starbucks machine that was broken or out of resources half the time. ...blah blah no place for meals blah blah...	Apr 2, 2013 9:07 AM
46	Having perfectly balanced AC is a very important factor, and the AC of conference center of the Caribe Royale wasn't.	Apr 2, 2013 8:52 AM
47	Food in hotel overpriced. Car required to get decent and reasonably priced food. Even wired internet access was horrible. Some issues on getting DHCP to give IP addresses. Also for some reason in wired internet private IP:s (NAT) was in use.	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
48	The grab and go lunch was a great addition. However the lack of reasonable room service menu and prices and the lack of restaurants within walking distance and the lack of inexpensive options (e.g. subway, panera bread etc) was a major problem	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
49	Wifi in the hotel rooms was flaky. Has to use Ethernet cable at a desk in the "living room", no window. Regarding the hotel, my cc was charged \$5 on the day after checkout, allegedly from using one of the room water bottles, which I didn't.	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
50	I ended up driving with a GPS for 40 minutes looking at "clusters" of food outlets to find a decent coffee shop. That location ended up 1.5 miles out but unreachable by foot (so I had to serve as a taxi for other people). Food was even worse. I did not find a single decent location at a decent price anywhere in the vicinity. Compare that with 5-10 minutes walk in an average European city.	Apr 2, 2013 8:27 AM
51	Food & beverage rating: Meeting breakfast: Very good (and any complaints addressed promptly) Restaurant on site: Very Poor (unless one uses the expensive Venetian Room)	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
52	good breakfast	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM

Page 3, Q10. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

53	very poor restaurants ...and for eating 30 minutes by bus....very bad located hotel	Apr 2, 2013 8:19 AM
54	Never before have I had staff shrug when announcing that bar food would take more than an hour. And for that to happen multiple nights in a row is unbelievable.	Apr 2, 2013 8:13 AM
55	Internet service was mediocre until the NOC worked their customary magic. The most challenging aspect of the venue was the savagery of the air-conditioning in the meeting rooms. This genuinely impaired productivity for a significant proportion of the conference.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
56	Not enough power strips.	Apr 2, 2013 7:54 AM

Page 3, Q11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

1	I have an issue with venues far away from restaurants. The second BIG issue in Orlando is that you cannot access anything without a car.	May 27, 2013 5:05 AM
2	Is in middle of nowhere for non drivers	Apr 20, 2013 4:21 PM
3	"no" as in " I won't advocate it", but I wouldn't mind; food options very limited, though,	Apr 8, 2013 10:34 AM
4	In-room WiFi was very poor, in-hotel dining options were not good, and there were few restaurants within walking distance (a car was mandatory to get out of the hotel). However, meeting facilities were good and hotel rooms comfortable.	Apr 8, 2013 5:17 AM
5	While it was not an issue for me this time (I drove from my home), it would have been a severe issue of how to get around if I had not had a car available (which I seldom do when I go to the IETF).	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
6	Horrid location - completely disjunct from any local services w/o car.	Apr 5, 2013 4:18 AM
7	Meeting rooms were spacious and hallways too	Apr 5, 2013 3:22 AM
8	Food options were really awful. Also, typically IETF meetings are held in urban venues with many of food/drink options to walk to. In this venue there were practically none- anywhere you wanted to go pretty much required transportation. I was happy that a warm weather location was selected (even though the weather wasn't as warm as hoped), but this venue was just not very pedestrian-friendly, and again, the food options in the venue were awful.	Apr 4, 2013 4:23 PM
9	The venue was good enough, though I had my reservations when I got there (took me 2 hours to get to the hotel from the airport using a shared ride shuttle). In the end, I had fun at the Caribe, but I would probably advise not to have a meeting here again. It is too hard to get to the facility from the airport using public transport or shared rides. Taxis are always an option, but if you are limited to a budget, then that puts the taxis out of reach.	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
10	Too remote, despite good meeting rooms.	Apr 4, 2013 1:15 PM
11	Hotel was fine.	Apr 4, 2013 11:30 AM
12	Accessibility to food is definitely an issue as we need to have/rent a car.	Apr 4, 2013 4:23 AM
13	I understand people from outside the US might appreciate the chance to go to Disney. I neither want to nor do I appreciate the dining options available.	Apr 4, 2013 12:19 AM
14	Hotel location was very inconvenient for taking foods outside.	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 PM
15	Not fond of Orlando. No restaurants within walking distance. Car is required. Traffic is terrible. No good restaurants that I could find. Mostly junk food.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
16	terrible location. no able to walk there from other hotels.	Apr 3, 2013 1:47 PM
17	The Caribe wasn't a great venue due to it lying way out of down-town Orlando. Note: I actually was one of those whose confirmed reservation was denied, but I found the alternative accommodation+transport arrangements very satisfactory.	Apr 3, 2013 10:43 AM

Page 3, Q11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

18	A resort hotel is not appropriate for this event	Apr 3, 2013 10:35 AM
19	Mostly because it was an excuse to stay inside DisneyWorld with the kids and drive to the venue each morning.	Apr 3, 2013 9:57 AM
20	Too far from the "civilization" (e.g., restaurants) ...	Apr 3, 2013 9:16 AM
21	Way too remote. Not good enough network in sleeping rooms. Poor staff.	Apr 3, 2013 8:13 AM
22	I would prefer a location in a downtown area.	Apr 3, 2013 7:57 AM
23	Hard to get to/from the location. Hard to find alternative (cheaper) accommodation within easy public transport	Apr 3, 2013 5:25 AM
24	Although the Caribe Royale was fine as a venue, I like to walk to a restaurant, etc. Here, just crossing the road to the CVS or Mexican restaurant was already quite a challenge.	Apr 3, 2013 5:15 AM
25	Too hard to find good food	Apr 3, 2013 4:17 AM
26	I'm not as vehement as others, but I tend to favor urban venues for walkability, etc. I did not stay at the Caribe (rented a car) and traffic around I-4 was tough.	Apr 3, 2013 2:14 AM
27	I prefer having several (non-hotel) restaurants nearby. The evening shuttle service doesn't fully make up for this.	Apr 2, 2013 9:39 PM
28	Please never use this kind of venue again. Nothing doable without a rented car, alternative hotels not within walking distance, the only possibility being the not so flexible shuttles. And since it's impossible for you to predict the number of rooms required, just avoid provoking this kind of situation, unless you wish to overprovision the number of room in the ietf block.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
29	I could not reserve my room at Caribe Royale and if there are plenty of IETF booked rooms it might be good venue.	Apr 2, 2013 8:38 PM
30	I hope to never go back to this hotel. It was the worst place for an IETF in years.	Apr 2, 2013 7:44 PM
31	Never - and for a number of reasons. Location - Remote. You are essentially trapped in a hyper inflated pricing bubble designed to fleece tourists to Disney. Transport - there is none unless you want to rent a car. 70\$ for 10 min ride the airport !! \$80 for 12 min ride to resturant.. and so on. Hotel - They clearly broke their contract with the IETF for overbooking. Rooms where in pretty poor state. Despite repeated called to front desk I was unable to get the missing 50% of bulbs in my room replaced. No room service for 2 nights. Repeated attempts by house keeping to enter the room at 7am despite a "do not disturb sign".	Apr 2, 2013 6:44 PM
32	At least not during spring break!!!	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
33	Please, for the love of all that is good in this world, no.	Apr 2, 2013 6:11 PM
34	Downtown locations, please.	Apr 2, 2013 5:39 PM
35	just NOT during spring break....!!	Apr 2, 2013 4:06 PM

Page 3, Q11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

36	Too far from restaurants	Apr 2, 2013 3:21 PM
37	no restaurants and bars, etc outside of the hotel.	Apr 2, 2013 2:49 PM
38	It was a nice hotel with nice meeting facilities. And the price wasn't too bad. So it was OK. But it would not be a top choice given the limited meal options.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
39	I'm conflicted on whether to answer Y or N. It worked out OK, but I do prefer a more urban setting with better walking opportunities. My N vote really comes from the fact that there's no way to get a drinkable cup of coffee anywhere in the resort, and I'm not that picky! The one bright spot was the Starbucks machine in the conv. center that kept running out of supplies. Starbuck stores were too far to walk, for example. In urban settings we can always find a variety of choices.	Apr 2, 2013 1:56 PM
40	Worked OK for me. I rented a car.	Apr 2, 2013 1:51 PM
41	Traffic was difficult for those not staying at primary hotel.	Apr 2, 2013 1:47 PM
42	Terrible. Food and drink options at the venue was really bad, and too far from other options. The buses helped, but not as good as being in walking distance.	Apr 2, 2013 1:43 PM
43	Never ever ever ever. It's not really just the Caribe Royale. Theme park southern orlando just isn't such a great location for a meeting given the lack of sidewalks, coffee shops, halfway-decent-non-themepark restaurants for dinner meetings etc.	Apr 2, 2013 1:28 PM
44	nothing w/in walking distance w/o the high probability of being run over by a bus	Apr 2, 2013 1:19 PM
45	There was insufficient access to local food without a taxi or the dinner shuttle. For me, ample options within walking distance is a real bonus,	Apr 2, 2013 1:14 PM
46	My employer has all sorts of rules about what hotels I can stay in, and wouldn't let me stay near Disney, so I wound up doing a lot of driving. Ugh. It's true that if I were better at working around those rules, this would be less of a problem, but there it is. I wish we stayed away from obvious tourist spots.	Apr 2, 2013 12:58 PM
47	I think it is a great location for a meeting every few years although I understand some people don't like having to rent a car.	Apr 2, 2013 12:49 PM
48	But mostly for the excellent meeting facilities and F&B options on property. Location not ideal.	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
49	Expensive, isolated yet crowded at peak vacation time	Apr 2, 2013 12:39 PM
50	It's in the middle of nowhere.	Apr 2, 2013 12:11 PM
51	To remote a location, not enough room availability	Apr 2, 2013 11:56 AM
52	The hotel was nice enough; however, no walkable eating venues and non-functional wifi in-room are a huge problem.	Apr 2, 2013 11:42 AM
53	partially caribe, partially orlando. food beyond grim unless you got a car and burned a fair amount of time	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM

Page 3, Q11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

54	Absolutely not. IETF meetings should be places where there are many restaurant options within walking distance or on efficient public transport, like Quebec City, Vancouver, Minneapolis, London (IETF 51), Paris (twice), Seoul, etc.	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM
55	In general, the whole area is unnavigable without a car, and the on-site restaurants were predictably expensive, and of surprisingly low quality.	Apr 2, 2013 11:11 AM
56	Not thrilled with Orlando, and would prefer to return to using more business oriented hotels, such as Hilton's	Apr 2, 2013 11:06 AM
57	The hotel was acceptable as a meeting facility, although it certainly had challenges in the area of not being particularly convenient to food options outside of the hotel and traffic around the hotel was at times horrendous.	Apr 2, 2013 11:01 AM
58	Not enough non-hotel food options.	Apr 2, 2013 10:54 AM
59	Need to have more access to walkable restaurants, not relying on bus timing	Apr 2, 2013 10:53 AM
60	The convention center was fine. But the hotels location and lack of food options within walking distance is what makes me unhappy in returning.	Apr 2, 2013 10:52 AM
61	The hotel itself was ok, once it stopped turning away IETF participants. However, the meeting location was horrible for food, neighboring hotels, etc. I walked daily to the hotel from mine, crossing highways, walking along the sides of dark roads, etc. I didn't even try to walk to food, as there really wasn't anywhere else to go.	Apr 2, 2013 10:24 AM
62	The venue itself was fine, but the tourist oriented and pedestrian unfriendly neighborhood forced me to stay "on-campus" all day.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
63	All places of interest, other than the meeting itself, are very far away.	Apr 2, 2013 10:12 AM
64	With shuttle busses around the area for dinner; otherwise the venue was too far off decent places	Apr 2, 2013 10:05 AM
65	No more Disney destinations close to spring / summer / holiday breaks, please!	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
66	It was a perfectly serviceable venue, but out in the middle of nowhere. City venues with public transportation and good food nearby make things a lot easier.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
67	far from anything.	Apr 2, 2013 9:44 AM
68	Too far away from food.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
69	Without a personal vehicle the local environment was a disaster.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
70	Too much walking around to get anywhere.	Apr 2, 2013 9:31 AM
71	The only problem was that the venue is pretty isolated. More shuttle availability would be welcome.	Apr 2, 2013 9:29 AM
72	Everything was good except for the air conditioning in the meeting rooms	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM

Page 3, Q11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

73	It is rather isolated and if I had known I would have hired a car - but would rather have been in a city centre - cost of hotel plus car would have been too much, would have stayed in a cheaper hotel	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
74	The hotel was already fully booked, when I registered for IETF 86. So maybe IETF should reserve more rooms for the conference beforehand? The venue itself was quite nice.	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
75	Middle of nowhere - nothing within walking distance.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM
76	It was OK as a meeting venue. There seemed to be ample space, and the catering was passable. It was very challenging for any non-meeting interactions, due to the long travel required to find a decent restaurant. If we want to do something in Orlando again, something in downtown would be better (downtown Orlando, not Downtown Disney!)	Apr 2, 2013 9:09 AM
77	Nope.	Apr 2, 2013 9:07 AM
78	BAD location. completely isolated without a rental car.	Apr 2, 2013 9:07 AM
79	Remote, poor nearby food, had to rent car	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM
80	hate being captive of resort - want to be able to go to selection of restaurants	Apr 2, 2013 8:59 AM
81	Orlando is not a good choice of venue under the best of circumstances (need rental car, tourist trap, etc). It's even worse when the meeting is roughly coincident with the spring break family travel season.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
82	Too far	Apr 2, 2013 8:52 AM
83	Probably more rooms	Apr 2, 2013 8:51 AM
84	I needed a car to get around. The location is not great.	Apr 2, 2013 8:51 AM
85	Orlando is fine, but the food & food options at Caribe Royale were not good.	Apr 2, 2013 8:50 AM
86	Indifferent, I would not mind it to be used again but IMO it's not one I'd be looking forward to.	Apr 2, 2013 8:38 AM
87	Not recommended due to remote location. Also "walking" IETF attendees was unacceptable.	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
88	My room was not available the first night and I had to stay elsewhere, the food choices were a major problem and the isolation of the venue from any other places such as shopping was also a problem.	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
89	In the middle of nowhere. Too expensive. Abusive charge.	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
90	It is a really beautiful venue but really far from downtown (downtownS in the case of Orlando). There is absolutely nothing walking distance. I answered "yes" but it's 50% yes and 50% no.	Apr 2, 2013 8:32 AM
91	Lack of proximity to diverse food choices; lack of proximity to less expensive hotels; no good central meeting / social area.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM

Page 3, Q11. Would you want the Caribe Royale used as a venue again?

92	The venue was utterly depressing. Artificial, pompous, void of any character and most importantly not a single place around where you could go with someone to have a semi-private conversation over a cup of coffee (or some half decent food different from a deli plastic box).	Apr 2, 2013 8:27 AM
93	Old, no attention to detail. A 2nd - 3rd rate venue	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
94	Lack of correct (and cost-effective) food place within reasonable walking distance.	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
95	It's too far off, a car is required even to go for dinner given the poor quality and choice on site (based on reasonable budget).	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
96	not enough "isolated" part to meet	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
97	This hotel did not meet the usual IETF standards in terms of facilities, service or location.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
98	IETF should be either in a City or else in some interesting place. Orlando is neither.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
99	In terms of access and surrounding amenities, I know the IETF has done better elsewhere.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
100	Worst Venue Ever	Apr 2, 2013 8:09 AM
101	No good coffee place, restaurants in walking distance	Apr 2, 2013 8:05 AM
102	not near anything	Apr 2, 2013 8:01 AM
103	Too remote from city centre/downtown.	Apr 2, 2013 8:01 AM
104	This is one of the worst venues we have been to, on par with Dublin or Dallas. Dreadful hotel in the middle of no-where, with no sane options for eating in the evenings. Hotels where you have to drive, or get a taxi, to get anywhere to eat are not acceptable.	Apr 2, 2013 7:54 AM
105	Although I like to go to different places :-)	Apr 2, 2013 7:53 AM
106	The food alternatives outside the hotel were quite limited, and traffic was really bad in the area.	Apr 2, 2013 7:53 AM
107	Lack of public transport, cheap alternative hotels, restaurants, etc.	Apr 2, 2013 7:53 AM

Page 3, Q12. If you did not, why did you not stay at the meeting hotel, the Caribe Royale?

1	I stayed in the hotel	May 13, 2013 11:54 AM
2	As a university professor on a very restricted budget, I always find the main hotel way too expensive. I prefer to spend my research money supporting graduate student rather than my luxury.	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
3	Weird question. Should have had an "I stayed at Caribe Royale."	Apr 5, 2013 9:54 PM
4	At the time of registration, the Caribe was fully booked. It took a long week to learn about the overflow alternative. Since the whole area was quite busy and the inter-hotel distances are large, it left me the feeling of an amateurish organization, even though I know this was not the case.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
5	I liked the venue and the time of year. I didn't bring my family this time, but another time I would.	Apr 2, 2013 6:30 PM
6	I did intend to stay at the Caribe Royale.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
7	As above, Buena Vista Suites was cheaper. IEEE 802 always has Buena Vista Suites as a participating hotel when they are at the Caribe Royale.	Apr 2, 2013 12:49 PM
8	stayed at Caribe before and won't stay there again	Apr 2, 2013 11:07 AM
9	Holiday Inn	Apr 2, 2013 10:03 AM
10	Was walked for first night.	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
11	I stayed in a suite hotel nearby (not the overflow hotel) so that I could cook my own food.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
12	I was very skeptical that compatible food would be available, so I opted for a very nearby hotel that had a kitchen, and as a result, was able to split a room with a fellow IETF/IESG person who also cared about healthy food.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
13	I waited to long to book	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
14	Need a rental car anyway, might as well stay off-site. I often do not stay at the meeting hotel, but prefer an alternative within walking distance - the sense of getting away from the meetings (which can be intense) at hte end of the day were nice.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM
15	I live in the Orlando area	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
16	Caribe Royale was ridiculously expensive, like all IETF hotel sites. Somehow we've gotten into this habit of believing that IETF is supposed to stay at overpriced hotels.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
17	Location - hotel was far away from anything.	Apr 2, 2013 8:26 AM
18	Room rate too high	Apr 2, 2013 8:05 AM
19	Tried to, but when I got there my room was gone :(Apr 2, 2013 7:51 AM
20	My room couldn't use ethernet that is not linked up.	Apr 2, 2013 7:48 AM

Page 3, Q13. Shuttle buses were provided in the evenings. Why did you -not- use the buses?

1	Shuttle buses were not there at the time I needed them.	May 27, 2013 5:05 AM
2	The busses, to my knowledge, were not going where I needed to go, and I needed to go on my schedule, not theirs.	May 13, 2013 11:54 AM
3	Too much else to do	Apr 11, 2013 11:56 AM
4	Working late.	Apr 9, 2013 10:04 AM
5	rental car / stayed on property	Apr 8, 2013 10:34 AM
6	Stayed close enough to walk	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
7	Another weird question. I took the shuttle bus.	Apr 5, 2013 9:54 PM
8	Hassle	Apr 5, 2013 4:18 AM
9	Did not have a need to use them for the evenings I was there.	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
10	Quit running at 11.	Apr 4, 2013 11:30 AM
11	Shared a car with someone else.	Apr 4, 2013 12:19 AM
12	Too constraining re travel times	Apr 3, 2013 6:58 PM
13	Evening locations too far from venue	Apr 3, 2013 4:12 AM
14	walked	Apr 3, 2013 12:16 AM
15	Lack of flexibility, no return to overflow hotel as far as i know.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
16	I did use the bus to the social	Apr 2, 2013 3:21 PM
17	co-workers had a car	Apr 2, 2013 2:49 PM
18	inconvenient	Apr 2, 2013 2:14 PM
19	I did use them -- Thank you.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
20	I appreciated that they were available and intended to use them, but it just didn't work out.	Apr 2, 2013 1:56 PM
21	went out with others who had cars	Apr 2, 2013 1:19 PM
22	Always missed schedule back to overflow hotel	Apr 2, 2013 11:46 AM
23	I used the shuttle buses	Apr 2, 2013 11:40 AM
24	Too much work to take extra time for shuttles.	Apr 2, 2013 11:16 AM
25	Went off "campus" 3 times via other opportunities (social event, group dinner, dinner with family). Didn't have much time otherwise.	Apr 2, 2013 11:01 AM
26	Timing wiht buses is difficult	Apr 2, 2013 10:53 AM

Page 3, Q13. Shuttle buses were provided in the evenings. Why did you -not- use the buses?

27	I took it once to downtown disney. Tourist trap food. Other days took cab or used other participants rental car.	Apr 2, 2013 10:52 AM
28	Rode with those who had rented cars.	Apr 2, 2013 10:36 AM
29	Shuttle buses only indicate you must spend extra time riding a bus to get to somewhere with good food, etc. i.e., waste of time.	Apr 2, 2013 10:24 AM
30	they didn't go where I wanted to go	Apr 2, 2013 10:23 AM
31	Stayed in or road with someone with a car. The buses would have been okay if I wanted to stay out all evening, but I typically had multiple meetings with different people. The additional travel time from waiting for a scheduled bus would have made that difficult.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
32	Buses didn't run on good times I needed	Apr 2, 2013 10:03 AM
33	time constraints - rode with others rental cars on the few evenings out	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
34	Traveled with others to local dinners	Apr 2, 2013 9:42 AM
35	I used, but not on daily basis, some day I arrived at site at or before 7am	Apr 2, 2013 9:36 AM
36	I had no confidence that the food at the other end would be interesting. Too many meetings.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
37	I had a car and I didn't attend any of the social events	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
38	Given the lack of food options at the hotel, the rental car was a necessity to get food for lunch, etc. during the week.	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
39	Wasn't aware of the schedule	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
40	I used the shuttle to return from dinner one evening and used a taxi to go to dinner because I went earlier than the shuttle. Other than that I stayed on property :-)	Apr 2, 2013 8:34 AM
41	Did not need them	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
42	Orlando is not a town that works well with buses.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
43	Didn't attend any out-of-hotel venues	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
44	Limited areas travelled to.	Apr 2, 2013 8:19 AM
45	stay at hotel	Apr 2, 2013 8:19 AM
46	Walked to overflow hotle	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
47	Only left for Social and one other night, when I shared a ride.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
48	Dislike being at the mercy of someone else's shuttle schedule for eating/going to bed.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM

Page 3, Q13. Shuttle buses were provided in the evenings. Why did you -not- use the buses?

49	to go where?????	Apr 2, 2013 8:09 AM
50	didn't work for me	Apr 2, 2013 8:08 AM
51	I used the buses.	Apr 2, 2013 8:02 AM
52	Bus destinations didn't offer (diet restricted) dining options (or took too long to get to)	Apr 2, 2013 8:01 AM
53	Didn't go anywhere useful, or at a useful time.	Apr 2, 2013 7:54 AM
54	went with friends who had a car	Apr 2, 2013 7:51 AM

Page 3, Q14. How would you rate the following?

1	I have to apply via ESTA for the visa waiver.	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
2	Easiest power access ever!	Apr 3, 2013 2:14 AM
3	Greatly improved A/V for remote participation (MeetEcho); sound engineering in the rooms themselves spotty (levels too high, mikes quick to overload)	Apr 2, 2013 5:20 PM
4	The length of the lines for registration depended on the first letter of your last name. You should divvy up the letters of the alphabet based on the number of registrants with a last name beginning with that letter.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
5	Only power strips every other row at the front. Should be every row.	Apr 2, 2013 1:43 PM
6	Meeting audio was outstanding ...	Apr 2, 2013 11:01 AM
7	Room sound was poor at times. Video projectors seemed rather outdated. Power strips were plentiful, but mostly old fashioned strips that don't play well with apple power supplies.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
8	Had to fight with the sound system a bit more than most meetings	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
9	if secreatary speak chinese will be good	Apr 2, 2013 9:21 AM
10	Other than access to power strips, everything was great.	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
11	Why were power strips only set in every other row?	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM
12	Each room needs to have a card at the WG chair's desk with the recommended projector resolution settings. Microphones (especially wireless) were prone to horrible static and feedback in several rooms.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
13	Speaker mic not working well at all.	Apr 2, 2013 8:52 AM
14	There were significantly less power strips this time than in previous meetings. On more than one occasion, I had to change seats to get to a power strip.	Apr 2, 2013 8:51 AM
15	Meeting rooms need whiteboards. Powerpoint should be discouraged.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
16	IETF audiovisual equipment was OK. That is not everything though. I needed to a couple of ad-hoc meetings organized. The hotel asked for 830\$. Yes 830 bloody \$\$\$\$ for an afternoon of projector rental and 30\$ for renting a power strip for 3h. Talking of daylight robbery...	Apr 2, 2013 8:27 AM
17	It may be time to begin transition to wide-format projectors.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM

Page 3, Q16. Were you satisfied with the food and beverages at Bits-N-Bites?

1	Didn't get the chance to visit all the exhibitors, but I got a lot done by meeting different people.	Apr 9, 2013 10:04 AM
2	Excellent.	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
3	Want to see more active demonstrations from sponsors.	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 PM
4	Nice homenet demo. Should have provided a URL.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
5	did not attend	Apr 3, 2013 3:47 PM
6	Don't understand why there was so long of a delay from the end of the last session to the bits n bytes event. That caused excessive food lines.	Apr 3, 2013 8:13 AM
7	What is it ?	Apr 2, 2013 11:56 AM
8	The lab was GREAT, should have been a week long lab for testing.	Apr 2, 2013 11:47 AM
9	More stations would be nice. The congestion in the queues to get food was rather high at the beginning.	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM
10	I have found the catering at the bits 'n' bytes to be impressive; not just this time, but at every one so far.	Apr 2, 2013 11:11 AM
11	difficult to talk to people at demos, too many people around, only 1 or 2 could hear the presenter	Apr 2, 2013 10:25 AM
12	Food and drink was excellent	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
13	Better options for non-alcoholic drinks would be appreciated	Apr 2, 2013 10:11 AM
14	The IETF does not do these sorts of things very well compared to other experiences such as MAAWG despite charging substantially more per meeting.	Apr 2, 2013 9:58 AM
15	There should be Halal food option available for Muslims.	Apr 2, 2013 9:50 AM
16	It was inaccessible or pretty much gone.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
17	did not attend	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
18	Not applicable	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
19	This is turning into a nice event - keep it up.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM
20	Would have preferred to have more vegetarian options.	Apr 2, 2013 8:51 AM
21	gluten-free options were minimal.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
22	As a vegetarian...	Apr 2, 2013 8:13 AM
23	Too many people kept talking to me which made getting to the food hard.	Apr 2, 2013 8:13 AM
24	Really good idea, this should be repeated	Apr 2, 2013 7:53 AM

Page 3, Q17. What kinds of exhibits or types of information would you like to see at the BnB event?

1	Workgroup implementation demo. The WGs shouldn't have to pay for BnB event.	May 27, 2013 5:05 AM
2	MORE! Gear! Technical stuff! More people showing running code!	Apr 6, 2013 7:08 PM
3	The mix of experiments and information seemed good to me	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
4	A display by NoC showing details of the setup for that event.	Apr 5, 2013 3:22 PM
5	What you had was good. Build on it.	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
6	The demos are interesting.	Apr 4, 2013 11:30 AM
7	Network equipments working applications	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 PM
8	Homenet demo was great.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
9	not interested in these kind of events	Apr 3, 2013 3:47 PM
10	new RFC implementations	Apr 3, 2013 10:35 AM
11	I heard the Bufferbloat demo from comcast was good	Apr 3, 2013 9:57 AM
12	SDN, Internet of Things	Apr 3, 2013 2:50 AM
13	computer equipment	Apr 3, 2013 12:20 AM
14	How about an interop corner ? So we can see the running code at work !	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
15	More vendors and equipment.	Apr 2, 2013 6:30 PM
16	network enabled consumer products	Apr 2, 2013 6:21 PM
17	more	Apr 2, 2013 2:14 PM
18	I don't know.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
19	protocol prototypes in action (especially routing protocol prototypes :P)	Apr 2, 2013 1:28 PM
20	I would like to see applications over servers, like routing, switches, sip servers.	Apr 2, 2013 12:43 PM
21	More future produces for the masses	Apr 2, 2013 11:47 AM
22	Security protocol inter-operation demos	Apr 2, 2013 11:28 AM
23	More demos like IPSO had in Atlanta or Comcast had here.	Apr 2, 2013 11:17 AM
24	Certainly, information about technical projects of certain IETF efforts was interesting, more of the same would also be interesting.	Apr 2, 2013 11:01 AM
25	demos of new technology/issues	Apr 2, 2013 10:25 AM
26	I'd like to see more booths in general. As it is, this is a food and drink event with a small number of booths. The few booths that are there are so busy they are	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM

Page 3, Q17. What kinds of exhibits or types of information would you like to see at the BnB event?

	hard to approach. As I think the opposite was intended, this experiment seems like a failure.	
27	Less tables with posters and more tables with running code	Apr 2, 2013 10:11 AM
28	More vendors, very sparse. if you got a few more vendors, you might have a better event. The ones you had were fairly uninteresting. I was impressed that Huawei turned up.	Apr 2, 2013 9:58 AM
29	Demonstrate Internet security products that make use of IETF standards.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
30	More equipment implementing recent IETF work	Apr 2, 2013 9:29 AM
31	We should add the poster event for people who need to present. I'm happy to talk to more people.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
32	great technology	Apr 2, 2013 9:21 AM
33	Not sure - I treat it as another opportunity to talk to people whom I ought to talk to during the week.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM
34	more demos are always welcome	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
35	the same kinds of things, just more of them.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
36	Protocol interoperability demo?	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
37	Demo of new IETF protocols. Interop of IETF protocols	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
38	Implementations of IETF work in progress. WebRTC!	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
39	some statistics about IETF "microcosm"	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
40	I think product presentations are fine. I also think that demos of IETF WGs / IRTF RGs make sense here.	Apr 2, 2013 7:53 AM
41	Possibly demos of ininteropable implementations of new IETF protocols. May be tough if that costs 10K per table.	Apr 2, 2013 7:51 AM

Page 3, Q18. Please comment if you have suggestions on how to improve the Bits-N-Bites event.

1	Workgroup implementation demo. The WGs shouldn't have to pay for BnB event.	May 27, 2013 5:05 AM
2	Maybe invite the IRTF best paper award winner to have a poster session at the B-N-B. I know that Lars holds a competition for some sort of a "IRTF best paper".	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
3	How about giving best sponsor award voted by attendees?	Apr 3, 2013 10:07 PM
4	none	Apr 3, 2013 3:47 PM
5	more stands	Apr 3, 2013 10:35 AM
6	Demos of work is always good.	Apr 3, 2013 5:25 AM
7	The exhibitors need to do a *much* better job of communicating what they're about. Billboards filled with a long list of bullet points don't come close to doing this.	Apr 2, 2013 9:39 PM
8	PA that announces more than the lottery winning numbers.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
9	Probably impossible to remedy -- but it was simply too loud.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
10	I don't know if much benefit accrues to those who are providing the displays and food but the event provides an excellent place for social/technical interactions -- almost everyone is there and it's a compact space unlike the socials.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
11	More user testing	Apr 2, 2013 11:47 AM
12	give each booth 5-10 minutes with a microphone to explain what they show	Apr 2, 2013 10:25 AM
13	We still need more vendors I think.	Apr 2, 2013 10:24 AM
14	The event needs substantially more booths. That really hasn't improved since the first iteration. I think we should seriously consider ending the experiment if we can't get a lot more (at least an order of magnitude more) booths.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
15	Labs should be open all week for interop testing	Apr 2, 2013 10:11 AM
16	As a BnB participant, we would have liked it if there were an easy way to collect contact information, since hardly anyone brings business cards to IETF.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
17	Let it go on longer.	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
18	more vendors. It seems so far that most of the sponsors are IETF "familiar" - folks who are already well-known to the IETF community, so this isn't helpful to them as an outreach event.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
19	Live Music	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
20	when the room is as large as @Orlando, the stands could be more spread	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
21	Allow academic groups for a really small fee to present their IETF related work - this would add interesting exhibitions to fill up some of the empty space and	Apr 2, 2013 8:13 AM

Page 3, Q18. Please comment if you have suggestions on how to improve the Bits-N-Bites event.

make people stay longer.

22	More bar tables to stand around / put plates on	Apr 2, 2013 8:13 AM
23	Scrap it - waste of time.	Apr 2, 2013 7:54 AM
24	More vendors would definitely improve the experience (and, more interesting vendors). It felt like "a second welcome reception".	Apr 2, 2013 7:48 AM

Page 3, Q19. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/86/meeting-packet.pdf>

1	I do not read meeting Program Book. I only read the Agenda at the black board.	May 27, 2013 5:05 AM
2	I use the Tools calendar, integrated with the rest of my calendar.	May 13, 2013 11:54 AM
3	Did not use it. I tend to print what I will definitely use (e.g., Agenda) and rely on the notice board for changes. Having to haul out my laptop to read the agenda just does not cut it, and the little folded agenda has a print size that is way to small for me to read, even with my reading glasses on.	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
4	Didn't see it.	Apr 4, 2013 1:15 PM
5	Many useless information	Apr 2, 2013 8:37 PM
6	I was not aware of the meeting Program Book.	Apr 2, 2013 1:21 PM
7	Not directly related but there should have been a few more floor plans here and there up on the walls in the hallways.	Apr 2, 2013 12:49 PM
8	Didn't really pay much attention to "meeting packet" although I did make use of documents related to meeting	Apr 2, 2013 11:01 AM
9	Why bother with it? All the contents are available online.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
10	I no longer use the printed packet, ever. I use the meeting views available on the datatracker and the iphone app.	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
11	I honestly never looked at it—I just look at the agenda online. I think it's a waste of paper, although it's very elegant.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
12	The print seems to be getting harder to read as I get older...	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
13	A PDF is not what people care about today. I heard a lot of people talking about the IETFers mobile app, and none talking about this. Instead of putting resources into a book, better to make an app or mobile-friendly web page.	Apr 2, 2013 9:09 AM
14	Did not know such a thing existed. Looks useful.	Apr 2, 2013 9:07 AM
15	I haven't used the program book for quite some years now.	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM
16	I tend to rely less on the paper program and more on the smartphone apps.	Apr 2, 2013 8:52 AM

Page 3, Q21. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 86All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 86Attendees...

1	Signal to noise varies on 86attendees but I know you don't control that; that's just the IETF for you	Apr 26, 2013 2:55 PM
2	Provide an easy way to unsubscribe from 86all and 86attendees towards the end of the week.	Apr 9, 2013 10:04 AM
3	86attendees gets noisy at times, but this goes with the stated use of the list.	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
4	S/N on 86attendees was low.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
5	All these list are only useful if something important happens, this time the value was poor, with the exception for a few notes from the seretariat.	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
6	Attendees continues to have a poor signal to noise ratio, but I still subscribe	Apr 3, 2013 8:13 AM
7	There is the usual rant on 86attendees which makes it hard to distill useful information posted.	Apr 3, 2013 5:15 AM
8	Too much spam on 86Attendees.	Apr 3, 2013 4:17 AM
9	My, aren't people chatty about nonsense on the mailers. ;-)	Apr 3, 2013 2:14 AM
10	Requests for social event tickets should move off the 'attendees' list.	Apr 2, 2013 9:39 PM
11	Many useless discussion	Apr 2, 2013 8:37 PM
12	I wish there were a reward system for avoiding sooooo many unnecessary messages to 86Attendees. I can't imagine how to make it work, though.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
13	low SNR on attendees list but that's to be expected.	Apr 2, 2013 5:20 PM
14	86attendees is useful but also full of useless traffic.	Apr 2, 2013 1:14 PM
15	Always a fair amount of noise on the attendees list. Not clear you can do much about it.	Apr 2, 2013 12:49 PM
16	Too much irrelevant chatter about meaningless nits and whiners about non-essentials on the 86attendees list. That stuff should be on Twitter.	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
17	Very useful to keep updated with important details and even issues.	Apr 2, 2013 11:50 AM
18	The email list I was on which I believe was 86Attendees was too "chatty".	Apr 2, 2013 11:25 AM
19	There can be lots of noise on the attendees list, but that's to be expected.	Apr 2, 2013 11:17 AM
20	86attendees had too much useless discussion, but also some (very) useful information	Apr 2, 2013 10:25 AM
21	There seems to be a need for etiquette on the general mailing lists. There was too much discussion of opinion and "I wish..." on a list that is used to provide immediately useful information regarding an event that was already underway.	Apr 2, 2013 10:11 AM

Page 3, Q21. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 86All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 86Attendees...

22	Some people are so petty, keep the attendees lists each time just for pure entertainment value	Apr 2, 2013 9:58 AM
23	There are a few regular memes like the social ticket resale meme that ought to be dealt with by process rather than ad hoc commentary on the mailing list.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
24	Too much noise on 86 Attendees, but I'm pleased to keep that traffic off of ietf@ietf.org	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
25	I was surprised on the volume of the 86Attendees I had to filter it. But there was useful information.	Apr 2, 2013 9:37 AM
26	good; albeit verbose	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
27	The lists provided by IETF is one of the things I miss when attending the IEEE	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
28	As always, the attendees list is useful and needs to exist, but is largely full of griping. Waddyagonnado?	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM
29	86 attendees should send a welcome message to each attendee with a pointer to the meeting wiki/webpage so that some of their FAQs can be answered that way instead of on-list.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
30	The comments on the 86attendees helped me prepare for the mos trecent outbreak of Florida legislative madness (the international driving license idiocy). It did not help prepare for the utterly depressing location.	Apr 2, 2013 8:27 AM
31	Much noise on 86attendees. But there's little one can do.	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
32	I know it's important to have a forum such as this, but honestly, the discussions tended to generate a lot of chaff. I kind of expect IETF attendees to be capable of exercising a little more thought when posting to a broadcast list.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
33	unfortunately, there is some signal in the 86Attendees noise that can only be found there...	Apr 2, 2013 7:51 AM
34	Too much blalabla on 86attendees.	Apr 2, 2013 7:51 AM

Page 3, Q22. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

1	Tutorials must be recorded. Wrt "Intro to Real-Time Applications and Infrastructure Developers in IETF", the session was supposed to be recorded. The presenter was even asked if he was fine being recorded, but at the end, no recording.	Apr 9, 2013 10:04 AM
2	Make more hours in the week. 168 is really not enough.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
3	More IEEE-802 stuff.	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
4	I would have liked to attend both WG Leadership and Intro to Real-Time Applications and Infrastructure Developers in IETF, but my schedule did not permit it. Hopefully they can be offered again. A course on the existing IETF tools as well as internet draft writing would be useful as well.	Apr 2, 2013 11:06 AM
5	Would be good to have tutorials on all the WGs in the IETF. For each WG, have the chair put together a team of a few people that go over the problem statement and applicability. This should be done for new working groups (e.g. I2RS comes to mind).	Apr 2, 2013 10:45 AM
6	Maybe have a quick one on the local area near the venue. IE, show a map of "where you are" and "other things in the area, like food, that you might want to go visit". We could call it the "general area tutorial". ha ha.	Apr 2, 2013 10:24 AM
7	Avoid overlap between the newcomer and chair social and EDU teem events likely to be of interest to newcomers.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
8	Newcomers should be split. It would have been helpful to spend half as much time watching slides and use the other half to directly speak with multiple greybeards about how to proceed from that point. For example, expected etiquette and interactions during a WG session. Since mosts WGs have only one session per IETF meeting, the first seems a missed opportunity coming to terms with status quo.	Apr 2, 2013 10:11 AM
9	I only attended the RAI class and it was very good. Would have liked to have attended the newcomers training, but I couldn't get there in time.	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
10	Having classes overlap with the Newcomer's meet and greet is a bad idea, in particular since they are starting this trend to provide overviews of areas.	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
11	SCTP congestion handling	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
12	802.1Q was too much and too many acronyms in too short a time. And I have been attending IEEE meetings in the past. Imagine those who have not.	Apr 2, 2013 7:51 AM

Page 3, Q23. The IAOC held a session to provide an overview of the IAOC to allow the community to better understand what the IAOC does, how the finances work, and provide the IAOC feedback on the job they are doing. How well did the presentation provide details on the following topics?

1	I was not able to attend this meeting. I am still of the opinion that the financial information should be presented during a plenary, to one level of detail. Doing this presentation at every IETF seems like a good idea to me.	Apr 26, 2013 2:55 PM
2	I clearly understood that the ISOC is a "fairy godmother" for the IETF. However, I was unable to understand where the ISOC receives its funding from. I have subsequently come to understand (more or less) how it works, but some indication of the inflow source(s) would be helpful.	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
3	It would have been awesome to attend, but I didn't. So, how would I answer this question?	Apr 5, 2013 9:54 PM
4	Sorry, did not attend.	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
5	IAOC is doing a fine job.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
6	This was potentially useful, but I had not time to attend, please repeat on a regular basis (not every meeting but at least once a year.	Apr 3, 2013 11:56 AM
7	Isn't IAOC really just part of the old boys network? It seems the same people shuffle roles back and forth. I've given up on trying to be part of the team. I hope you all continue to do well anyway.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
8	Why is N/A suddenly on the left on this question?	Apr 2, 2013 6:11 PM
9	useful session but I couldn't attend it.	Apr 2, 2013 2:49 PM
10	Did not attend.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
11	Didn't go	Apr 2, 2013 1:51 PM
12	Did not attend.	Apr 2, 2013 12:49 PM
13	did not attend	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
14	I did not attend.	Apr 2, 2013 9:59 AM
15	Venue selection is a massive challenge. With such a diverse community and a few really vocal individuals, not everyone can or will ever be happy. Try to accomodate them but do realise, not all of the attendees are whining myopic nutters.	Apr 2, 2013 9:58 AM
16	I would have liked to have gone to this, but Sunday was too full for me as an incoming IESG member. If it's presented again I will try to make it; I heard it was very good.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
17	N/A column should have been on the right side to match all of the other questions.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
18	not sure	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
19	Meeting conflicts; could not attend.	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM

Page 3, Q23. The IAOC held a session to provide an overview of the IAOC to allow the community to better understand what the IAOC does, how the finances work, and provide the IAOC feedback on the job they are doing. How well did the presentation provide details on the following topics?

20	please stop having training sessions conflict with newcomers' reception. Had to leave this session early.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
----	---	---------------------

Page 3, Q24. How do you rate the Plenaries?

1	More financial detail. I realize there's back material for support but I believe it should be placed in front of the community. I heard a rumor that ISOC is now paying the IETF Chair. I don't know if it's true. If it is I'd like to know why this is not broadly known since it speaks directly to our relationship with ISOC.	Apr 26, 2013 2:55 PM
2	If you cut the mikes, cut the mikes.	Apr 6, 2013 7:08 PM
3	Something interesting for the keynote at the tech plen, please.	Apr 5, 2013 4:18 AM
4	FOr newcomers to have technology training that is more technical focused, e.g. IP v6 for beginners that last two days (or even week) before session.	Apr 5, 2013 3:10 AM
5	Henning gave a very good talk on a very relevant topic.	Apr 3, 2013 8:29 PM
6	If it can be I hope to have real-time subscription on the screen for not-native-english-speakers.	Apr 2, 2013 8:38 PM
7	I usually go to the plenaries but Orlando was a very significant exception, and I regret to have missed them.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
8	The talks of Henning Schulzrinne and not very informative.	Apr 2, 2013 2:49 PM
9	Missed the plenaries this time. :-(Apr 2, 2013 1:51 PM
10	Standardize on Hilton hotels or Hyatt hotels for the venue	Apr 2, 2013 11:06 AM
11	topics not interesting for me this time, previous one were usually better	Apr 2, 2013 10:25 AM
12	I wish we would further reduce administrative content that's available online. I liked the "one plenary night" experiment. I was disappointed to return to 2 nights.	Apr 2, 2013 10:18 AM
13	Let us get better ADs.	Apr 2, 2013 10:03 AM
14	Bring back the booze in the admin plenary, we need inspiration via alcohol to deal with it	Apr 2, 2013 9:58 AM
15	The Admin Q&A session was completely taken up by the diversity discussion, which was great, but Harald had something else he wanted to bring up, and I was disappointed that we didn't get to hear it. The keynote was addressed to the wrong audience. I guess keynote speakers can't be assured of having a clue, but it's possible that if he'd been briefed more thoroughly, he might have said something that was of more interest to the audience.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
16	not sure	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
17	I really, really think we should get back to a single plenary. Perhaps since there is the changing of the guard, it's okay to have two meeting nites, but otherwise, please slim it down to one. Given that both meetings ran quite late, dinners were quite late which resulted in later nites than is reasonable when one has breakfast meetings starting at 7am. A group of sleep deprived people are not in their optimal state for decision making.	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
18	Technical Plenary was not very technical in nature.	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM

Page 3, Q24. How do you rate the Plenaries?

19	The work on keeping the plenaries for useful presentations and discussion, and letting people get the admin details on the web, is good, and is working.	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM
20	the tech plenary was interesting, but far too US-centric. Would have been helpful to have another presenter with a European perspective, for example.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
21	Merging them like we did in Atlanta is a good idea.	Apr 2, 2013 8:51 AM
22	The Technical plenary topic was very good - would like to hear about similar experience from other countries.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
23	- better proofing of tech plenary slides - try not let one (the first) topic dominate open-mic discussion	Apr 2, 2013 8:08 AM
24	Too long! The admin plenary ended half an hour late	Apr 2, 2013 8:04 AM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

1	I would find it as useful if IAOC would have a public maillist, where different IAOC issues can be discussed publicly. I made always good experiences with meeting venues in the downtown of hub cities with good flight connections. As such I would like to propose as new meeting locations: Boston, New York, Madrid and Istanbul.	May 27, 2013 5:05 AM
2	downtown venues with lots of transportation alternatives and lots of hotel alternatives.	Apr 6, 2013 11:55 AM
3	openness for discussion, availability of all documents,	Apr 5, 2013 3:10 AM
4	Nothing much; IETFs are hectic and fun. Just make sure that it is easy to get to the meeting location using public/shared transport.	Apr 4, 2013 1:32 PM
5	if WGs need more than one session, schedule them on the same day	Apr 3, 2013 3:47 PM
6	Meetings in other regions and countries not yet visited	Apr 3, 2013 10:35 AM
7	The cut offs for drafts no longer make sense.	Apr 3, 2013 8:13 AM
8	I would like to never have another meeting in a place where the next hotel is 1.6 miles away.	Apr 2, 2013 8:49 PM
9	More Government/Regulator Leadership Group meeting from regional countries.	Apr 2, 2013 8:44 PM
10	conversations among researchers were very aggressive and it strike me a lot	Apr 2, 2013 8:38 PM
11	I'll be glad if the next meetings were hold in Asia.	Apr 2, 2013 8:37 PM
12	Never ever ever again get 1000+ people to go to a hotel in the middle of fecking nowhere so that 20 people (IEEE folks) can have less travel.....	Apr 2, 2013 6:44 PM
13	Orlando was very good in many ways except for the disruptions caused by the hotel (and spring break). I very much liked the Lounge, and the quietness of the Terminal room. I would like to see these features perpetuated.	Apr 2, 2013 6:23 PM
14	Avoidance of Orlando.	Apr 2, 2013 6:11 PM
15	no more trips to the suburban desert, please (it seems, though, that we've learned this lesson, if the next few venues are any indication).	Apr 2, 2013 5:20 PM
16	Anything that can facilitate participation remotely would be appreciated. WG chairs SHOULD insist that speakers submit their slides for a session well before the session starts. The WG Chairs SHOULD make people who come to the mike state their names/affiliations SLOWLY and CLEARLY. Or maybe we should use badge readers as was done at one of the IETF meetings (Hiroshima maybe?) and flash the name/affiliation of the person on the screen. Etc.	Apr 2, 2013 2:05 PM
17	Next time book rooms at the adjoining Buena Vista Suites for attendees on tight travel budgets. You also get a good free breakfast !!!	Apr 2, 2013 12:45 PM
18	Outside the meeting rooms caribou1-6 there was no signage I could find outside of the map to say which doors was for which meeting. The way the rooms are partitionable there was no meaningful labels on those doors either...you just had	Apr 2, 2013 11:46 AM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	to guess and peek in rooms to get it right.	
19	The on-site meal choices need to be better. The lunch offerings from the hotel in the foyer were of very standard primarily in quality of food.	Apr 2, 2013 11:40 AM
20	More vegan options for food. Better temperature regulation.	Apr 2, 2013 10:45 AM
21	agendas posted on time, better jabber support so that it is easier to switch between sessions	Apr 2, 2013 10:25 AM
22	Expand the available time. Some of us are early risers!	Apr 2, 2013 10:11 AM
23	Reduce travel time. Make hotel near restaurants.	Apr 2, 2013 10:03 AM
24	Serve more than just candy at the cookie breaks. Specifically, Yoplait yogurt is just candy—it's no healthier than a cookie, and it contains kosher gelatin, which is made from fish, and is not vegetarian. At the ice cream social, just serve ice cream—don't serve frozen fruit bars, because everybody takes the ice cream, and latecomers get nothing (frozen fruit bars are basically just candy, because they contain no fat—only sugar). I've heard other IETF participants speculate as to whether there is an intentional move on the part of the hotels to turn IETF participants into Type 2 diabetics, although I'm sure that's an exaggeration. It would be awfully nice if there were something other than coffee available during the breaks that didn't come in a plastic bottle or a metal can. I've thought about just bringing my own diluted juice in my own bottles, but that requires that I have a refrigerator in the room.	Apr 2, 2013 9:48 AM
25	I liked the lounge area. It would have been good to set up one of the break stations near the lounge so that the tables would have seen more use.	Apr 2, 2013 9:41 AM
26	Nothing like the caribe royale ever again.	Apr 2, 2013 9:31 AM
27	Quite aside from the challenges of the Caribe, the choice of time/location was a serious problem for me. a) overlapped with Ontario March break, which meant that I had to make additional childcare arrangements as I normally am home/take-child-to-school while my wife leaves earlier for work. b) flights were overfull. Snow in Laguardia meant that my flight got cancelled, and no alternate seat was available. I missed part of the meeting that was important to me. My trip took an extra 24 hours and 5000km. Meetings should never be at popular tourist destinations. NEVER. I saw the IEEE 802.1q presentation three times. That was a waste of my time. Worse, there was nothing in it that I didn't get from reading the wikipedia page, and the presenters were not clueful about layer-3 issues; frankly I knew more about layer-2 than they did. What was the point?	Apr 2, 2013 9:25 AM
28	have some of the pre-first-day events later in the day so that people who are coming in on that day have time to get there	Apr 2, 2013 9:20 AM
29	See above.	Apr 2, 2013 9:12 AM
30	Go back to downtown venues with restaurants within walking distance. Public transportation is a help in the evenings because I can have a few drinks w/o worrying about whether I'm safe to drive.	Apr 2, 2013 9:10 AM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

31	Centrally located venue with alternative hotels within WALKING distance.	Apr 2, 2013 9:07 AM
32	Orlando was not a very walking friendly town, prefer meetings that are hosted in areas where restaurants, sites, and things to do are in walking distance from the venue.	Apr 2, 2013 9:03 AM
33	A single plenary.	Apr 2, 2013 8:54 AM
34	Perhaps a strange request, but it would be very useful to investigate group childcare (for the under 5 range). Obviously, it would be charged for by the users, but group childcare could be very helpful & isn't too hard to arrange for various conferences at least in the US. I think it's a matter of finding a contracting agency & reserving a room.	Apr 2, 2013 8:50 AM
35	I would prefer to have the meeting at a location that will not require a car to get decent food in the evening	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
36	Cheaper venues. Cheaper meeting fees. WG rooms seated and equipped to facilitate discussion rather than sleeping or doing work. No WiFi in meeting rooms - only Ethernet cables for use by a select few like notetaker, jabber scribe, etc. Provide plenty of space outside meeting rooms with power strips and WiFi (not just in terminal room). Have good food and coffee/tea available for purchase near the meeting rooms at all times during the day when meeting sessions are being held. The best connections are to be had in the hallways outside the meeting rooms - don't force people to leave in order to get food. More realistic vegetarian, vegan, gluten-free, healthy options - don't expect people to get by on sandwiches and pasta.	Apr 2, 2013 8:28 AM
37	No more Disneyland locations please. That is not a place fit for a meeting.	Apr 2, 2013 8:27 AM
38	Venue's surroundings (walking distance) may be a criteria to consider with higher significance...	Apr 2, 2013 8:22 AM
39	Clearly defined the status of Friday afternoon: in meeting or outside meeting. I would clearly prefer that the IETF week stop before friday 1PM to allow people to not loose 2 WE with their family. (this would also benefit the diversity)	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
40	It has been said before at the mailing lists, but again: Please do not go again to remote locations like this one, with the only way to reach a decent number of dinner options is hired car / taxi. It was nice that there were shuttle buses, but nothing can replace walking distance, or frequent public transport. Also running the meeting back 2 back with IEEE did not add any value for me as I do not attend IEEE. And still, if people want to meet back 2 back with IEEE, maybe it is sufficient to meet in adjacent weeks in the same country.	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
41	As usual, organisation was perfect. Thank you!	Apr 2, 2013 8:20 AM
42	IETF should be either in a City or else in some interesting place. Orlando is neither. The afternoon food should not all be sweet and cakes. one day sandwiches were also provided which was nice.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
43	Need more agenda time? Start at 8AM. Breakfast at 7	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM

Page 3, Q25. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

44	Seriously, ineffective temperature control and extreme air-conditioning in the meeting rooms hampers productivity and raises the risk of illness.	Apr 2, 2013 8:11 AM
45	Please, never go to Orlando again. And don't take the IEEE's word for it that a venue is good for a meeting.	Apr 2, 2013 8:09 AM
46	Lunch is too early: move to say 12:30 or 13:00.	Apr 2, 2013 7:54 AM